CI2019-0020 10/17/2019 Critical Incident	Summary	Allegation(s)/Force Type(s)	Outcome	Discipline	Investigative Summary Closed
12015-0020 10/11/2015 CHICALINCIDENT	A critical incident investigation was initiated to review the medical	[No Allegations]	N/A	N/A	The investigation found no employee involvement in the inmate's 2/7/2022
	emergency of an inmate that occurred on 10/05/2019 at the Lower				medical emergency, and the inmate recovered from the incident.
	Buckeye Jail facility.				
021-0263 5/18/2021 External Complaint	The complainant alleged an Unknown off-duty Detention Officer was	CP2 - Code of Conduct - Unbecoming Conduct and Public Demeanor	Not-Sustained	N/A	Due to the complainant providing secondhand information, refusing to 2/7/2022
	intoxicated and throwing a volleyball at parked vehicles.	·			provide her contact number, and a lack of a police report of the
					incident, there was insufficient evidence to prove or disprove the
					allegation against the Unknown Detention Officer.
2021-0113 3/1/2021 Internal Complaint	An anonymous employee alleged two Detention Officers were	CP3 - Workplace Professionalism	Sustained	Employee Terminated	It was found the two Detention Officers failed to notify their supervisor 2/14/202
	observed kissing in a county carpool van at a facility. The first	CP5 - Truthfulness	Sustained		of their relationship and were intentionally untruthful when asked.
	Detention Officer alleged two Sergeants were aware of her relationship				The allegation against the Detention Sergeants failing to take action
	with her co-worker and failed to take appropriate action. During the	CP3 - Workplace Professionalism	Sustained	Employee Terminated	was false or not supported by fact.
	investigation, it was alleged two Detention Officers were untruthful with PSB investigators.	CP5 - Truthfulness	Sustained		
	•	CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards	Unfounded	N/A	
		CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards	Unfounded	N/A	
2021-0531 10/13/2021 Internal Complaint	It was alleged two Detention Officers touched a fellow employee	CP3 - Workplace Professionalism	Sustained	Written Reprimand	A review of the surveillance video showed one Detention Officer 2/15/202
	inappropriately on the buttocks.	CP3 - Workplace Professionalism	Not-Sustained	N/A	violated policy when he touched the complainant inappropriately. Due to a lack of specific dates of misconduct by the second Officer,
		Cr3 - Workplace Professionalism	Not-sustained	N/A	surveillance video could not be reviewed and witnesses could not
					identified. Due to these factors, there was insufficient evidence to
					prove or disprove the second Officer touched the complainant
					inappropriately.
021-0518 10/5/2021 External Complaint	The complainant alleged a Detention Officer used her position in an	CP2 - Code of Conduct - Abuse of Position or Authority	Not-Sustained	N/A	Since the principal could not be located or reached by telephone, there 2/17/202
	attempt to intimidate her.				was insufficient evidence to prove or disprove she used her position to
					intimidate the complainant.
12020-0024 12/7/2020 Critical Incident	A critical incident investigation was initiated to review the death of an	[No Allegations]	N/A	N/A	The investigation found no employee involvement in the inmate's 2/18/202
	Inmate that occurred on 12/09/2020, following his medical emergency				medical emergency or subsequent death.
	at Lower Buckeye Jail on 12/04/2020.				
2019-0342 7/9/2019 Internal Complaint	It was alleged a Detention Sergeant posted information on social media		Sustained	Employee Suspended	It was found the Detention Officer violated policy when he disclosed 2/18/202
	relative to the recent booking of another MCSO employee. The posts in				on social media information he learned within his official duties.
	question specifically refer to information derived from that individual's				
	"Form IV" booking paperwork.				
020 0045 1/20/2020 5 1 1 1 1 5 1 1 1 1		CO. Colo of Control Colomb Man Control	11.20 J. J. J.	Weitten Dan Service	hour foundabe Double interest in the Control of the
2020-0046 1/30/2020 External Complaint	The complainant alleged a Deputy was unprofessional during their	CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards	Unfounded	Written Reprimand	It was found the Deputy violated policy when he did not provide his 2/18/202
2020-0046 1/30/2020 External Complaint	The complainant alleged a Deputy was unprofessional during their interaction and alleged the Deputy refused to provide his name, badge	GH2 - Internal Investigations	Sustained	Written Reprimand	name, badge number, or supervisor information to the complainant. A
2020-0046 1/30/2020 External Complaint	The complainant alleged a Deputy was unprofessional during their interaction and alleged the Deputy refused to provide his name, badge number, or supervisor information. The complainant also alleged the	GH2 - Internal Investigations CP2 - Code of Conduct - Unbecoming Conduct and Public Demeanor	Sustained Unfounded	Written Reprimand	name, badge number, or supervisor information to the complainant. A review of the body worn camera footage found the allegation of
2020-0046 1/30/2020 External Complaint	The complainant alleged a Deputy was unprofessional during their interaction and alleged the Deputy refused to provide his name, badge number, or supervisor information. The complainant also alleged the Deputy did not correctly handle the call for service. During the	GH2 - Internal Investigations	Sustained	Written Reprimand	name, badge number, or supervisor information to the complainant. A review of the body worn camera footage found the allegation of unprofessionalism by the Deputy to the complainant was false or not
2020-0046 1/30/2020 External Complaint	The complainant alleged a Deputy was unprofessional during their interaction and alleged the Deputy refused to provide his name, badge number, or supervisor information. The complainant also alleged the Deputy did not correctly handle the call for service. During the investigation, it was alleged the Deputy was unprofessional while	GH2 - Internal Investigations CP2 - Code of Conduct - Unbecoming Conduct and Public Demeanor	Sustained Unfounded	Written Reprimand	name, badge number, or supervisor information to the complainant. A review of the body worn camera footage found the allegation of unprofessionalism by the Deputy to the complainant was false or not supported by fact. After a review of the call for service, the allegation
2020-0046 1/30/2020 External Complaint	The complainant alleged a Deputy was unprofessional during their interaction and alleged the Deputy refused to provide his name, badge number, or supervisor information. The complainant also alleged the Deputy did not correctly handle the call for service. During the	GH2 - Internal Investigations CP2 - Code of Conduct - Unbecoming Conduct and Public Demeanor	Sustained Unfounded	Written Reprimand	name, badge number, or supervisor information to the complainant. A review of the body worn camera footage found the allegation of unprofessionalism by the Deputy to the complainant was false or not supported by fact. After a review of the call for service, the allegation of the Deputy not handling the call for service correctly was found to
2020-0046 1/30/2020 External Complaint	The complainant alleged a Deputy was unprofessional during their interaction and alleged the Deputy refused to provide his name, badge number, or supervisor information. The complainant also alleged the Deputy did not correctly handle the call for service. During the investigation, it was alleged the Deputy was unprofessional while	GH2 - Internal Investigations CP2 - Code of Conduct - Unbecoming Conduct and Public Demeanor	Sustained Unfounded	Written Reprimand	name, badge number, or supervisor information to the complainant. A review of the body worn camera footage found the allegation of unprofessionalism by the Deputy to the complainant was false or not supported by fact. After a review of the call for service, the allegation of the Deputy not handling the call for service correctly was found to be false or not supported by fact. During the investigation, it was
2020-0046 1/30/2020 External Complaint	The complainant alleged a Deputy was unprofessional during their interaction and alleged the Deputy refused to provide his name, badge number, or supervisor information. The complainant also alleged the Deputy did not correctly handle the call for service. During the investigation, it was alleged the Deputy was unprofessional while	GH2 - Internal Investigations CP2 - Code of Conduct - Unbecoming Conduct and Public Demeanor	Sustained Unfounded	Written Reprimand	name, badge number, or supervisor information to the complainant. A review of the body worn camera footage found the allegation of unprofessionalism by the Deputy to the complainant was false or not supported by fact. After a review of the call for service, the allegation of the Deputy not handling the call for service correctly was found to be false or not supported by fact. During the investigation, it was found the Deputy was unprofessional when talking about the
2020-0046 1/30/2020 External Complaint	The complainant alleged a Deputy was unprofessional during their interaction and alleged the Deputy refused to provide his name, badge number, or supervisor information. The complainant also alleged the Deputy did not correctly handle the call for service. During the investigation, it was alleged the Deputy was unprofessional while	GH2 - Internal Investigations CP2 - Code of Conduct - Unbecoming Conduct and Public Demeanor	Sustained Unfounded	Written Reprimand	name, badge number, or supervisor information to the complainant. A review of the body worn camera footage found the allegation of unprofessionalism by the Deputy to the complainant was false or not supported by fact. After a review of the call for service, the allegation of the Deputy not handling the call for service correctly was found to be false or not supported by fact. During the investigation, it was
2020-0046 1/30/2020 External Complaint	The complainant alleged a Deputy was unprofessional during their interaction and alleged the Deputy refused to provide his name, badge number, or supervisor information. The complainant also alleged the Deputy did not correctly handle the call for service. During the investigation, it was alleged the Deputy was unprofessional while	GH2 - Internal Investigations CP2 - Code of Conduct - Unbecoming Conduct and Public Demeanor	Sustained Unfounded	Written Reprimand	name, badge number, or supervisor information to the complainant. A review of the body worn camera footage found the allegation of unprofessionalism by the Deputy to the complainant was false or not supported by fact. After a review of the call for service, the allegation of the Deputy not handling the call for service correctly was found to be false or not supported by fact. During the investigation, it was found the Deputy was unprofessional when talking about the
	The complainant alleged a Deputy was unprofessional during their interaction and alleged the Deputy refused to provide his name, badge number, or supervisor information. The complainant also alleged the Deputy did not correctly handle the call for service. During the investigation, it was alleged the Deputy was unprofessional while speaking with a witness about the complainant. The complainant alleged two Detention Officers used excessive force	GH2 - Internal Investigations CP2 - Code of Conduct - Unbecoming Conduct and Public Demeanor	Sustained Unfounded	Written Reprimand	name, badge number, or supervisor information to the complainant. A review of the body worn camera footage found the allegation of unprofessionalism by the Deputy to the complainant was false or not supported by fact. After a review of the call for service, the allegation of the Deputy not handling the call for service correctly was found to be false or not supported by fact. During the investigation, it was found the Deputy was unprofessional when talking about the complainant to a witness within his investigation. After a review of the video surveillance, it was found the allegation of 2/18/202
	The complainant alleged a Deputy was unprofessional during their interaction and alleged the Deputy refused to provide his name, badge number, or supervisor information. The complainant also alleged the Deputy did not correctly handle the call for service. During the investigation, it was alleged the Deputy was unprofessional while speaking with a witness about the complainant.	GH2 - Internal Investigations CP2 - Code of Conduct - Unbecoming Conduct and Public Demeanor CP2 - Code of Conduct - Unbecoming Conduct and Public Demeanor CP1-Use of Force	Sustained Unfounded Sustained Unfounded	N/A	name, badge number, or supervisor information to the complainant. A review of the body worn camera footage found the allegation of unprofessionalism by the Deputy to the complainant was false or not supported by fact. After a review of the call for service, the allegation of the Deputy not handling the call for service correctly was found to be false or not supported by fact. During the investigation, it was found the Deputy was unprofessional when talking about the complainant to a witness within his investigation.
2021-0162 3/31/2021 External Complaint	The complainant alleged a Deputy was unprofessional during their interaction and alleged the Deputy refused to provide his name, badge number, or supervisor information. The complainant also alleged the Deputy did not correctly handle the call for service. During the investigation, it was alleged the Deputy was unprofessional while speaking with a witness about the complainant. The complainant alleged two Detention Officers used excessive force on an inmate.	GH2 - Internal Investigations CP2 - Code of Conduct - Unbecoming Conduct and Public Demeanor CP2 - Code of Conduct - Unbecoming Conduct and Public Demeanor CP1-Use of Force CP1-Use of Force	Sustained Unfounded Sustained Unfounded Unfounded	N/A N/A	name, badge number, or supervisor information to the complainant. A review of the body worn camera footage found the allegation of unprofessionalism by the Deputy to the complainant was false or not supported by fact. After a review of the call for service, the allegation of the Deputy not handling the call for service correctly was found to be false or not supported by fact. During the investigation, it was found the Deputy was unprofessional when talking about the complainant to a witness within his investigation. After a review of the video surveillance, it was found the allegation of excessive force was false or not supported by fact.
2021-0162 3/31/2021 External Complaint	The complainant alleged a Deputy was unprofessional during their interaction and alleged the Deputy refused to provide his name, badge number, or supervisor information. The complainant also alleged the Deputy did not correctly handle the call for service. During the investigation, it was alleged the Deputy was unprofessional while speaking with a witness about the complainant. The complainant alleged two Detention Officers used excessive force on an inmate. The complainant alleged Unknown Detention Staff allowed other	GH2 - Internal Investigations CP2 - Code of Conduct - Unbecoming Conduct and Public Demeanor CP2 - Code of Conduct - Unbecoming Conduct and Public Demeanor CP1-Use of Force	Sustained Unfounded Sustained Unfounded	N/A	name, badge number, or supervisor information to the complainant. A review of the body worn camera footage found the allegation of unprofessionalism by the Deputy to the complainant was false or not supported by fact. After a review of the call for service, the allegation of the Deputy not handling the call for service correctly was found to be false or not supported by fact. During the investigation, it was found the Deputy was unprofessional when talking about the complainant to a witness within his investigation. After a review of the video surveillance, it was found the allegation of excessive force was false or not supported by fact. The allegation of Unknown Detention Staff allowing inmates to 2/18/202
2021-0162 3/31/2021 External Complaint	The complainant alleged a Deputy was unprofessional during their interaction and alleged the Deputy refused to provide his name, badge number, or supervisor information. The complainant also alleged the Deputy did not correctly handle the call for service. During the investigation, it was alleged the Deputy was unprofessional while speaking with a witness about the complainant. The complainant alleged two Detention Officers used excessive force on an inmate.	GH2 - Internal Investigations CP2 - Code of Conduct - Unbecoming Conduct and Public Demeanor CP2 - Code of Conduct - Unbecoming Conduct and Public Demeanor CP1-Use of Force CP1-Use of Force	Sustained Unfounded Sustained Unfounded Unfounded	N/A N/A	name, badge number, or supervisor information to the complainant. A review of the body worn camera footage found the allegation of unprofessionalism by the Deputy to the complainant was false or not supported by fact. After a review of the call for service, the allegation of the Deputy not handling the call for service correctly was found to be false or not supported by fact. During the investigation, it was found the Deputy was unprofessional when talking about the complainant to a witness within his investigation. After a review of the video surveillance, it was found the allegation of excessive force was false or not supported by fact.
2020-0046 1/30/2020 External Complaint 2021-0162 3/31/2021 External Complaint 2021-0656 12/16/2021 External Complaint 2021-0017 9/17/2019 Critical Incident	The complainant alleged a Deputy was unprofessional during their interaction and alleged the Deputy refused to provide his name, badge number, or supervisor information. The complainant also alleged the Deputy did not correctly handle the call for service. During the investigation, it was alleged the Deputy was unprofessional while speaking with a witness about the complainant. The complainant alleged two Detention Officers used excessive force on an inmate. The complainant alleged Unknown Detention Staff allowed other	GH2 - Internal Investigations CP2 - Code of Conduct - Unbecoming Conduct and Public Demeanor CP2 - Code of Conduct - Unbecoming Conduct and Public Demeanor CP1 - Use of Force CP1 - Use of Force CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards	Sustained Unfounded Sustained Unfounded Unfounded	N/A N/A	name, badge number, or supervisor information to the complainant. A review of the body worn camera footage found the allegation of unprofessionalism by the Deputy to the complainant was false or not supported by fact. After a review of the call for service, the allegation of the Deputy not handling the call for service correctly was found to be false or not supported by fact. During the investigation, it was found the Deputy was unprofessional when talking about the complainant to a witness within his investigation. After a review of the video surveillance, it was found the allegation of excessive force was false or not supported by fact. The allegation of Unknown Detention Staff allowing inmates to 2/18/202
2021-0162 3/31/2021 External Complaint 2021-0656 12/16/2021 External Complaint	The complainant alleged a Deputy was unprofessional during their interaction and alleged the Deputy refused to provide his name, badge number, or supervisor information. The complainant also alleged the Deputy did not correctly handle the call for service. During the investigation, it was alleged the Deputy was unprofessional while speaking with a witness about the complainant. The complainant alleged two Detention Officers used excessive force on an inmate. The complainant alleged Unknown Detention Staff allowed other inmates to mistreat him due to his race.	GH2 - Internal Investigations CP2 - Code of Conduct - Unbecoming Conduct and Public Demeanor CP2 - Code of Conduct - Unbecoming Conduct and Public Demeanor CP1 - Use of Force CP1 - Use of Force CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards	Sustained Unfounded Sustained Unfounded Unfounded Unfounded Unfounded	N/A N/A N/A	name, badge number, or supervisor information to the complainant. A review of the body worn camera footage found the allegation of unprofessionalism by the Deputy to the complainant was false or not supported by fact. After a review of the call for service, the allegation of the Deputy not handling the call for service correctly was found to be false or not supported by fact. During the investigation, it was found the Deputy was unprofessional when talking about the complainant to a witness within his investigation. After a review of the video surveillance, it was found the allegation of excessive force was false or not supported by fact. The allegation of Unknown Detention Staff allowing inmates to mistreat him due to his race was false or not supported by fact.

1 of 5

IA No Opened	Incident Type	Summary	Allegation(s)/Force Type(s)	Outcome	Discipline	Investigative Summary Closed
A2020-0147 4/2/2020	External Complaint	The complainant alleged a Detention Officer threw away an inmates calling card.	CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards	Unfounded	N/A	The inmate reported to have found his calling card within his cell. The 2/22/2022 allegation of an Unknown Detention Officer throwing the calling card away was false or not supported by fact.
IA2020-0517 9/25/2020	External Complaint	The complainants alleged a Detention Officer used a racial slur when moving an inmate from a holding tank. Additionally, it was alleged the Officer separated one inmate from others based on his race.	CP2 - Code of Conduct - Unbecoming Conduct and Public Demeanor CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP8 - Preventing Racial and Other Biased Based profiling	Exonerated Exonerated Unfounded	N/A	The investigation found the Detention Officer repeated the slur to 2/22/2022 instruct the inmate to refrain from calling him the racial slur; the action of the Officer was found to be appropriate and reasonable. It was found the inmate was moved due to disruptive behavior and therefore reasonable; the allegation of the move being based on race was false or not supported by fact.
A2021-0331 6/21/2021	External Complaint	The complainant alleged a Deputy did not perform a proper investigation causing her to be charged with theft. It was also alleged the Deputy called her a derogatory name. Furthermore, the complainant stated pertinent information was left out of the report making it inaccurate.	CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Unbecoming Conduct and Public Demeanor GF5 - Incident Report Guidelines	Unfounded Unfounded Unfounded	N/A	After reviewing all the evidence, it was determined the Deputy performed a thorough investigation, giving the complainant ample opportunity to prove she did not commit theft. In addition, body worn camera footage and witness statements confirm the Deputy did not use a derogatory term, and the report included all pertinent information from the incident. All allegations were false or not supported fact.
A2021-0375 7/15/2021	External Complaint	The complainant alleged an Unknown Employee was rude and unprofessional during their phone conversation.	CP2 - Code of Conduct - Unbecoming Conduct and Public Demeanor	Not-Sustained	N/A	Due to the complainant not wanting to participate in the investigation, 2/22/2022 the Unknown employee could not be identified. There was insufficient evidence to prove or disprove an Unknown employee was rude and unprofessional.
A2021-0405 8/4/2021	External Complaint	An anonymous complainant alleged a Deputy was rude and condescending during a call for service.	CP2 - Code of Conduct - Unbecoming Conduct and Public Demeanor	Unfounded	N/A	Body worn camera footage showed clear and convincing evidence the 2/22/2022 Deputy was professional during his entire interaction with the complainant. The allegation was false or not supported by fact.
A2021-0502 9/16/2021	Internal Complaint	alleged acts of misconduct. It was alleged he accepted food from an inmate after giving the inmate a spoon, took hand sanitizer and a pair	CP2 - Code of Conduct - Associations and Fraternization with Inmates or Prisoners CP2 - Code of Conduct - Abuse of Process, Withholding Evidence, and Misappropriation of Property CP2 - Code of Conduct - Conformance to Established Laws CP5 - Truthfulness	Exonerated Not-Sustained Sustained Sustained	Employee Resigned	The Detention Officer was found to have acted within the scope of his 2/22/2022 duties when he provided the inmate with a spoon upon request. Since the food provided to the Officer was not the property of the inmate, the exchange was not a violation of policy. It was found the Officer took and then ultimately returned the hand sanitzer, however there was insufficient evidence to prove or disprove the Officer had permission to take it. It was found the Officer violated policies when he took a pair of inmate sandals without permission and when he entered incorrect temperature in the SHIELD system with the intent to deceive.
A2021-0550 10/26/2021	Internal Complaint	It was alleged a Detention Officer acted unprofessional by placing a sign on the door of the staff bathroom to restrict access to employees.	CP2 - Code of Conduct - Unbecoming Conduct and Public Demeanor	Unfounded	N/A	A review of witness statements found the allegation of the Detention 2/22/2022 Officer placing a sign on the bathroom door to restrict access to other employees was false or not supported by fact.
A2021-0575 11/8/2021	Internal Complaint	It was alleged a Detention Officer failed to notify his supervisors he would be out of the office for an extended period of time. It was alleged the Officer discovered his MCSO issued firearm was removed from his personal vehicle without his knowledge or permission and reported the missing firearm to the Phoenix Police. During the investigation, it was alleged the Officer failed to update his residence as required by policy.	CP2 - Code of Conduct - Punctuality CP2 - Code of Conduct - Care and Use of Office or County Equipment GI3 - Employee Data and Emergency Service Telephone Listings	Sustained Sustained Not-Sustained	Previously Resigned/Retired	The Detention Officer admitted he violated policy when he failed to 2/22/2022 notify a supervisor he would not be showing up for his scheduled shift. It was found he also violated policy when he left his firearm unsecured in his unlocked vehicle. Due to the principal refusing to participate in the investigation, specific details of his move date could not be confirmed. Therefore, there was insufficient evidence to prove or disprove the Officer failed to notify of his address changing within 72 hours as dictated in policy.
A2021-0589 11/16/2021	External Complaint	The complainant alleged her inmate son reported a Detention Sergeant was not wearing a mask.	CP2 - Code of Conduct - Conformance to Office Directives	Unfounded	N/A	After an interview with the inmate complainant, it was found there 2/22/2022 was a miscommunication between him and his mother and there was no act of misconduct. The allegation was found to be false or not supported by fact.

2 of 5 5/17/2022

A No Opened	Incident Type	Summary	Allegation(s)/Force Type(s)	Outcome	Discipline	Investigative Summary CI	Closed
A2019-0280 6/5/2019	External Complaint	The complainant alleged a Detention Officer caused her son, an inmate, to miss a visit by telling him it was cancelled. The inmate alleged the Detention Officer made a racial comment toward him.		Exonerated Unfounded	N/A	The investigation found there were technical issues with the outside vendor-video visitation system; the Detention Officer acted within policy by reporting the issue and attempting to move the visit to a different booth. After reviewing witness statements, the allegation of the Officer making a racial comment to the complainant was false or not supported by fact.	2/23/2022
A2021-0182 4/9/2021	External Complaint	The complainant alleged a Detention Officer obtained her protected address utilizing an MCSO database.	GF1 - Criminal Justice Data Systems	Unfounded	N/A	A review of the database records found the Detention Officer did not use MCSO systems to obtain the complainant's residential address. The allegation was false or not supported by fact.	2/23/2022
A2021-0529 10/12/2021	External Complaint	The complainant alleged an employee was rude and argumentative during telephonic contact.	CP2 - Code of Conduct - Unbecoming Conduct and Public Demeanor	Unfounded	N/A	It was found the individual the complainant spoke with was a 2/ Correction Health Employee, not a Sheriff's Office employee; therefore the allegation of an MCSO employee being rude and argumentative was false or not supported by fact. The complaint was forwarded to Correction Health for further processing.	2/23/2022
A2021-0662 12/22/2021	External Complaint		CP2 - Code of Conduct - Abuse of Position or Authority CP2 - Code of Conduct - Unbecoming Conduct and Public Demeanor	Not-Sustained Not-Sustained	N/A	Due to the video not including the entire interaction between the complainant and the Detention Officer and there being no other witnesses, there was insufficient evidence to prove or disprove the Officer "flashed his badge" or "break-checked" the complainant.	2/23/2022
A2018-0458 7/16/2018	Internal Complaint	The Detention Officer complainant alleged a Food Services Supervisor did not maintain a professional demeanor when he admonished him in front of other MCSO employees.	CP2 - Code of Conduct - Employee Relationships with other Employees	Sustained	Coaching	Based on principal and witness statements, it was found the Food 2/ Services Supervisor did not conduct himself professionally when addressing the Detention Officer.	2/24/2022
A2018-0539 8/21/2018	Internal Complaint	deployed OC spray multiple times on an inmate. It was also alleged the Detention Officer and another Officer failed to follow protocol when they failed to walk side by side while serving meals and when they left	CP1-Use of Force CP2-Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards	Sustained Not-Sustained Not-Sustained Not-Sustained Not-Sustained	Previously Resigned/Retired N/A	After a review of the surveillance video, it was found the Detention 2/ Officer violated policy when he deployed his OC spray after there was no immediate threat to him or the other Officer. Due to the lack of documented protocols, there was insufficient evidence to prove or disprove the two Officers failed to follow distance and cell trap protocols for meal distribution.	2/24/2022
A2019-0578 11/14/2019	External Complaint	The complainant alleged a Deputy wrote his license plate down hours after the Deputy told him he could not drive through a construction zone.	CP2 - Code of Conduct - Unbecoming Conduct and Public Demeanor	Exonerated	N/A	The allegation the Deputy wrote down the complainant's license plate 2/ number after driving through a construction zone was within MCSO policy and procedure as a preliminary step in a possible investigation of criminal damage caused by the complainant.	/24/2022
A2020-0206 4/28/2020	External Complaint	The complainant alleged a Deputy was rude and disrespectful when he yelled at him. Additionally, the complainant alleged the Deputy tailgated him.	CP2 - Code of Conduct - Unbecoming Conduct and Public Demeanor GE4 - Use/Operation of Vehicles	Not-Sustained Not-Sustained	N/A	There was insufficient evidence to prove or disprove the Deputy was rude and disrespectful while interacting with the complainant. The evidence was also insufficient to prove or disprove the Deputy tailgated the complainant.	2/24/2022
A2020-0356 7/14/2020	External Complaint		CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards	Not-Sustained Exonerated	N/A	There was insufficient evidence to prove or disprove the Deputy did 2/ not take a report for armed robbery. Additionally, it was determined the Deputy followed Office Policy, procedure, and training when leaving the complainant and their children at a safe, public space to await a ride.	2/24/2022
A2020-0493 9/16/2020	External Complaint	The complainant alleged a Deputy working at a court facility was not wearing his mask.	CP2 - Code of Conduct - Conformance to Office Directives	Exonerated	N/A	It was determined the Deputy was within MCSO policy and procedure 2/ as it is allowed to remove one's mask to eat or drink.	/24/2022
A2021-0356 7/5/2021	Internal Complaint	It was alleged a Deputy was the at-fault driver of a non-injury vehicle collision.	GE4 - Use/Operation of Vehicles	Sustained	Coaching	The deputy was involved in at at fault vehicle collision. 2/	2/24/2022
A2017-0391 6/8/2017	External Complaint		CP2 - Code of Conduct - Employee Relationships with Known or Suspected Criminals CP2 - Code of Conduct - Unethical Conduct CP2 - Code of Conduct - Conformance to Established Laws	Exonerated Unfounded Unfounded	N/A	The allegation of the Deputy being involved with a smuggling operation 2/ with his estranged wife was found to be false or not supported by fact. The two have a child together and any communication between them is limited to details about that child. Because of this, the Deputy communicating with her is reasonable and within policy even though she is a suspected or known criminal.	/25/2022

5/17/2022

IA No Opened Incid	ident Type	Summary	Allegation(s)/Force Type(s)	Outcome	Discipline	Investigative Summary Closed
IA2017-0695 9/28/2017 Inte	ernal Complaint	It was alleged an Unknown Employee failed to impound a driver's license.	GE3 - Property Management	Sustained	N/A	Although it is undetermined how the MCSO came into contact with the 2/25/2022 license plate and who had the responsibility of entering the plate into property and evidence, to deter is sufficient evidence to determine the license plate was not properly entered into property and evidence.
IA2021-0296 6/4/2021 Exte	ernal Complaint	The complainant alleged a Detention Officer used his credit card number and spent all of his money while in custody.	CP2 - Code of Conduct - Conformance to Established Laws	Unfounded	N/A	It was found the card in question was subject to weekly maintenance 2/25/2022 fees from the bank, explaining the loss of funds. The allegation of an Unknown Detention Officer using the complainant's card and spending his money was false or not supported by fact.
IA2021-0317 6/16/2021 Exte	ernal Complaint	The inmate complainant alleged a Detention Officer is inappropriate and overly familiar with other inmates when he asked for their phone numbers and social media information.	CP2 - Code of Conduct - Associations and Fraternization with Inmates or Prisoners	Sustained	Previously Resigned/Retired	Based on the witness and principal statements, there was sufficient 2/25/2022 evidence to prove the Detention Officer acted inappropriately and was overly familiar with inmates.
IA2021-0510 9/22/2021 Exte	ernal Complaint	The inmate complainant alleged a Detention Officer handed his personal documents to another inmate to deliver.	DK1 - Inmate Mail	Sustained	Coaching	After a review of the surveillance video, it was found the Detention 2/25/2022 Officer violated policy when he handed the inmate's personal documents to another inmate.
IA2017-0692 9/28/2017 Inte	ernal Complaint	It was alleged a Detention Sergeant and Detention Officer failed to	CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards	Sustained	Coaching	It was found the Detention Sergeant and Officer failed to perform their 2/28/2022
		perform their assigned duties when they mishandled an inmate's \$1,050 cashiers check resulting in the check going missing.	CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards	Sustained	Previously Resigned/Retired	assigned duties in an acceptable manner.
IA2019-0459 9/9/2019 Exte	ernal Complaint	The complainant alleged two Deputies witnessed his daughter's car tires being punched during a civil standby and did not take appropriate action. Additionally, he alleged the Deputies took the side of the other involved party during the call for service. The complainant alleged one Deputy ignored his daughter due to a gender bias stemming from a previous investigation in which she was involved.		Unfounded Unfounded Exonerated Unfounded Sustained	N/A Coaching	After a review of the body worn camera footage, the allegation of the 2/28/2022 two Deputies taking the side of the other involved party was false or not supported by fact. It was found the first Deputy failed to take appropriate action when the other involved party admitted to puncturing the tires. The second Deputy was not aware the tires were damaged, therefore his lack of action was proper and within policy and procedure. A review of the body worn camera footage from the previous investigation showed the second Deputy did not have any interaction with the complainant's daughter. Additionally, the body worn camera pertaining to this incident was reviewed and no bias was identified. The allegation of gender bias in this incident based on a previous interaction by the second Deputy was false or not supported by fact.
IA2019-0508 10/8/2019 Exte	ernal Complaint	The anonymous complainant alleged a Detention Officer physically assaulted a second Officer. It was also alleged the first and second Detention Officer were romantically involved and failed to report it to their supervisors. Additionally, it was alleged the first Officer and a third Officer were romantically involved and failed to report the relationship to their supervisors.	CP2 - Code of Conduct - Conformance to Established Laws CP3 - Workplace Professionalism CP3 - Workplace Professionalism CP3 - Workplace Professionalism CP3 - Workplace Professionalism	Unfounded Unfounded Sustained Sustained Unfounded	Written Reprimand Written Reprimand N/A	The allegation of assault was found to be false or not supported by fact. The investigation found the first and second Detention Officers violated policy when they failed to report their relationship to their supervisor. The allegation of the first and third Officer being involved in a relationship was found to be false or not supported by fact.
IA2020-0484 9/11/2020 Inte	ernal Complaint	It was alleged an off-duty Detention Officer discharged his firearm in an unknown direction within city limits. Additionally, it was alleged the Officer wore identifiable McSO attire and his personally owned firearm to an off-duty social event. It was also alleged the Officer carried his personal firearm into a jail facility without permission. It was alleged the Officer has repeatedly contacted a fellow female Officer after being directed to stop and attempted to follow her home. During the investigation, it was alleged a second and third officer failed to report possible misconduct to a supervisor. It was also alleged the second Officer reported he routinely did not complete his required training in the time required.	CP2 - Code of Conduct - Employee Relationships with other Employees GJ23 - Firearms CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards	Unfounded Not-Sustained Sustained Unfounded Sustained Sustained Sustained	Employee Suspended Written Reprimand Previously Resigned/Retired	It was found the Detention Officer violated policy when he wore MCSO 2/28/2022 identifiable attire to a social event while wearing his personal firearm. After a review of witness statements, the allegation of the Officer firing his weapon within city limits and carrying his personal firearm into a secured jail facility were false or not supported by fact. There was insufficient evidence to prove or disprove the Officer repeatedly contacted a female Officer after she told him to stop and attempted to follow her home. The second and third Officer's violated policy when they failed to report employee misconduct. The second Officer also violated policy when he did not complete his required training appropriately or timely.
IA2020-0677 12/9/2020 Exte	ernal Complaint	The complainant alleged a Deputy was driving at excessive speeds without lights and sirens. It was also alleged the Deputy made unsafe lane changes, cutting off other drivers.	GE4 - Use/Operation of Vehicles GE4 - Use/Operation of Vehicles	Sustained Not-Sustained	Written Reprimand	When responding to a priority call for service, the Deputy traveled at a 2/28/2022 high rate of speed, violating policy when he failed to activate his lights and sirens. Additionally, there was insufficient evidence to prove the Deputy made unsafe lane changes.

4 of 5 5/17/2022

	Incident Type	Summary	Allegation(s)/Force Type(s)	Outcome	Discipline	Investigative Summary	Closed
IA2021-0004 1/5/2021	Internal Complaint	It was alleged two Detention Officers were involved in a sexual	CP3 - Workplace Professionalism	Sustained	Employee Terminated	It was found both Officers violated policy by not reporting their	2/28/2022
	relationship and failed to report it to their supervisors. It was also alleged the two Officers engaged in sexual activity on duty.	CP2 - Code of Conduct - Unbecoming Conduct and Public Demeanor	Sustained		relationship to a supervisor and engaging in sexual activity on duty.		
			CP3 - Workplace Professionalism	Sustained	Previously Resigned/Retired		
		CP2 - Code of Conduct - Unbecoming Conduct and Public Demeanor	Sustained				
IA2021-0089 2/19/2021 External Complaint	. External Complaint	The complainant alleged a Detention Sergeant failed to follow	GB2 - Command Responsibility	Sustained	Coaching	It was found the Detention Officer failed to follow directives when he	2/28/2022
	·	directives when he scheduled and brought a non-MCSO employee to	,		•	scheduled a non-MCSO employee to receive a COVID vaccine. The	
		receive the COVID vaccine causing on-site confusion and billing issues.	GB2 - Command Responsibility	Sustained	Coaching	Detention Lieutenant failed follow written directives when he	
		During the investigation, it was alleged a Detention Lieutenant did not				forwarded directions for vaccine scheduling.	
		follow a written directive when he forwarded the vaccine scheduling					
		directions, allowing a Detention Sergeant to sign up an unauthorized person for the vaccine.					
IA2021-0400 8/2/2021	External Complaint	It was alleged a Detention Officer was arrested for Extreme DUI.	CP2 - Code of Conduct - Conformance to Established Laws	Sustained	Probationary Release	It was found the Deputy failed to comply with established laws by	2/28/2022
						driving under the extreme influence of alcohol.	
IA2021-0401 8/2/2021	External Complaint	An out of state law enforcement agency reported a Deputy being	CP2 - Code of Conduct - Conformance to Established Laws	Sustained	Employee Suspended	driving under the extreme influence of alcohol. The investigation found the Deputy failed to conform to established	2/28/2022
IA2021-0401 8/2/2021	External Complaint		CP2 - Code of Conduct - Conformance to Established Laws CP2 - Code of Conduct - Unbecoming Conduct and Public Demeanor	Sustained Sustained	Employee Suspended	Ţ	2/28/2022
IA2021-0401 8/2/2021	External Complaint	An out of state law enforcement agency reported a Deputy being			Employee Suspended	The investigation found the Deputy failed to conform to established	2/28/2022
IA2021-0401 8/2/2021	External Complaint	An out of state law enforcement agency reported a Deputy being involved in a criminal investigation, resulting in an active arrest	CP2 - Code of Conduct - Unbecoming Conduct and Public Demeanor CP2 - Code of Conduct - Unbecoming Conduct and Public Demeanor	Sustained	Employee Suspended	The investigation found the Deputy failed to conform to established laws. It was found the Deputy failed to conduct himself favorably	2/28/2022
IA2021-0401 8/2/2021	External Complaint	An out of state law enforcement agency reported a Deputy being involved in a criminal investigation, resulting in an active arrest warrant. Additionally, it was alleged the Deputy failed to conduct himself in a favorable manner when he identified himself as a Maricop County Sheriff's Deputy during an incident and during his interactions	CP2 - Code of Conduct - Unbecoming Conduct and Public Demeanor CP2 - Code of Conduct - Unbecoming Conduct and Public Demeanor a CP5 - Truthfulness	Sustained Sustained	Employee Suspended	The investigation found the Deputy failed to conform to established laws. It was found the Deputy failed to conduct himself favorably when he identified himself as deputy and when interacting with the other law enforcement officers. Due to several factors, there was insufficient evidence to prove or disprove the Deputy intentionally	
IA2021-0401 8/2/2021	External Complaint	An out of state law enforcement agency reported a Deputy being involved in a criminal investigation, resulting in an active arrest warrant. Additionally, it was alleged the Deputy failed to conduct himself in a favorable manner when he identified himself as a Maricop	CP2 - Code of Conduct - Unbecoming Conduct and Public Demeanor CP2 - Code of Conduct - Unbecoming Conduct and Public Demeanor a CP5 - Truthfulness	Sustained Sustained	Employee Suspended	The investigation found the Deputy failed to conform to established laws. It was found the Deputy failed to conduct himself favorably when he identified himself as deputy and when interacting with the other law enforcement officers. Due to several factors, there was	

5 of 5 5/17/2022