Paragraph #252 - Detailed Summaries of Completed Internal Affairs Investigations

Open date	Incident type	Summary	Allegation(s)/Force Type(s)	Outcome	Discipline	Investigative Summary	Closed
06/24/2021	Internal Complaint	While working off-duty, it was alleged a Sergeant and a Deputy failed to take law	CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards	Sustained	Written Reprimand	It was found the Sergeant and the Deputy failed to make a reasonable decision by not	9/14/2023
		enforcement action when notified a suspect had brandished a rifle at a night club.				taking law enforcement action. Additionally, it was found the Sergeant violated policy	
		Additionally, it was alleged the Sergeant deactivated his body wom camera during the	GJ35 - Body-W orn Cameras	Sustained	Previously Resigned/Retired	when he deactivated his body wom camera during the contact.	
		incident.	CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards	Sustained			
10/14/2021	External Complaint		CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards	Sustained	Written Reprimand	The investigation determined the allegation was supported by the preponderance of	9/14/2023
		document a crime reported during a call for service.				evidence and justified a reasonable conclusion of a policy violation.	
11/09/2021	External Complaint	The complainant alleged a Human Resource Commander was unprofessional during their	CP2 - Code of Conduct - Unbecoming conduct and Public Demeanor	N ot-Sus tained		The investigation determined there was insufficient evidence to prove or disprove the	9/14/2023
		telephonic contact and failed to help her reapply for benefits.	CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards	U nfounded		allegation a MCSO employee was unprofessional during their telephonic contact.	
						Additionally, it was found the allegation the employee failed to help the Complainant	
						reapply for benefits was not supported by fact.	
09/21/2022	Internal Complaint			Sustained	Previously Resigned/Retired	There was insufficient evidence to prove or disprove the Dispatcher was insubordinate.	9/14/2023
		and failed to meet performance standards.	CP2 - Code of Conduct - Insubordination	N ot-Sus tained			
						taking appropriate actions during a call for service.	
10/21/2022	External Complaint	The complainant alleged a Detention Officer was fratemizing with an inmate at the Arizona	CP2 - Code of Conduct - Keeping Supervisors Informed	Sustained	Employee Terminated	The Detention Officer admitted to violating policy when sending correspondence to an	9/14/2023
		Department of Corrections . During the investigation, it was also alleged the Officer failed to	CP5 - Truthfulness	Sustained		AZDOC inmate, not keeping her supervisor informed, being involved with someone who had	
		inform her supervisor that her partner was in MCSO custody. Additionally, it is alleged she	GF1 - Criminal Justice Data Systems	Sustained		pending criminal charges, and using a database for personal matters. The allegation the	
				Sustained			
				Sustained			
		aneged the Officer frade laise statements during the investigation.				policy violation.	
03/20/2023	External Complaint	The inmate complainants alleged a Detention Officer used profane language toward them.	CP2 - Code of Conduct - Unbecoming conduct and Public Demeanor	Sustained	Written Reprimand	Audio recordings confirmed the Officer violated policy.	9/14/2023
04/25/2023	Internal Complaint	It was alleged a Detention Officer was a no-call/no-show for work on two consecutive	GC1 - Leave and Absences	Sustained	Written Reprimand	The investigation found the Officer was absent for two consecutive days without obtaining	9/14/2023
		days.				approval or notifying a supervisor resulting in a policy violation.	
05/01/2023	Internal Complaint	The complainant employee alleged a Commandersent an unomfessional email to her	GM1 - Electronic Communications and Voice mail	Sustained	Coaching	The investigation determined the preponderance of the evidence supported the allegation	9/14/2023
,,		s ubordinates.				and justified a reasonable conclusion of policy violation.	-, - ,
05/11/2023	Internal Complaint		CP3 - Workplace Professionalism	Sustained	Written Reprimand		9/14/2023
		or their romantic relations hip.	con and the control of the control o	6.444.4	ak	evidence and justified a reasonable conclusion of a policy violation.	
			CP3 - Workplace Professionalism	Sustained	Coaching		
05/07/2018	Internal Complaint	It is alleged a Deputy lost or mis placed evidence.	CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards	Sustained	Coaching	After reviewing documents, the allegation the Deputy did not take the appropriate actions	9/15/2023
						when handling evidence was supported by the preponderance of the evidence to justify a	
						reas onable conclusion of responsibility.	
12/28/2021	External Complaint	The complainant alleged a Detention Officer is currently under fraud investigation with DIFI.	CP2 - Code of Conduct - Keeping Supervisors Informed	Sustained	Previously Resigned/Retired		9/15/2023
					,		
01/05/2022	Internal Complaint	It was alleged a Detention Officer was trespassed from a retail store and issued a criminal	CP2 - Code of Conduct - Conformance to Established Laws	Sustained	Employee Resigned	After reviewing evidence, including surveillance footage, and court documents, it was	9/15/2023
						found the Officer failed to conform to establish laws.	
		citation for shoplifting by Buckeye Police Department.					
02/13/2023	Internal Complaint	citation for shoplitting by Buckeye Police Department. It was alleged a Call Takerwas discourteous to an Emergency Dispatcher.	CP2 - Code of Conduct - Employee Relationships with other Employees	N ot-Sus tained		After conducting interviews, it was determined there was insufficient evidence to prove or	9/15/2023
02/13/2023	Internal Complaint		CP2 - Code of Conduct - Employee Relationships with other Employees	N ot-Sus tained		After conducting interviews, it was determined there was insufficient evidence to prove or disprove the allegation.	9/15/2023
	Internal Complaint		CP2 - Code of Conduct - Employee Relationships with other Employees CP2 - Code of Conduct - Employee Relationships with other Employees	N ot-Sus tained N ot-Sus tained			9/15/2023
	10/14/2021 11/09/2021 11/09/2021 09/21/2022 10/21/2022 03/20/2023 04/25/2023 05/01/2023 05/07/2018	06/24/2021 Internal Complaint 10/14/2021 External Complaint 11/09/2021 External Complaint 09/21/2022 Internal Complaint 10/21/2022 External Complaint 03/20/2023 External Complaint 04/25/2023 Internal Complaint 05/01/2023 Internal Complaint 05/07/2018 Internal Complaint 12/28/2021 External Complaint	enforcement action when notified a suspect had brands hed a rifight club. Additionally, it was alleged the Segrant deactivated his body wom camera during the incident. 10/14/2021 External Complaint The complainant alleged a Deputy falled to conduct a complete investigation and properly document a crime reported during a call for service. 11/09/2021 External Complaint The complainant alleged a Human Resource Commander was unprofessional during their telephonic contact and failed to help her reapply for benefits. 10/21/2022 Internal Complaint It was alleged an Emergency Dispatcher was not following direct orders from supervisors and failed to meet performance's standards. 10/21/2022 External Complaint The complainant alleged a Detention Officer was faternizing with an inmate at the Arizona Department of Corrections. During the investigation, it was also alleged the Officer failed to inform her supervisor that her partner was in MCSO custody. Additionally, it is alleged she was involved in a romantic relations hip with someone known to have criminal charges. It was alleged the Officer used the SHIELD database for personal matters. Finally, it was also alleged the Officer used the SHIELD database for personal matters. Finally, it was also alleged the Officer used the SHIELD database for personal matters. Finally, it was also alleged the Officer used profane language toward them. 103/20/2023 Internal Complaint It was alleged a Detention Officer was a no-call/no-show for work on two consecutive days. 105/01/2023 Internal Complaint It was alleged a Detention Officer was a no-call/no-show for work on two consecutive days. 105/01/2023 Internal Complaint It was alleged a Detention Officer and Ovillan Supervisor failed to inform their supervisors of their romantic relations hip. 105/07/2018 Internal Complaint It is alleged a Detention Officer is cumently under fraud investigation with DEFI.	enforcement action when notified a suspect had bands held at file at a night club. Additionally, these alleged the Septemt descrivated his body worn camero during the collaboration of CIDS -Body Worn Camero (CIDS -Body Worn Camero) CIDS -Body Worn Camero CIDS -Body Worn Came	efficiencement of the strength of supplication above critical as supplication of each an application of supplication and properly incident. 2014/2021 External Complaint The complaint and singered a Deputy finited to conduct is complete investigation and properly discussed on the complaint and singered a Deputy finited to conduct is complete investigation and properly discussed on the complaint and singered a Deputy finited to conduct is complete investigation and properly discussed on the complaint and singered a Deputy finited to conduct is complete investigation and properly discussed on the complaint and singered a Deputy finited to conduct is complete investigation and properly discussed on the complaint and singered a Deputy finited to conduct is complete investigation and properly of the complaint and singered a Deputy finited to conduct is complete investigation and properly of the complaint and singered a Deputy finited to conduct in submit and the depath of the complaint and singered a Deputy for benefits. 10/21/2022 Internal Complaint It was alleged a Deputy finited to conduct in submit and the Account of Conduct in	efficient with referented activated this body won cares during the segret death read this body won cares during the segret death read this body won cares during the segret death read this body won cares during the segret death read this body won cares during the segret death read this body won cares during the segret death read this body won cares during the segret death read this body won cares during segret death read this body won cares during the segret death read to segret won segret w	Here were the second and second secon

Paragraph #252 - Detailed Summaries of Completed Internal Affairs Investigations

IANo	Open date	Incident type	Summary	Allegation(s)/Force Type(s)	Outcome	Discipline	Investigative Summary	Closed
IA2018-0704	12/10/2018	External Complaint	The complainant alleged a Deputy failed to read him his Miranda rights or issue a victim's	EA11 - Arrest procedures	Exonerated		The complainant was not detained by the Deputy or considered a victim; therefore, Miranda	9/18/2023
			right form. Additionally, it was alleged a Deputy and Sergeant did not provide necessary	CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards	U nfounded		rights and a victim's right form were not administered. The allegations the Deputy did not	
			medical care to the complainant. The complainant also alleged a Deputy destroyed	GJ14 - Victim's Bill of Rights	Exonerated		provide medical care, destroyed evidence and erased a case are false and not supported	
			evidence and erased a court case file. During the investigation, it was alleged a Deputy and	d CP2 - Code of Conduct - Abuse of Process, Withholding Evidence, and Mis appropriation of Property	U nfounded		by facts. There was insufficient evidence to prove the Sergeant failed to provide proper	
			Sergeant failed to activate their body-wom cameras during a call for service.	CP2 - Code of Conduct - Abuse of Process, Withholding Evidence, and Misappropriation of Property	U nfounded		medical attention or that body-wom cameras were intentionally not activated.	
				G J35 - Body-W orn Cameras	N ot-Sus tained			
				CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards	N ot-Sus tained			
				G J35 - Body-W om Cameras	N ot-Sus tained			
A2018-0577	09/12/2018	Internal Complaint	Multiple employees made several allegations regarding a Food Service Worker who made	CP3 - Workplace Professionalism	N ot-Sus tained		There was insufficient evidence to prove or disprove the employee made sexual	9/19/2023
			inappropriate sexual comments, accessed pornographic material on her cell, exhibited	CP2 - Code of Conduct - U nbecoming conduct and Public Demeanor	Unfounded		comments, exhibited unbecoming behavior, or viewed pornography while at work. The	
			unprofessional behavior, and made unwanted advances on a co-worker. Additionally, it	CP2 - Code of Conduct - U nbecoming conduct and Public Demeanor	Not-Sustained		allegation a Food Service Worker" hit on" another employee was not supported by fact.	
			was alleged a second Food Service Worker was rude and disrespectful toward a co-	CP2 - Code of Conduct - Unbecoming conduct and Public Demeanor	N ot-Sus tained		However, the second Food Service Worker admitted to her unprofessional conduct.	
			worker.					
				CP2 - Code of Conduct - Unbecoming conduct and Public Demeanor	Sustained	Employee Retired		
A2018-0631	10/17/2018	Internal Complaint	It was alleged that two Food Service Workers were unprofessional with one another.	CP2 - Code of Conduct - Employee Relations hips with other Employees	Sustained	Previously Resigned/Retired	There was insufficient evidence to prove or disprove the first Food Service Worker was	9/19/2023
							unprofessional. The second worker admitted to using profanity during the interaction	
				CP2 - Code of Conduct - Employee Relations hips with other Employees	N ot-Sus tained		resulting in a policy violation.	
A2019-0012	01/10/2019	External Complaint	The complainants alleged that Deputies authored an inaccurate report, did not complete the	EB3 - Driving Under the Influence	U nfounded		After reviewing all documents, video, and body-worn camera footage, the allegations of an	9/19/2023
			DUT phlebotomy draw and paperwork correctly, were rude on scene, and that a Deputy				inaccurate report, phlebotomy draw and paperwork being incorrect, rudeness, and perjury	
			perjured himself at a hearing stating he could not smell alcohol coming from the	CP2 - Code of Conduct - U nethical Conduct	U nfounded		regarding the smell of alcohol were false and not supported by facts. Furthermore, there	
			complainant on scene. It was also alleged that a Deputy told the complaint what to say	CP2 - Code of Conduct - U nethical Conduct	N ot-Sus tained		was insufficient evidence to prove or disprove the complainant was instructed on what to	
				GF5 - Incident Report Guidelines	U nfounded		say at a criminal proceeding, a Deputy deliberately stated the wrong time of arrest, and a	
			second Deputy was rude during a phone call. During the investigation, it was alleged one o		N ot-Sus tained		second Deputy was rude on a phone call. However, one of the Deputies violated policy	
			the Deputies failed to re-activate his body-worn camera.	G J35 - Body-W om Cameras	Sustained	Previously Terminated	when he did not re-activate his body-worn camera.	
				CP2 - Code of Conduct - U nbecoming conduct and Public Demeanor	U nfounded			
				CP2 - Code of Conduct - Unbecoming conduct and Public Demeanor	N ot-Sus tained			
IA2019-0475	09/17/2019	External Complaint	The complainant alleged Detention Officers treated African American inmates unfairly.	CP8 - Preventing Racial and Other Biased Based profiling	N ot-Sus tained		Due to the lack of specific information, there was insufficient evidence to prove or disprove	9/19/2023
							the allegation.	
				CP8 - Preventing Racial and Other Biased Based profiling	N ot-Sus tained			
A2020-0136	03/24/2020	External Complaint	The complainant alleged a Deputy, working off-duty, was rude and illegally detained him.		N ot-Sus tained		Due to a lack of witnesses and evidence, the investigation could not prove or disprove the	9/19/2023
			During the investigation, it was alleged the Deputy failed to activate his body-wom camera		N ot-Sus tained		allegations.	
			footage during the interaction.	EA11 - Arrest procedures	N ot-Sus tained			
A2021-0312	06/13/2021	External Complaint	The complainant alleged Deputies did not conduct a proper investigation. It is also alleged	CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards	U nfounded		After conducting interviews and reviewing evidence, it was determined all allegations were	9/19/2023
72021-0312	00/13/2021	External complaint	a Sergeant lied to him when he told the complainant that the case had been submitted to	Cr2 - Code of Conduct - Familie to Weet Standards	O Illounded		false or not supported by facts.	5/ 15/ 2023
			the prosecutor for review.	CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards	Unfounded		inst of focusposed by facts.	
			the prosecutor for review.	CP2 - Code of Conduct - Unbecoming conduct and Public Demeanor	U nfounded			
				cr2-code of conduct-office confing conduct and rability benneation	O Illounded			
				CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards	U nfounded			
12022-0484	09/28/2022	External Complaint	The complainant alleged a Deputy violated his rights by conducting a search of his person	CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards	Sustained	Previously Terminated	After conducting interviews and reviewing body-worn camera footage, the investigation	9/19/2023
	. ,,	com management	without consent. During the investigation, it was alleged the Deputy improperly handled a		Sustained		determined the Deputy violated policy when he conducted a search without consent and	-,,3
			without consent. During the investigation, it was alleged the Deputy Improperly nanided a welfare check.	GD Scales and Schede	ous tarried		did not properly handle the call for service.	

Paragraph #252 - Detailed Summaries of Completed Internal Affairs Investigations

	Open date	Incident type	Summary	Allegation(s)/Force Type(s)	Outcome	Discipline	Investigative Summary	Closed
IA2023-0110	02/27/2023	Internal Complaint	It was alleged that multiple Swom MCSO employees worked off-duty employment that was	CP2 - Code of Conduct - Conformance to Office Directives	Sustained	W ritten Reprimand	The investigation determined the allegations that Swom employees worked off-duty	9/19/2023
			in violation of MCSO Policy. When coordinating the off-duty job, it was also alleged the				employment that was in violation of MCSO Policy were supported by sufficient evidence to	
		Sworn employees failed to conform to work standards for their rank, not adhering to	CP2 - Code of Conduct - Conformance to Office Directives	Sustained	Employee Sus pended	justify a reasonable conclusion of responsibility. The investigation also found a Sworn		
			compensation rules. Additionally, it's alleged two Deputy Chiefs were insubordinate,	CP2 - Code of Conduct - Insubordination	U nfounded		Lieutenant violated policy when he failed to conform to work standards, not adhering to	
			working the off-duty job after receiving a direct order it was not allowed. It was also alleged	d CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards	Not-Sustained		compensation rules. However, there was insufficient evidence to prove or disprove that a	
			that a Deputy Chief was unprofessional in the content of his email.	G M1 - Electronic Communications and Voice mail	N ot-Sustained		Deputy Chief failed to conform to work standards. Additionally, the allegation a second	
							Deputy Chief failed to conform to work standards was false and not supported by fact.	
				CP2 - Code of Conduct - Conformance to Office Directives	Sustained	Written Reprimand	Furthermore, the allegation two Chiefs were insubordinate was also false and not supported	
				CP2 - Code of Conduct - Insubordination	U nfounded		by fact. Finally, the content of the Chief's email did violate policy.	
				CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards	U nfounded			
				CP2 - Code of Conduct - Conformance to Office Directives	Sustained	Written Reprimand		
				CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards	Sustained			
IA2023-0130	12023-0130 03/10/2023	External Complaint	The complainant alleged a Deputy did not properly serve an injunction against harassment	CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards	Sustained	Written Reprimand	The investigation determined both allegations were supported by the preponderance of	9/19/2023
			During the investigation, it was also alleged that the Deputy did not write an accurate	GF5 - Incident Report Guidelines	Sustained		evidence and justified a reasonable conclusion of a policy violation.	
			supplement about the injunction.					
IA2023-0238	05/08/2023	Internal Complaint	It was alleged a Detention Officer was sleeping on duty. It was alleged the Officer failed to	CP2 - Code of Conduct - Sleeping On-Duty	Sustained	Employee Terminated	The Officer admitted to violating policy when he fell as leep at work, causing him to miss	9/19/2023
			conduct security walks, yet logged false entries, indicating security walks had been	DH 6 - Inmate Supervision, Security Walks and Headcounts	Sustained		security walks, which he logged as having been completed. The investigation also	
			completed. It was alleged the Officer failed to ensure an inmate made his court date	DB2 - Operations Journal & Logbooks	Sustained		determined that the Officer failed to make a reasonable decision, contributing to an	
			because he is disabled.	CP5 - Truthfulness	Sustained		inmate's missed court date. However, the inmate's allegation the Officer's actions were	
				CP8 - Preventing Racial and Other Bias ed Bas ed profiling	U nfounded		due to his disability was false and not supported by fact.	
				CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards	Sustained			
IA2023-0262	05/18/2023	External Complaint	The complainants alleged a Detention Officer used profanities toward an inmate and hit hin	1 CP2 - Code of Conduct - Unbecoming conduct and Public Demeanor	Sustained	Written Reprimand	The Officer admitted to using profanities resulting in a policy violation. After a review of the	9/19/2023
			with a hat.	CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards	U nfounded		facility's video footage, the allegation an inmate was hit with a hat was false or not	
							supported by fact.	
IA2019-0612	12/12/2019	Internal Complaint	It was alleged a Detention Officer confronted another Officer and a Detention Sergeant for	CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards	Unfounded		The investigation determined the allegation a Detention Officer failed to complete	9/20/2023
			bringing work-related deficiency issues to the attention of their lieutenant. It was alleged a	CP2 - Code of Conduct - Employee Relationships with other Employees	Not-Sustained		assignments properly prior to the conclusion of his shift was not supported by fact.	
			portion of the deficiency issues was an unsigned ILS form found in the trash.				Additionally, there was insufficient evidence to prove or disprove the Officer was	
							unprofessional with a supervisor.	
IA2023-0235	05/08/2023	Internal Complaint	It was alleged a Detention Sergeant is creating a hostile working environment and behaving	g CP2 - Code of Conduct - Unbecoming conduct and Public Demeanor	N ot-Sus tained		The investigation determined there was insufficient evidence to prove or disprove the	9/20/2023
			unprofessionally.				allegation.	
IA2019-0379	07/25/2019	External Complaint	unprofessionally. The complainant alleged Detention Offices are treating an immate like garbage.	CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards	Not-Sustained		allegation. Due to lack of specificity it was determined there was insufficient evidence to prove or	9/21/2023
IA2019-0379	07/25/2019	External Complaint		CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards	Not-Sus tained			9/21/2023
IA2019-0379	07/25/2019	External Complaint		CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards	Not-Sus tained Not-Sus tained		Due to lack of specificity it was determined there was insufficient evidence to prove or	9/21/2023
IA2019-0379		External Complaint					Due to lack of specificity it was determined there was insufficient evidence to prove or	9/21/2023
			The complainant alleged Detention Officers are treating an inmate like garbage.	CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards	Not-Sustained		Due to lack of specificity it was determined there was insufficient evidence to prove or disprove the allegations.	, ,
			The complainant alleged Detention Officers are treating an innate like garbage. It was alleged a Detention Officer is creating a hostile work environment by making	CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Employee Relationships with other Employees	Not-Sus tained Unfounded		Due to lack of specificity it was determined there was insufficient evidence to prove or disprove the allegations.	, ,
	08/24/2022		The complainant alleged Detention Officers are treating an innate like garbage. It was alleged a Detention Officer is creating a hostile work environment by making	CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Employee Relationships with other Employees CP2 - Code of Conduct - Employee Relationships with other Employees	Not-Sus tained Unfounded Unfounded		Due to lack of specificity it was determined there was insufficient evidence to prove or disprove the allegations.	, ,
IA2022-0400	08/24/2022	Internal Complaint	The complainant alleged Detention Officers are treating an inmate like garbage. It was alleged a Detention Officer is creating a hostile work environment by making disparaging comments to other staff.	CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Employee Relationships with other Employees CP2 - Code of Conduct - Employee Relationships with other Employees CP2 - Code of Conduct - Employee Relationships with other Employees	N ot-Sus tained Unfounded Unfounded Unfounded		Due to lack of specificity it was determined there was insufficient evidence to prove or disprove the allegations. The investigation determined the allegations were not supported by fact.	9/21/2023
IA2022-0400	08/24/2022	Internal Complaint	The complainant alleged Detention Officers are treating an innate like garbage. It was alleged a Detention Officer is creating a hostile work environment by making disparaging comments to other staff. The complainant alleged a Deputy was discourteous during their interaction. It was also	CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Employee Relationships with other Employees CP2 - Code of Conduct - Employee Relationships with other Employees CP2 - Code of Conduct - Employee Relationships with other Employees CP2 - Code of Conduct - Employee Relationships with other Employees	N ot-Sus tained Unfounded Unfounded Unfounded	W fitten Reprimand	Due to lack of specificity it was determined there was insufficient evidence to prove or disprove the allegations. The investigation determined the allegations were not supported by fact. After reviewing the body wom camera foolage, the allegation a Deputy was discourteous	9/21/2023
IA2022-0400	08/24/2022	Internal Complaint	The complainant alleged Detention Officers are treating an inmate like garbage. It was alleged a Detention Officer is creating a hostile work environment by making disparaging comments to other staff. The complainant alleged a Deputy was discourteous during their interaction. It was also alleged a second Deputy failed to complete a proper vehicle collision investigation. During	CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Employee Relationships with other Employees CP2 - Code of Conduct - Employee Relationships with other Employees CP2 - Code of Conduct - Employee Relationships with other Employees CP2 - Code of Conduct - Employee Relationships with other Employees	N ot-Sus tained Unfounded Unfounded Unfounded Unfounded	Written Reprimand	Due to lack of specificity it was determined there was insufficient evidence to prove or disprove the allegations. The investigation determined the allegations were not supported by fact. After reviewing the body wom camera footage, the allegation a Deputy was discourteous was found to be false or not supported by fact. There was insufficient evidence to prove or	9/21/2023
IA2022-0400	08/24/2022	Internal Complaint	The complainant alleged Detention Officers are beating an inmate like garbage. It was alleged a Detention Officer is creating a hostile work environment by making disparaging comments to other staff. The complainant alleged a Deputy was discourteous during their interaction. It was also alleged a second Deputy failed to complete a proper vehicle collision investigation. During the investigation, it was alleged a Segeant failed to properly review a crash report and take	CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Employee Relationships with other Employees CP2 - Code of Conduct - Employee Relationships with other Employees CP2 - Code of Conduct - Employee Relationships with other Employees CP2 - Code of Conduct - Employee Relationships with other Employees	N ot-Sus tained Unfounded Unfounded Unfounded Unfounded	W ritten Reprimand	Due to lack of specificity it was determined there was insufficient evidence to prove or disprove the allegations. The investigation determined the allegations were not supported by fact. After reviewing the body wom camera foolage, the allegation a Deputy was discounteous was found to be false or not supported by fact. There was insufficient evidence to prove or disprove the second Deputy failed to complete a proper vehicle collision investigation.	9/21/2023
IA2022-0400	08/24/2022 09/19/2022	Internal Complaint	The complainant alleged Detention Officers are beating an inmate like garbage. It was alleged a Detention Officer is creating a hostile work environment by making disparaging comments to other starff. The complainant alleged a Deputy was discourteous during their interaction. It was also alleged a second Deputy failed to complete a proper vehicle collision investigation. During the investigation, it was alleged a Segeant failed to properly review a crash report and take proper supervisory action.	CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Employee Relationships with other Employees CP2 - Code of Conduct - Employee Relationships with other Employees CP2 - Code of Conduct - Employee Relationships with other Employees CP2 - Code of Conduct - Unbecoming conduct and Public Demeanor CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards	N ot Sus tained Unfounded Unfounded Unfounded Unfounded Sus tained	W ritten Reprimand	Due to lack of specificity it was determined there was insufficient evidence to prove or disprove the allegations. The investigation determined the allegations were not supported by fact. After reviewing the body wom camera foolage, the allegation a Deputy was discourteous was found to be false or not supported by fact. There was insufficient evidence to prove or disprove the second Deputy failed to complete a proper vehicle collision investigation. Additionally, the Segeant failed to properly supervise the second Deputy and review his	9/21/2023
IA2022-0400	08/24/2022 09/19/2022	Internal Complaint External Complaint	The complainant alleged Detention Officers are beating an inmate like garbage. It was alleged a Detention Officer is creating a hostile work environment by making disparaging comments to other starff. The complainant alleged a Deputy was discourteous during their interaction. It was also alleged a second Deputy failed to complete a proper vehicle collision investigation. During the investigation, it was alleged a Segeant failed to properly review a crash report and take proper supervisory action.	CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Employee Relations hips with other Employees CP2 - Code of Conduct - Employee Relations hips with other Employees CP2 - Code of Conduct - Employee Relations hips with other Employees CP2 - Code of Conduct - Unbecoming conduct and Public Demeanor CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards	Not-Sustained Unfounded Unfounded Unfounded Unfounded Sustained	Written Reprimand Previously Resigned/Retired	Due to lack of specificity it was determined there was insufficient evidence to prove or disprove the allegations. The investigation determined the allegations were not supported by fact. After reviewing the body wom camera footage, the allegation a Deputy was discourteous was found to be false or not supported by fact. There was insufficient evidence to prove or disprove the second Deputy failed to complete a proper vehicle collision investigation. Additionally, the Segeant failed to properly supervise the second Deputy and review his report, resulting in a policy violation.	9/21/2023
IA2022-0400	08/24/2022 09/19/2022	Internal Complaint External Complaint	The complainant alleged Detention Officers are treating an innate like garbage. It was alleged a Detention Officer is creating a hostile work environment by making disparaging comments to other staff. The complainant alleged a Deputy was discourteous during their interaction. It was also alleged a second Deputy failed to complete a proper vehicle collision investigation. During the investigation, it was alleged a Segeant failed to properly review a crash report and take proper supervisory action. The inmate complainant alleged a Detention Officer made sexual comments and passed	CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Employee Relationships with other Employees CP2 - Code of Conduct - Employee Relationships with other Employees CP2 - Code of Conduct - Employee Relationships with other Employees CP2 - Code of Conduct - Employee Relationships with other Employees CP2 - Code of Conduct - Unbecoming conduct and Public Demeanor c CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards GJ28 - Pris on Rape Elimination Act (PREA)	Not-Sustained Unfounded Unfounded Unfounded Unfounded Sustained Not-Sustained Not-Sustained		Due to lack of specificity it was determined there was insufficient evidence to prove or disprove the allegations. The investigation determined the allegations were not supported by fact. After reviewing the body wom camera footage, the allegation a Deputy was discourteous was found to be false or not supported by fact. There was insufficient evidence to prove or disprove the second Deputy failed to complete a proper vehicle collision investigation. Additionally, the Sergeant failed to properly supervise the second Deputy and review his report, resulting in a policy violation. Due to a lack of evidence, there was insufficient evidence to prove or disprove the Officer	9/21/2023
IA2022-0400 IA2022-0464	08/24/2022 09/19/2022 12/30/2022	Internal Complaint External Complaint	The complainant alleged Detention Officers are treating an innate like garbage. It was alleged a Detention Officer is creating a hostile work environment by making disparaging comments to other staff. The complainant alleged a Deputy was discourteous during their interaction. It was also alleged a second Deputy failed to complete a proper vehicle collision investigation. During the investigation, it was alleged a Segeant failed to properly review a crash report and take proper supervisory action. The inmate complainant alleged a Detention Officer made sexual comments and passed	CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Employee Relationships with other Employees CP2 - Code of Conduct - Employee Relationships with other Employees CP2 - Code of Conduct - Employee Relationships with other Employees CP2 - Code of Conduct - Employee Relationships with other Employees CP2 - Code of Conduct - Unbecoming conduct and Public Demeanor c CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards GJ28 - Pris on Rape Elimination Act (PREA)	Not-Sustained Unfounded Unfounded Unfounded Unfounded Sustained Not-Sustained Not-Sustained		Due to lack of specificity it was determined there was insufficient evidence to prove or disprove the allegations. The investigation determined the allegations were not supported by fact. After reviewing the body wom camera footage, the allegation a Deputy was discourteous was found to be false or not supported by fact. There was insufficient evidence to prove or disprove the second Deputy failed to complete a proper vehicle collision investigation. Additionally, the Sergeant failed to properly supervise the second Deputy and review his report, resulting in a policy violation. Due to a lack of evidence, there was insufficient evidence to prove or disprove the Officer made comments of a sexual nature to the complainant. However, the Officer violated	9/21/2023
IA2022-0400 IA2022-0464 IA2022-0698	08/24/2022 09/19/2022 12/30/2022	Internal Complaint External Complaint External Complaint	The complainant alleged Detention Officers are beating an inmate like garbage. It was alleged a Detention Officer is creating a hostile work environment by making disparaging comments to other staff. The complainant alleged a Deputy was discourteous during their interaction. It was also alleged a second Deputy failed to complete a proper vehicle collision investigation. During the investigation, it was alleged a Segreant failed to properly review a crash report and take proper supervisory action. The inmate complainant alleged a Detention Officer made sexual comments and passed her inappropriate notes.	CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Employee Relationships with other Employees CP2 - Code of Conduct - Employee Relationships with other Employees CP2 - Code of Conduct - Employee Relationships with other Employees CP2 - Code of Conduct - Employee Relationships with other Employees CP2 - Code of Conduct - Unbecoming conduct and Public Demeanor CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP3 - First on Rape Elimination Art (PREA) CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards	Not-Sustained Unfounded Unfounded Unfounded Unfounded Sustained Not-Sustained Not-Sustained Sustained		Due to lack of specificity it was determined there was insufficient evidence to prove or disprove the allegations. The investigation determined the allegations were not supported by fact. After reviewing the body wom camera footage, the allegation a Deputy was discourteous was found to be false or not supported by fact. There was insufficient evidence to prove or disprove the second Deputy failed to complete a proper vehicle collision investigation. Additionally, the Sergeant failed to properly supervise the second Deputy and review his report, resulting in a policy violation. Due to a lack of evidence, there was insufficient evidence to prove or disprove the Officer made comments of a sexual nature to the complainant. However, the Officer violated policy when he provided inmates with papers/forms that MCSO did not issue.	9/21/2023 9/21/2023 9/21/2023

Paragraph #252 - Detailed Summaries of Completed Internal Affairs Investigations

IANo	Open date	Incident type	Summary	Allegation(s)/Force Type(s)	Outcome	Discipline	Investigative Summary	Closed
A2023-0281	05/29/2023	External Complaint	The complainant alleged a Deputy made an unprofessional comment during a traffic stop.	CP8 - Preventing Racial and Other Biased Based profiling	U nfounded		There was insufficient evidence to prove or disprove the Deputy made an unprofessional	9/21/2023
			Additionally, it was alleged she was issued a citation due to her race and gender.	CP2 - Code of Conduct - Unbecoming conduct and Public Demeanor	Not-Sus tained		comment. Additionally, the allegation that a Deputy issued a traffic citation due to her race	
							and gender was false or not supported by fact.	
A2019-0091	03/04/2019	External Complaint	A Mesa Police Officer reported a Detention Officer was alleged to have physically	CP2 - Code of Conduct - Conformance to Established Laws	Unfounded		The investigation found the allegation was not supported by fact.	9/22/2023
			assaulted his roommate.					
A2019-0203	04/30/2019	Internal Complaint	A Detention Officer alleged a Detention Sergeant accessed her TraCS account without her	CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards	N ot-Sus tained		There was insufficient evidence to prove or disprove the allegation a Sergeant accessed an	9/22/2023
			knowledge and submitted an incident report in her name.				employee's account without consent.	
A2021-0196	04/20/2021	Internal Complaint	It was alleged a Detention Officer failed to operate a County vehicle in a careful manner	GE4 - Use/Operation of Vehicles	Sustained	Coaching	The investigation and the Deputy's admission justified a reasonable conclusion of a policy	9/22/2023
			resulting in a minor at-fault accident.				violation.	
A2023-0181	04/05/2023	Internal Complaint	It was alleged a Detention Officer conducted an improper security walk.	CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards	Sustained	Previously Resigned/Retired	The investigation determined that the allegation is supported by the preponderance of the	9/22/2023
							evidence, including the employee's admission, and justifies a reasonable conclusion of a	
							policy violation.	
A2023-0214	04/24/2023	External Complaint	It was reported by Goodyear PD, a Detention Officer was cited for a DU I.	CP2 - Code of Conduct - Conformance to Established Laws	Sustained	Employee Terminated	The investigation determined sufficient evidence supported the allegation the Officer	9/22/2023
							committed DUI and justified a reasonable conclusion of policy violation.	
2023-0255	05/16/2023	External Complaint	The complainant alleged a Deputy was unprofessional and yelled at him during an active	CP2 - Code of Conduct - Unbecoming conduct and Public Demeanor	Unfounded		A review of body-wom camera determined the allegation was false or not supported by fact.	9/22/2023
			vehicle collision investigation.					
A2023-0301	06/07/2023	External Complaint	The complainant alleged an Employee was rude. During the investigation it was alleged a	CP2 - Code of Conduct - Unbecoming conduct and Public Demeanor	N ot-Sus tained		Due to the lack of specific information and participation from the Complainant, an employee	9/22/2023
			Detention Officer did not properly process a complaint.				could not be identified; therefore, there was insufficient evidence to prove or disprove the	
				CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards	U nfounded		allegation of rudeness. The allegation that a Detention Officer did not properly process a	
							complaint was false or not supported by fact.	
A2023-0302	06/07/2023	External Complaint	The complainant alleged a Deputy did not properly handle a call for service.	CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards	Unfounded		The investigation determined the allegation was false or not supported by fact.	9/22/2023
IA2023-0343	06/29/2023	Internal Complaint	It was alleged a Reserve Deputy was taking photos and videos of law enforcement	CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards	Unfounded		After conducting interviews and reviewing evidence, it was determined the allegation was	9/22/2023
			activities while on duty.				false or not supported by fact.	
IA2023-0015	08/09/2023	External Complaint Criminal	The complainant alleged a Detention Officer disclosed he participated in sexual conduct	[No Allegations]	Inactive		The investigation confirmed the application process to Phoenix Police. During the interview,	9/25/2023
			with a minor while employed with MCSO.				the Principal invoked his right to remain silent and the identity of the victim could not be	
							determined. The case was cleared as Inactive.	
12021-0036	10/11/2021	Critical Incident	A critical incident investigation was initiated to review the death of an inmate that occurred	DH3 - Contraband Control	Sustained	Previously Resigned/Retired	Due to multiple drugs found in the inmate's system and other factors during the occurrence,	9/27/2023
			on 10/09/2021 at the LBJ Facility. During the investigation, it was alleged a Detention				there was insufficient evidence to prove the Officers' actions contributed to the inmate's	
			Officer failed to conduct a strip search of an inmate.				death. The investigation determined the Officer violated policy when he failed to complete	
							a strip search.	
A2018-0391	06/18/2018	Internal Complaint	It was alleged a Detention Officer was involved in an altercation while off-duty.	CP2 - Code of Conduct - Conformance to Established Laws	Sustained	Previously Resigned/Retired	After reviewing documents and body-worn camera footage, the investigation determined the	9/27/2023
							Officer failed to conform to established laws.	
2021-0456	08/25/2021	External Complaint	The complainant alleged a Deputy did not conduct a thorough investigation on reported	GF5 - Incident Report Guidelines	Unfounded		The investigation determined the allegation was not supported by fact.	9/27/2023
			crimes.					

Paragraph #252 - Detailed Summaries of Completed Internal Affairs Investigations

IA No	Open date	Incident type	Summary	Allegation(s)/Force Type(s)	Outcome	Discipline	Investigative Summary	Closed
IA2022-0527	10/25/2022	External Complaint	The complainant alleged a Deputy profiled and arrested him due to his ethnicity. It was also	CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards	Sustained	Written Reprimand	Evidence, including Body Worn camera footage, shows the complainant was arrested due	9/27/2023
			alleged the Deputy failed to advise the complainant of his Miranda Rights or issue a				to an open container of alcohol and drug paraphernalia in his vehicle that was in plain view	
			property receipt after seizing his property and placing it into evidence. It was alleged a	CP8 - Preventing Racial and Other Biased Based profiling	U nfounded		of the Deputies. The complainant's allegation of being profiled was false or not supported	
			second Deputy failed to list all items of value on a Vehicle Impound Tow Request form.	EA11 - Arrest procedures	Sustained	Written Reprimand	by the facts. However, the investigation found the Deputy violated policy when he failed to	
			During the investigation, it was alleged the complainant informed a Swom Sergeant that	GE3 - Property Management	Sustained		advise the complainant of his Miranda Rights or issue a property receipt. The second	
			Deputies profiled him, and the Sergeant did not inquire further.				Deputy also violated policy by not listing all items of value on the Tow Request form. It was	
				EB5 - Towing and Impounding Vehicles	Sustained	Written Reprimand	also determined the Sergeant did not follow the proper procedure and follow-up with the	
							complainant regarding his statement of potential misconduct.	
A2023-0229	05/02/2023	Internal Complaint	It was alleged a Detention Officer failed to complete and log security walks properly.	DH6 - Inmate Supervision, Security Walks and Headcounts	Sustained	Previously Resigned/Retired	After reviewing the facility video footage, it was determined the Officer failed to accurately	9/27/2023
				D82 - Operations Journal & Logbooks	Sustained		log security walks or follow the proper procedures during her walks.	
A2023-0266	05/23/2023	External Complaint	The complainant alleged a Deputy did not take appropriate action during a call for service.	CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards	Unfounded		The investigation determined the allegation was false or not supported by fact.	9/27/2023
A2023-0279	05/29/2023	External Complaint	The complainant alleged a Deputy was driving erratically.	GE4 - Use/Operation of Vehicles	N ot-Sus tained		Due to lack of specific information, an employee could not be identified; therefore, there	9/27/2023
							was insufficient evidence to prove or disprove the allegation.	
2023-0297	06/05/2023	External Complaint	The complainant alleged a Deputy did not allow her access to her previous residence	CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards	Exonerated		The investigation determined the Deputy acted within MCSO policy and procedure when	9/27/2023
			during a civil standby.				denying the complainant access to her previous residence.	
IA2018-0463	07/18/2018	External Complaint	It is alleged a Detention Officer lacked the proper knowledge of the procedure for inmates to	CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards	Not-Sus tained		Due to lack of specificity during interviews it was determined there was insufficient	9/28/2023
			donate library books.				evidence to prove or disprove the allegation.	
A2018-0692	12/03/2018	Internal Complaint	It was alleged a detention officer was unprofessional towards a coworker.	CP2 - Code of Conduct - Employee Relations hips with other Employees	N ot-Sus tained		There was insufficient evidence to prove or disprove the allegation.	9/28/2023
A2019-0084	02/26/2019	External Complaint	The complainant alleged a Detention Officer assaulted her during an incident that happened	d CP2 - Code of Conduct - Unbecoming conduct and Public Demeanor	N ot-Sus tained		Due to the lack of corroborating evidence and participation from the Principal, there was	9/28/2023
			off duty.				insufficient evidence to prove or disprove the allegation.	
A2021-0002	01/05/2021	Internal Complaint	It was alleged that \$6.00 of currency is missing from an envelope placed into property and	CP2 - Code of Conduct - Conformance to Office Directives	Sustained	Previously Resigned/Retired	After reviewing evidence, the investigation determined a former Deputy violated policy	9/28/2023
			evidence in 2017. During the investigation, it was also alleged a Deputy failed to follow	EA11 - Arrest procedures	Sustained		when he failed to properly impound currency and follow arrest procedures.	
			proper arrest procedures.					
A2022-0188	05/09/2022	External Complaint	The complainant alleged two Detectives were unprofessional and rude during their	CP2 - Code of Conduct - Unbecoming conduct and Public Demeanor	N ot-Sus tained		There was insufficient evidence to prove or disprove the allegations against the Detectives.	9/28/2023
			interaction.					
				CP2 - Code of Conduct - U nbecoming conduct and Public Demeanor	N ot-Sus tained			
12022-0398	08/24/2022	Internal Complaint	An Emergency Dispatcher allegedly failed to take appropriate action and became	CP2 - Code of Conduct - Employee Relationships with other Employees	Sustained	Previously Resigned/Retired	The investigation found the Dispatcher violated policy when she failed to make a	9/28/2023
			insubordinate while handling a call for service. Additionally, it was alleged the employee	CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards	Sustained		reasonable decision and was unprofessional when speaking to a supervisor. There was	
			was unprofessional and attempted to record her supervisor covertly.	CP2 - Code of Conduct - Insubordination	N ot-Sus tained		insufficient evidence to prove or disprove the employee was insubordinate or attempted to	
				CP2 - Code of Conduct - Employee Relationships with other Employees	N ot-Sus tained		record her supervisor.	
12022-0532	10/26/2022	External Complaint	The complainant alleged a Deputy did not properly handle a call for service.	CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards	Unfounded		The investigation determined the allegation was false or not supported by fact.	9/28/2023
2020-0680	12/10/2020	External Complaint	The inmate complainant alleged a Detention Officer ordered her to the top bunk despite CHS	S CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards	Sustained	Previously Resigned/Retired	After conducting interviews, the investigation determined both allegations were supported	9/29/2023
			medical staff assigning her to a lower bunk. It was also alleged the Officer used profane	CP2 - Code of Conduct - U nbecoming conduct and Public Demeanor	Sustained		by the preponderance of the evidence and justified a reasonable conclusion of policy	
			language with the inmate.				violations.	
017-0835	11/16/2017	External Complaint	The complainant alleged MCSO employees destroyed an inmate's clothing. It was also	CP1-Use of Force	N ot-Sus tained	Previously Resigned/Retired	The inmate's clothing was not destroyed but secured in a locker. There was insufficient	9/29/2023
			alleged a Detention Officer used excessive force against the inmate. During the	CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards	Sustained		evidence to prove or disprove the former Officer used excessive force. However, the	
			investigation, it was alleged a Detention Sergeant and Officer did not complete the required	i .			Sergeant and former Officer violated policy when they failed to complete required	
			paperwork after a Use of Force incident.	CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Me et Standards	U nfounded		paperwork.	
				CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards	Sustained	Coaching		

Paragraph #252 - Detailed Summaries of Completed Internal Affairs Investigations

	Open date	Incident type	Summary	Allegation(s)/Force Type(s)	Outcome	Discipline	Investigative Summary	Closed
IA2019-0058	02/11/2019	Internal Complaint	It was alleged a Deputy used excessive force during a call for service. Additionally, it was		Sustained	Previously Resigned/Retired	After reviewing evidence and conducting interviews, it was determined the Deputy violated	9/29/2023
			alleged the Deputy used profanity when directing the subject.	CP2 - Code of Conduct - Unbecoming conduct and Public Demeanor	Sustained		policy by not following proper Taser guidelines and using unnecessary expletives.	
IA2019-0064	02/14/2019	Internal Complaint	It was alleged that a Detention Officer was using chewing tobacco after receiving a	G B2 - Command Res pons ibility	Sustained	Previously Resigned/Retired	The Officer admitted to his supervisor that he violated a directive.	9/29/2023
			directive that it is prohibited in secured areas of the facility.					
IA2019-0102	03/12/2019	Internal Complaint	It was alleged a Food Service Supervisor belittled a Detention Officer in front of inmates. It		Sustained	Previously Resigned/Retired	After conducting witness interviews and reviewing facility video footage, the	9/29/2023
			was also alleged after the Officer complained to a Manager, the Food Service Supervisor became retaliatory.	CP11 - Anti-Retaliation	U nfounded		preponderance of the evidence supports the allegation the Officer was belittled. The allegation of retaliation was false and not supported by the facts.	
IA2019-0112	03/18/2019	Internal Complaint	It is alleged Detention command staff were unprofessional with a Detention Officer following an extended leave of absence.	CP2 - Code of Conduct - U nbecoming conduct and Public Demeanor	U nfounded		The allegation was false and not supported by fact.	9/29/2023
				CP2 - Code of Conduct - U nbecoming conduct and Public Demeanor	U nfounded			
				CP2 - Code of Conduct - U nbecoming conduct and Public Demeanor	U nfounded			
IA2020-0281	06/09/2020	External Complaint	The complainant alleged a Detention Officer assaulted an inmate with a clipboard while	CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards	Sustained	W ritten Reprimand	The investigation determined by clear and convincing evidence that the allegation an	9/29/2023
			sleeping.	CP2 - Code of Conduct - Conformance to Established Laws	U nfounded		Officer assaulted an inmate was false and not supported by fact. However, the Officer did	
							not make a reasonable decision when attempting to wake the inmate with a clipboard,	
							resulting in a policy violation.	
IA2020-0515	09/24/2020	External Complaint	The complainant alleged a Detention Officer was rude and disrespectful.	CP2 - Code of Conduct - Unbecoming conduct and Public Demeanor	N ot-Sus tained		There was insufficient evidence to prove or disprove the allegations.	9/29/2023
IA2022-0158	04/18/2022	Internal Complaint	It was alleged a Detention Sergeant made an inappropriate comment to an Officer.	CP3 - Workplace Professionalism	N ot-Sus tained		There was insufficient evidence to prove or disprove the allegation.	9/29/2023
IA2022-0180	05/05/2022	External Complaint	The complainant alleged a Deputy was discourteous during a civil stand-by call for service	e. CP2 - Code of Conduct - U nbecoming conduct and Public Demeanor	U nfounded		A review of body-worn camera determined the allegation that a Deputy was discourteous	9/29/2023
			It was internally alleged the Deputy made a late activation of his body-wom camera	G J35 - Body-W om Cameras	N ot-Sus tained		was false or not supported by fact. Furthermore, there was insufficient evidence to prove or	
						disprove the Deputy intentionally made a late activation of his body-worn camera.		
IA2022-0222	05/24/2022	External Complaint	The complainant alleged Deputies did not conduct a proper DUI investigation. It was also	CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards	Exonerated		The investigation determined Deputies conducted the DUI investigation within MCSO policy	9/29/2023
IA2022-0222	05/24/2022	External Complaint	The complainant alleged Deputies did not conduct a proper DUI investigation. It was also alleged Deputies did not have their body-wom camens a clivated.	CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards GISS - Body-W om Cameras	Exonerated U nfounded		The investigation determined Deputies conducted the DUI investigation within MCSO policy and procedure. The allegation that Deputies did not have their body-worn cameras activated	9/29/2023
IA2022-0222	05/24/2022	External Complaint						9/29/2023
IA2022-0222	05/24/2022	External Complaint					and procedure. The allegation that Deputies did not have their body-wom cameras activated	9/29/2023
IA2022-0222	05/24/2022	External Complaint		G J35 - Body-W om Cameras	U nfounded		and procedure. The allegation that Deputies did not have their body-wom cameras activated	9/29/2023
IA2022-0222		External Complaint		G.B5 - Body-Wom Cameras CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards	U nfounded Exonerated	Previous ly Resigned/Retired	and procedure. The allegation that Deputies did not have their body-wom cameras activated	9/29/2023
			alleged Deputies did not have their body-wom cameras activated.	G.35 - Body-Worn Cameras CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards G.35 - Body-W orn Cameras	Unfounded Exonerated Unfounded	Previously Resigned/Retired	and procedure. The allegation that Deputies did not have their body-wom cameras activated was found to be false or not supported by fact.	
			alleged Deputies did not have their body-wom cameras activated. It was alleged an Inmate Library Manager had been leaving work early and incorrectly	G.B.S - Body-Wom Cameras CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards G.B.S - Body-W om Cameras G.C.I - Leave and Absences	Unfounded Exonerated Unfounded Sustained	Previously Resigned/Retired	and procedure. The allegation that Deputies did not have their body-wom cameras activated was found to be false or not supported by fact. Documents and video footage supported the allegations a Library Manager misrepresented	
	08/09/2022		alleged Deputies did not have their body-wom cameras activated. It was alleged an Inmate Library Manager had been leaving work early and incorrectly documenting her time in the ADP system. It was also alleged the Library Manager was	G.BS - Body-Wom Cameras CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards G.BS - Body-Wom Cameras G.C1 - Leave and Absences G.C3 - Compens ation and the ADP System	Unfounded Exonerated Unfounded Sus tained Sus tained	Previous ly Resigned/Retired Employee Suspended	and procedure. The allegation that Deputies did not have their body-wom cameras activated was found to be false or not supported by fact. Documents and video footage supported the allegations a Library Manager misrepresented	
IA2022-0360	08/09/2022	Internal Complaint	alleged Deputies did not have their body-wom cameras activated. It was alleged an Inmate Library Manager had been leaving work early and incorrectly documenting her time in the ADP system. It was also alleged the Library Manager was untruthful with her supervisor.	G.BS - Body-Wom Cameras CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards G.BS - Body-Wom Cameras GC1 - Leave and Absences GC8 - Compensation and the ADP System CP5 - Truthfulness	Unfounded Exonerated Unfounded Sus tained Sus tained Sus tained		and procedure. The allegation that Deputies did not have their body-wom cameras activated was found to be false or not supported by fact. Documents and video footage supported the allegations a Library Manager mis represented her hours and lied to a supervisor.	9/29/2023
IA2022-0360	08/09/2022 11/11/2022	Internal Complaint Internal Complaint	alleged Deputies did not have their body-wom cameras activated. It was alleged an Inmate Library Manager had been leaving work early and incorrectly documenting her time in the ADP system. It was also alleged the Library Manager was unturbful with her supervisor. It was alleged a Detention Officer used excessive force toward an inmate.	G.BS - Body-Wom Cameras CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards G.BS - Body-Wom Cameras G.C1 - Leave and Absences G.C3 - Compensation and the ADP System CP5 - Truthfulness CP1-Use of Force CP2 - Code of Conduct - Conformance to Established Laws	Unfounded Exonerated Unfounded Sustained Sustained Sustained Sustained		and procedure. The allegation that Deputies did not have their body-wom cameras activated was found to be false or not supported by fact. Documents and video footage supported the allegations a Library Manager misrepresented her hours and lied to a supervisor. After reviewing the facility surveillance video and the Officer's acknowledgment that the force used was unjustified, the allegation of a policy violation was supported by evidence.	9/29/2023
IA2022-0360 IA2022-0575	08/09/2022 11/11/2022	Internal Complaint	alleged Deputies did not have their body-wom cameras activated. It was alleged an Inmate Library Manager had been leaving work early and incorrectly documenting her time in the ADP system. It was also alleged the Library Manager was untruthful with her supervisor.	G.BS - Body-Wom Cameras CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards G.BS - Body-W om Cameras GC1 - Leave and Absences GC8 - Compere ation and the ADP System CP5 - Truthfulness CP1-Use of Force CP2 - Code of Conduct - Conformance to Established Laws	Unfounded Exonerated Unfounded Sus tained Sus tained Sus tained Sus tained Sus tained		and procedure. The allegation that Deputies did not have their body-wom cameras activated was found to be false or not supported by fact. Documents and video footage supported the allegations a Library Manager misrepresented her hours and lied to a supervisor. After reviewing the facility surveillance video and the Officer's acknowledgment that the	9/29/2023
IA2022-0360 IA2022-0575	08/09/2022 11/11/2022	Internal Complaint Internal Complaint	alleged Deputies did not have their body-wom cameras activated. It was alleged an Inmate Library Manager had been leaving work early and incorrectly documenting her time in the ADP system. It was also alleged the Library Manager was untuthful with her supervisor. It was alleged a Detention Officer used excessive force toward an inmate.	G.BS - Body-Wom Cameras CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards G.BS - Body-W om Cameras GC1 - Leave and Absences GC8 - Compere ation and the ADP System CP5 - Truthfulness CP1-Use of Force CP2 - Code of Conduct - Conformance to Established Laws	Unfounded Exonerated Unfounded Sus tained Sus tained Sus tained Sus tained Sus tained		and procedure. The allegation that Deputies did not have their body-wom cameras activated was found to be false or not supported by fact. Documents and video footage supported the allegations a Library Manager misrepresented her hours and lied to a supervisor. After reviewing the facility surveillance video and the Officer's acknowledgment that the force used was unjustified, the allegation of a policy violation was supported by evidence. The investigation determined the allegation one of the Officer inaccurately logged security	9/29/2023
IA2022-0360 IA2022-0575	08/09/2022 11/11/2022	Internal Complaint Internal Complaint	alleged Deputies did not have their body-wom cameras activated. It was alleged an inmate Library Manager had been leaving work early and incorrectly documenting her time in the ADP system. It was also alleged the Library Manager was untuthful with her supervisor. It was alleged a Detention Officer used excessive force toward an inmate. It was alleged three Detention Officers did not perform security walks correctly and/or log them properly. It was also alleged one of the Officers fals filed information in the SHIELD log	GJBS - Body-Wom Cameras CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards GJBS - Body-W om Cameras GC1 - Leave and Absences GC8 - Compere ation and the ADP System CP5 - Truthfulness CP1-Use of Force CP2 - Code of Conduct - Conformance to Established Laws	Unfounded Exonerated Unfounded Sustained Sustained Sustained Sustained Unfounded	Employee Suspended	and procedure. The allegation that Deputies did not have their body-wom cameras activated was found to be false or not supported by fact. Documents and video footage supported the allegations a Library Manager misrepresented her hours and lied to a supervisor. After reviewing the facility surveillance video and the Officer's acknowledgment that the force used was unjustified, the allegation of a policy violation was supported by evidence. The investigation determined the allegation one of the Officer's inaccurately logged security walks was false and not supported by fact. However, two additional Officers violated	9/29/2023
IA2022-0360 IA2022-0575	08/09/2022 11/11/2022	Internal Complaint Internal Complaint	alleged Deputies did not have their body-wom cameras activated. It was alleged an inmate Library Manager had been leaving work early and incorrectly documenting her time in the ADP system. It was also alleged the Library Manager was untuthful with her supervisor. It was alleged a Detention Officer used excessive force toward an inmate. It was alleged three Detention Officers did not perform security walks correctly and/or log them properly. It was also alleged one of the Officers fals filed information in the SHIELD log	G35 - Body-Wom Cameras CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards G35 - Body-W om Cameras GC1 - Leave and Absences GC3 - Compere ation and the ADP System CP5 - Truthfulness CP1-Use of Force CP2 - Code of Conduct - Conformance to Established Laws D82 - Operations Journal & Logbooks B2 - Operations Journal & Logbooks	Unfounded Exonerated Unfounded Sus tained Sus tained Sus tained Unfounded Unfounded Sus tained Sus tained Sus tained Sus tained	Employee Suspended	and procedure. The allegation that Deputies did not have their body-wom cameras activated was found to be false or not supported by fact. Documents and video footage supported the allegations a Library Manager misrepresented her hours and lied to a supervisor. After reviewing the facility surveillance video and the Officer's acknowledgment that the force used was unjustified, the allegation of a policy violation was supported by evidence. The investigation determined the allegation one of the Officer inaccurately logged security walks was false and not supported by fact. However, two additional Officers violated policy when they performed security walks incorrectly and entered false information into	9/29/2023
IA2022-0360 IA2022-0575	08/09/2022 11/11/2022	Internal Complaint Internal Complaint	alleged Deputies did not have their body-wom cameras activated. It was alleged an inmate Library Manager had been leaving work early and incorrectly documenting her time in the ADP system. It was also alleged the Library Manager was untuthful with her supervisor. It was alleged a Detention Officer used excessive force toward an inmate. It was alleged three Detention Officers did not perform security walks correctly and/or log them properly. It was also alleged one of the Officers fals filed information in the SHIELD log	G35 - Body-Wom Cameras CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards G35 - Body-W om Cameras GC1 - Leave and Absences GC3 - Compere ation and the ADP System CP5 - Truthfulness CP1-Use of Force CP2 - Code of Conduct - Conformance to Established Laws D82 - Operations Journal & Logbooks B2 - Operations Journal & Logbooks	Unfounded Exonerated Unfounded Sus tained Sus tained Sus tained Unfounded Unfounded Sus tained Sus tained Sus tained Sus tained	Employee Suspended	and procedure. The allegation that Deputies did not have their body-wom cameras activated was found to be false or not supported by fact. Documents and video footage supported the allegations a Library Manager misrepresented her hours and lied to a supervisor. After reviewing the facility surveillance video and the Officer's acknowledgment that the force used was unjustified, the allegation of a policy violation was supported by evidence. The investigation determined the allegation one of the Officers inaccurately logged security walks was false and not supported by fact. However, two additional Officers violated policy when they performed security walks inconnectly and entered false information into the log system. It was determined the preponderance of the evidence supported the	9/29/2023
IA2022-0360 IA2022-0575	08/09/2022 11/11/2022	Internal Complaint Internal Complaint	alleged Deputies did not have their body-wom cameras activated. It was alleged an inmate Library Manager had been leaving work early and incorrectly documenting her time in the ADP system. It was also alleged the Library Manager was untuthful with her supervisor. It was alleged a Detention Officer used excessive force toward an inmate. It was alleged three Detention Officers did not perform security walks correctly and/or log them properly. It was also alleged one of the Officers fals filed information in the SHIELD log	G.BS - Body-Wom Cameras CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards G.BS - Body-Wom Cameras G.C1 - Leave and Absences G.C3 - Compensation and the ADP System CP5 - Truthfulness CP1-Use of Force CP2 - Code of Conduct - Conformance to Established Laws DB2 - Operations Journal & Logbooks BH6 - Inmate Supervision, Security Walks and Headcounts CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards	Unfounded Exonerated Unfounded Sus tained Sus tained Sus tained Unfounded Unfounded Sus tained Unfounded Sus tained	Employee Suspended Coaching	and procedure. The allegation that Deputies did not have their body-wom cameras activated was found to be false or not supported by fact. Documents and video footage supported the allegations a Library Manager misrepresented her hours and lied to a supervisor. After reviewing the facility surveillance video and the Officer's acknowledgment that the force used was unjustified, the allegation of a policy violation was supported by evidence. The investigation determined the allegation one of the Officers inaccurately logged security walks was false and not supported by fact. However, two additional Officers violated policy when they performed security walks incorrectly and entered false information into the log system. It was determined the prepondenance of the evidence supported the allegation an Officer did not secure a door and justified a reasonable conclusion of policy	9/29/2023