Professional Standards Bureau Paragraph #252 - Detailed Summaries of Completed Internal Affairs Investigations 04/01/2022 - 04/30/2022

IA No IA2021-0520	Opened 10/5/2021	Incident Type External Complaint	Summary The complainant alleged a Deputy sped down a residential street in a fully marked MCSO patrol vehicle.	Allegation(s)/Force Type(s) GE4 - Use/Operation of Vehicles	Outcome Not-Sustained	Discipline N/A	Investigative Summary After reviewing the GPS data, the speed of the vehicle was not recorded at the location identified by the complainant. Since the Deputy's speed could not be verified, there was insufficient evidence to prove or disprove the Deputy was speeding.
2020-0576	10/22/2020	Internal Complaint	It was alleged a Detention Officer made an inappropriate gesture to an inmate. It was also alleged the Officer was not truthful with a supervisor when asked about the incident.	CP5 - Truthfulness CP2 - Code of Conduct - Unbecoming Conduct and Public Demeanor	Sustained Sustained	Employee Terminated	It was found the Officer violated policy when he flipped off an inmate and was untruthful 4/15/2022 with his supervisor when asked about it.
2021-0581	11/10/2021	External Complaint	The inmate complainant alleged a Detention Instructor made an inappropriate racial comment after he requested to watch a Mexican movie.	r CP2 - Code of Conduct - Unbecoming Conduct and Public Demeanor	Not-Sustained	N/A	The investigation could not determine the intent of the alleged statement therefore there 4/19/2022 was insufficient evidence to prove or disprove the actual statement made by the Detention Instructor and whether or not it was inappropriate.
2021-0646	12/13/2021	External Complaint	The complainant alleged a Detention Officer is misrepresenting himself as a Deputy.	CP2 - Code of Conduct - Abuse of Position or Authority	Unfounded	N/A	The allegation of the Detention Officer misrepresenting himself was false or not supported 4/19/2022 by fact.
021-0672	12/29/2021	External Complaint	The complainant alleged a Detention Officer yelled profanities at him.	CP2 - Code of Conduct - Unbecoming Conduct and Public Demeanor	Sustained	Coaching	The Detention Officer admitted to using profanity in the presence of the complainant. 4/19/2022
12021-0005	2/8/2021	Critical Incident	•	CP5 - Truthfulness	Sustained Sustained Sustained	Employee Terminated	The investigation found there was no employee involvement in the inmate's death and the 4/20/2022 manner of death was deemed a suicide. Additionally, it was found a Detention Officer failed to conduct a proper security walk, failing to observe the deceased inmate. It was also found the Detention Officer violated policy by not wearing his mask properly and was untruthful to PSB investigators.
2020-0278	6/8/2020	External Complaint	The complainant alleged a Detention Sergeant was not cooperating during a previously established civil standby.	CP2 - Code of Conduct - Unbecoming Conduct and Public Demeanor	Unfounded	N/A	Due to the lack of evidence provided by the complainant, the allegation of the Detention 4/22/2022 Sergeant not cooperating during a previously established civil standby was false or not supported by fact.
2021-0200	4/21/2021	Internal Complaint	The Deputy alleged a fellow Deputy knowingly poured an unwanted shot of alcohol into her drink.	CP2 - Code of Conduct - Conformance to Established Laws CP2 - Code of Conduct - Unbecoming Conduct and Public Demeanor	Sustained Sustained	Previously Resigned/Retired	It was found the Deputy violated policy and state law when he poured a shot of alcohol in 4/22/2022 the the Deputy's drink without her consent.
021-0652	12/14/2021	Internal Complaint	It was alleged a Detention Sergeant altered the timecards of employees to reflect inaccurate hours. It was also alleged the Sergeant changed the timecard of a Detention Officer without his approval, resulting in the Officer not being paid for hours worked. Additionally, it was alleged the Sergeant did not enter comments into the ADP system when making changes.		Sustained Sustained Sustained Sustained	Employee Retired	It was found the Detention Sergeant violated policy when he changed the Detention 4/22/2022 Officer's timecard without his approval, resulting in the employee not being paid for hours worked. The Detention Sergeant admitted to the practice of removing hours from employees to avoid paying unauthorized overtime, which led to the timecards reflecting inaccurate hours worked by employees. It was also found the Sergeant failed to enter comments to the timecards after making changes.
022-0062	2/16/2022	External Complaint	compensated status and in uniform. The Detention Officer alleged the complainant, while employed with MCSO, brought his cell phone within the confines of the secured jail facility. During the investigation, it was alleged the Detention Officer and the complainant were both aware of employee misconduct and failed to report it.	CP2 - Code of Conduct - Individual Responsibility CP2 - Code of Conduct - Prohibited Items Entering Secured Jail Facilities	Sustained Unfounded Sustained Sustained Not-Sustained Not-Sustained Sustained	Previously Resigned/Retired Previously Resigned/Retired	It was found the Detention Officer violated policy when she brought her personal cell phone 4/22/2022 into a secured jail facility without prior approval. After reviewing the pictures posted by the Officer, there was insufficient evidence to prove or disprove the pictures were taken and posted while she was in a compenated status. As for the complainant, it was found he violated policy, while employed by MCSO, when he brought his cell phone in the secured jail facility without prior approval. Both employees were found to have violated policy by not reporting the miscondcut of a prohibited itemd being brought into the secured jail facility. Since the investigation could not substatiate the Officer's social media posting as a policy violation, the allegation of the complainant not reporting employee miscondcut was false or not supported by fact.
2017-0759	10/18/2017	Internal Complaint	The Detention Officer alleged a Detention Sergeant changed his timecard on multiple occasions without his approval, resulting in him not being paid for his hours worked, to include overtime hours to avoid completing a memorandum. During the course of the investigation, it was alleged the Sergeant did this with another employee and had made this a common practice with other Unknown employees to avoid unauthorized overtime. Additionally, it was alleged the Sergeant failed to enter comments in the ADP system when making changes to the timecards.	GC8 - Compensation and the ADP System CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards	Sustained Unfounded Sustained Sustained Sustained Sustained Sustained Unfounded	Employee Suspended	It was found the Detention Sergeant violated policy when he changed the complainant's 4/26/2022 timecard without his approval. After a review of the Officer's timecard, the allegation of the Officer not getting paid for hours worked was false or not supported by fact. During the investigation, it was found the Sergeant violated policy when he changed the timecards of another employee without his approval, resulting in the employee not being paid for hours worked. The Detention Sergeant admitted to the practice of removing hours from employees to avoid paying unauthorized overtime. It was also found the Sergeant failed to enter comments to the timecards after making changes. It was found the Sergeant was not responsible for authoring overtime memos, therefore the allegation of him changing timecards to avoid writing the memos was false or not supported by fact.
A2020-0221	5/6/2020	External Complaint	Deputy harassed them when he came to their house late at night to issue a corrected citation. It was also alleged the Deputy violated policy by not towing the vehicle from the scene and did not complete the traffic stop in an efficient manner as required by his rank or position.		Unfounded Not-Sustained Exonerated Exonerated Unfounded Sustained Sustained Sustained	Written Reprimand	After a review of investigative interviews, documents, and the body worn camera footage, the duration of the stop was found to be appropriate given the circumstances. The allegation of discrimination during the traffic stop was false or not support by fact. Due to the Deputy not being able to articulate the appropriate part of the statute for the stop, there was insufficient evidence to prove or disprove he did not have a valid reason to stop the complainants. A review of the body worn camera footage showed the driver understood and was responding to the Deputy's statements and directives during the trafic stop. Because of these factors, the Deputy's decision to not use an interpreter was reasonable and appropriate. The reviewed recordings and video found the communication between the Deputy and the complainants was appropriate and professional; the allegation of harassment was false or not supported by fact. It was found the Deputy failed to tow the vehicle as required by law and policy, failed to initiate his body worn camera for a phone call with the complainant, and overall failed to complete the traffic stop in an acceptable manner as required by his position.

1 of 3 7/5/2022

Professional Standards Bureau Paragraph #252 - Detailed Summaries of Completed Internal Affairs Investigations 04/01/2022 - 04/30/2022

No	Opened	Incident Type	Summary	Allegation(s)/Force Type(s)	Outcome	Discipline	Investigative Summary Closed
IA2020-0457	8/28/2020	Internal Complaint	The complainant alleged a fellow Detention Officer made inappropriate comments toward her at	CP2 - Code of Conduct - Unbecoming Conduct and Public Demeanor	Not-Sustained	N/A	Due to inconsistent witness accounts, there was insufficient evidence to prove or disprove 4/26/20
			work and on social media regarding her appearance and personal relationships. It was also alleged		Not-Sustained		the Detention Officer made inappropriate comments toward the complianant Officer. Since
			the Officer made unwanted contact in the facility parking lot and pursued her through the facility,	-	Not-Sustained		the social media messages and comments were not provided, there was insufficient
			not stopping after being asked.	CP2 - Code of Conduct - Unbecoming Conduct and Public Demeanor	Not-Sustained		evidence to prove or disprove the Officer made inappropriate comments via social
							media. Due to a lack of witnesses, there was insufficient evidence to prove or disporve the Officer made unwanted contact or pursued the complainant through the jail facility.
A2021-0147	3/24/2021	External Complaint	The inmate complainant alleged a Detention Officer made inappropriate and racial comments toward an African American inmate. The inmate also alleged the Officer made an inappropriate	CP2 - Code of Conduct - Unbecoming Conduct and Public Demeanor CP2 - Code of Conduct - Unbecoming Conduct and Public Demeanor	Sustained Not-Sustained	Coaching	It was found the Detention Officer made an inappropriate comment about the inmate's 4/26/20 sexual preferences. Due to conflicting witness statements and the video surveillance video
			comment about and inmate's sexual preferences.				not having sound, there was insufficient evidence to prove or disprove the Officer made inapropriate and racial comments toward an African American inmate.
1-0667	12/27/2021	External Complaint	Glendale PD alleged a Detention Sergeant was involved and arrested for an incident involving criminal damage, disorderly conduct, and domestic violence.	CP2 - Code of Conduct - Conformance to Established Laws	Sustained	Previously Resigned/Retired	It was found the Detention Sergeant failed to conform to established laws by committing 4/26/20 criminal damage, disorderly conduct, and domestic violence.
12020-0005	2/24/2020	Critical Incident	A critical incident investigation was initiated to review the death of an inmate that occurred at the Lower Buckeye Jail Facility. During the investigation, it was alleged three Detention Officers		Exonerated	N/A	Although the manner of the inmate's death was deemed a suicide, the investigation found 4/27/20 there was insufficient evidence to prove or disprove the actions of the involved Detention
			incorrectly housed the inmate with others and two of the three Officers failed to ensure the safety		Exonerated	Previously Resigned/Retired	Staff, if different, could have prevented the suicide. The three Detention Officers were
			of the inmate. It was also alleged one of those Officers conducted an improper identification headcount of the inmate's housing unit. Additionally, a fourth Officer failed to contact medical	DH6 - Inmate Supervision, Security Walks and Headcounts DH6 - Inmate Supervision, Security Walks and Headcounts	Not-Sustained Sustained		found to be within MCSO policy and procedure when housing the inmate with similarly classified inmates. Although the security walks of both Officers were conducted properly,
			staff when he noticed the inmate's arm was discolored; conducted an improper identification and	Dno - Illinate Supervision, Security Walks and HeadCounts	Sustaineu		the time of death could not be specifically identified. Because of this, there was insufficient evidence to prove or disprove the two Detention Officers failed to ensure the safety of the
			headcount of the housing unit; and failed to properly look into the cells during a security walk.	CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards	Exonerated	N/A	inmate. One of the Officers was found to have violated policy when he did not conduct an
				DH6 - Inmate Supervision, Security Walks and Headcounts	Not-Sustained	.4/*	adequate identification headcount. Additionally, it was found a fourth Detention Officer failed to investigate or take further action when he noticed the inmate's arm was
				CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards	Sustained	Employee Suspended	discolored. The fourth Officer also admitted he did not conduct a proper identification
				DH6 - Inmate Supervision, Security Walks and Headcounts	Sustained	,	headcount and security walk.
				CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards	Sustained		
768	10/19/2017	Internal Complaint	The complainant alleged a Detention Officer addressed African American and Hispanic inmates by	CP2 - Code of Conduct - Unbecoming Conduct and Public Demeanor	Unfounded	Employee Terminated	The allegations of the Detention Officer addressing African American and Hispanic inmates 4/27/
	. ,	,	the derogatory racial slurs on several occasions. He also alleged the Officer would antagonize	CP2 - Code of Conduct - Unbecoming Conduct and Public Demeanor	Unfounded	. ,	using specific racial slurs were false or not supported by fact. Due to a lack of witnesses,
			inmates to incite conflict. Additionally it was alleged the Officer would make inappropriate	CP2 - Code of Conduct - Unbecoming Conduct and Public Demeanor	Not-Sustained		there was insufficient evidence to prove or disprove the Officer addressed Hispanic inmates
			comments about another Officer being another Officer's "work wife." It was also alleged the	CP2 - Code of Conduct - Unbecoming Conduct and Public Demeanor	Not-Sustained		using a racial slur. The Detention Officer admitted to using a racial slur in the presence of
			Detention Officer was untruthful with PSB investigators. It was alleged a second Detention Officer	_	Not-Sustained		other Officers while at work as she did not believe the term to be derogatory. It was also
			witnessed the first Officer use racial slurs toward an inmate and failed to report the misconduct to supervisory staff.	CP2 - Code of Conduct - Unbecoming Conduct and Public Demeanor CP2 - Code of Conduct - Unbecoming Conduct and Public Demeanor	Not-Sustained Sustained		found the Detention Officer was untruthful with investigators in a follow up interview regarding her use of a racial slur. As for the other allegations, due to a lack of examples,
			Supervisory Starr.	CP5 - Truthfulness	Sustained		there was insufficient evidence to prove or disprove the Officer would antagonize inmates to incite conflict and there was insufficent evidence to prove or disprove the Officer made
				CP2 - Code of Conduct - Individual Responsibility	Sustained	Written Reprimand	inappropriate comments about an Officer being a "work wife." The second Detention Officer that witnessed the first Officer use a derogatory term was found to have violated policy when she did not report the misconduct to her supervisory staff.
-0773	10/19/2017	External Complaint	A work release inmate alleged a Detention Officer whistled at her over the jail intercom while walking past the Towers Jail facility.	CP2 - Code of Conduct - Unbecoming Conduct and Public Demeanor CP2 - Code of Conduct - Unbecoming Conduct and Public Demeanor	Not-Sustained Not-Sustained	N/A N/A	Due to the lack of witnesses and the two potential Detention Officers denied whistling, there was insufficient evidence to prove or disprove the allegation.
				CF2 - Code of Conduct - OfficeConning Conduct and Public Demeanor	NOt-Sustaineu	IV/A	
0826	11/13/2017	External Complaint	The complainant alleged a Lieutenant was dismissive and degrading toward her and her concerns over the phone. She also alleged the Lieutenant sent a Deputy to her house to investigate a neighbor dispute as a form of harassment.	CP2 - Code of Conduct - Unbecoming Conduct and Public Demeanor CP2 - Code of Conduct - Unethical Conduct	Not-Sustained Exonerated	N/A	Since there is no recording of the Lieutenant's and complainant's conversation, there was 4/27/2 insufficient evidence to prove or disprove the Lieutenant was rude during their interaction. The investigation found the Lieutenant sending a Deputy to investigate a neighbor dispute was appropriate and within policy as he was trying to fair and impartial.
077	2/2/2018	Internal Complaint	It was alleged, during a polygraph examination, a Detention Officer disclosed he consumed a released inmate's purchased canteen item that was supposed to be refunded to the inmate; took	CP2 - Code of Conduct - Sleeping On-Duty CP2 - Code of Conduct - Individual Responsibility	Sustained Sustained	Employee Suspended	The investigation found the Detention Officer violated policy by sleeping on duty without 4/27/2 permission and not reporting the misconduct; accepting and consuming food offered to him
			pink boxers and socks meant for inmates without approval; fell asleep on duty numerous times and		Sustained		by inmates; and bringing his personal phone into the secured jail facility. It was found all
			failed to report it to his supervisor; consumed food offered to him by an inmate; brought his	CP2 - Code of Conduct - Conformance to Established Laws	Not-Sustained		entries and walks were completed within the timeframe as required by policy; the allegation
			personal cell phone into the jail facility to watch Netflix while on duty; logged late security walks as completed on time in the operations journal; and had an argument with his significant other that	GB2 - Command Responsibility CP2 - Code of Conduct - Conformance to Established Laws	Sustained Not-Sustained		of the Officer logging the walks incorrectly was false or not supported by fact. After a review of the witness's statement and a lack of a call for service of the event, the allegation
			lead to physical contact.	DH6 - Inmate Supervision, Security Walks and Headcounts	Not-Sustained Unfounded		of assault by the Officer was false or not supported by fact. There was insufficient evidence
				CP2 - Code of Conduct - Conformance to Established Laws	Unfounded		to prove or disprove the Officer had intent to deprive MCSO or the inmate of property.
)78	2/2/2018	Internal Complaint	It was alleged a Detention Officer has had an excessive amount of unscheduled absences in the	GC1 - Leave and Absences	Not-Sustained	N/A	Due to the lack of documentaion, there was insufficient evidence to prove or disprove the 4/27/
770	2, 2, 2010	internal complaint	past six months.	del Ecave and Absences	Not Sustained	14/7	Detetnion Officer did not have legitimate reasons for his time off.
2019-0529	10/17/2019	External Complaint	The complainant alleged a Detention Officer declined to accept a tank order from an inmate	CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards	Exonerated	N/A	The evidence indicates the Officer informed the inmate she needed to complete the tank 4/27/2
529			requesting to speak to a Sergeant. It was also alleged that the Officer was rude when she searched the inmate's bed while she was out of his cell. Additionally, the complainant stated a second Officer refused to fax paperwork on behalf of the inmate.	CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Unbecoming Conduct and Public Demeanor	Exonerated Unfounded	N/A	order before it could be accepted. Further, officers are required to perform bunk searches each shift; therefore, the allegation of rudeness was false and not supported by fact. Finally, following proper facility protocol, the Officer could not fax the document as requested.
)529			zamen zamen za tak papat kan on ochan or the minute.		Not-Sustained	N/A	op 1 p 2 red of the officer odd of the document as requested.
529				GD1 - General Office Procedures	Not Sustained	•	
0529	6/29/2020	External Complaint	A complainant alleged a Sheriff's Office employee parked in a jail parking lot had a noose hanging in		Sustained	Coaching	It was found the Detention Officer brought the Office into disrepute and failed to promote a 4/27/2

2 of 3

7/5/2022

Professional Standards Bureau Paragraph #252 - Detailed Summaries of Completed Internal Affairs Investigations 04/01/2022 - 04/30/2022

NO	Opened	Incident Type	Summary	Allegation(s)/Force Type(s)	Outcome	Discipline	Investigative Summary Close
IA No IA2020-0459	8/31/2020	External Complaint	The employee complainant alleged a Deputy failed to secure his duty weapon when entering a jail		Sustained	Coaching	Investigative Summary Closed The Deputy failed to make a reasonable decision and violated policy by disregarding the 4/27/20
			facility. It was alleged the Deputy remained inside the facility with his weapon and ammunition for		Not-Sustained		proper procedure to secure his duty weapon in a jail facility and by remaining in the jail
			, ,	CP5 - Truthfulness CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards	Not-Sustained Sustained		facility after being notified to secure his gun. Additionally, there was insufficient evidence to prove or disprove the allegations the Deputy was rude or was untruthful when confronted
				CP2 - Code of Conduct - Employee Relationships with other Employees	Unfounded		with the issue. Lastly, the allegation the Deputy was defiant or unprofessional was not
			confronted about the issue. Furthermore, it was alleged the Deputy was defiant toward the				supported by the facts.
			Sergeant when asked about his failure to follow proper facility procedures and ignoring instructions from Detention staff.				
2021-0005	1/5/2021	Internal Complaint	It was alleged that two Detention Officers had sexual relations while on duty. It was also alleged the Officers did not report their intimate relationship to their supervisors. Additionally, it was	CP3 - Workplace Professionalism CP2 - Code of Conduct - Unbecoming Conduct and Public Demeanor	Sustained Sustained	Previously Resigned/Retired	The first Detention Officer admitted to having a sexual relationship with the second Officer 4/27, while on duty. The Officer also admitted he failed to report the relationship to his
			alleged two other Detention Officers were aware of the misconduct and failed to promptly report the matter to a supervisor.		Sustamed		supervisor. Due to the second Detention Officer not participating in the investigation, there was insufficient evidence to prove or disprove she was involved in the sexual relationship
				CP3 - Workplace Professionalism CP2 - Code of Conduct - Unbecoming Conduct and Public Demeanor	Not-Sustained Not-Sustained	N/A	and failed to report it to a supervisor. Of the two other Detention Officers, one admitted to failing to report the misconduct promply to a supervisor. Due to a lack of other witnesses,
				CP2 - Code of Conduct - Individual Responsibility	Sustained	Previously Terminated	there was insufficient evidence to prove or disprove the other Officer was aware of the misconduct and failed to report it promply.
				CP2 - Code of Conduct - Individual Responsibility	Not-Sustained	N/A	
6	2/15/2021	External Complaint	The complainant alleged a Sworn Sergeant used an MCSO fax cover sheet, leading the receiver to	CP2 - Code of Conduct - Care and Use of Office or County Equipment	Exonerated	Coaching	The investigation found the Deputy's limited, incidental personal use of the an MCSO fax 4/27
021-0076	2/15/2021	External Complaint	believe they were under investigation by the Sheriff's Office. It was also alleged the Sergeant used	· · · ·	Sustained	Coaching	machine did not violate policy. It was found the Deputy failed to make a reasonable
			a county fax machine for personal use and failed to make a reasonable decision by using an MCSO		Unfounded		decision by using an MCSO fax coversheet; however the allegation the Deputy used it to
			fax cover sheet for a personal matter.				intimidate or make the receiver believe they were under investigation was false or not supported by fact.
2021-0189	4/14/2021	External Complaint	It was alleged on the same day, two Detention Officers made contact with an inmate's genitalia	GJ28 - Prison Rape Elimination Act (PREA)	Sustained	Previously Resigned/Retired	It was found one of the Detention Officers violated policy and procedure when he touched 4/27
			when he was restrained to assist him with urinating. It was alleged both Officers were present during the two incidents and failed to report it to a supervisor. It was alleged one of the Officers was not truthful when interviewed by PSB investigators. It was also alleged another Officer was	CP2 - Code of Conduct - Individual Responsibility	Sustained		the inmate. There was insufficient evidence to prove or disprove the second Detention Officer touched the inmate's penis. It was also fround the second officer violated policy when he made untruthful statements to PSB investigators. Additionally, it was found the
			present during one of the incidents and failed to report the misconduct to their supervisor.	CP2 - Code of Conduct - Individual Responsibility	Sustained	Employee Terminated	first and second Officers violated policy when they did not report the alleged misconduct to
				GJ28 - Prison Rape Elimination Act (PREA) CP5 - Truthfulness	Not-Sustained Sustained		a supervisor. There was insufficient evidence to prove or disprove the third Detention Officer witnessed the misconduct and failed to report it.
				CP2 - Code of Conduct - Individual Responsibility	Not-Sustained	N/A	
93	11/17/2021	External Complaint	The complainant alleged a Detention Lieutenant requested the name of CHS staff that submitted an anonymous complaint.	CP11 - Anti-Retaliation	Not-Sustained	N/A	There was insufficient evidence to prove or disprove the Detention Lieutenant intended to 4/27 discourgage CHS staff members from filing complainants.
061	2/16/2022	External Complaint	It was alleged a Detention Officer has used a tobacco vape device inside the jail facility and an MCSO vehicle on three separate occasions. During the investigation, it was alleged the	GD4 - Use of Tobacco Products GD4 - Use of Tobacco Products	Not-Sustained Sustained	Previously Resigned/Retired	The Detention Officer admitted to using her tobacco vape device on two of the three 4/27 occassions. Due to the lack of other witnesses, there was insufficient evidence to prove or
			complainant was aware of the employee misconduct and failed to report it. The Detention Officer alleged the complainant made the allegation against her in retaliation for her filing a complaint		Sustained		disprove she used the vape device on a third occasion. It was found the complainant was aware of employee misconduct and failed to report it. The allegation of retaliation could
			against him.	CP2 - Code of Conduct - Individual Responsibility	Sustained	Previously Resigned/Retired	not be substantiated since the complainant was no longer an employee and no longer held to MCSO policy at the time of his complaint; the allegation was false or not supported by
				CP2 - Code of Conduct - Individual Responsibility	Sustained	Treviously Resigned/Retired	fact.
				CP2 - Code of Conduct - Individual Responsibility	Sustained		
				CP2 - Code of Conduct - Individual Responsibility	Unfounded		
063	2/17/2022	Internal Complaint	It was alleged a Detention ID Technician provided false information on a background questionnaire.	CP5 - Truthfulness	Sustained	Probationary Release	The Detention ID Tech admitted to providing false information on his background 4/27
							questionaire.
03	10/31/2017	External Complaint	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	CP8 - Preventing Racial and Other Biased Based profiling	Unfounded	N/A	After a review of the body worn camera, the allegation of rudeness by the Deputies was 4/28
			alleged their actions were based on the race of the parties involved. The complainant also alleged a Detective was demeaning and did not conduct a proper investigation. It was also alleged the	CP2 - Code of Conduct - Unbecoming Conduct and Public Demeanor CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards	Unfounded Unfounded		false or not supported by fact. Additionally, Deputies were found to have conducted an appropriate investigation, therefore the allegation of the Deputies "putting zero effort" into
			Detective's actions were based on the race of the parties involved.	CD2 Code of Complete Follows to March Co. 1	** - * * - * *	AL / A	helping the complainant was false or not supported by fact. The allegation of the Deputies
				CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP8 - Preventing Racial and Other Biased Based profiling	Not-Sustained Not-Sustained	N/A	law enforcement actions being based on race was false or not supported by fact. Following a review of the recordings between the complainant and the detective, the allegation of the
				CP2 - Code of Conduct - Unbecoming Conduct and Public Demeanor	Unfounded		Detective being demeaning was false or not supported by fact. Since the Detective did not
				CPS - Preventing Racial and Other Riscod Paced profiling	Unfounded	N/A	participate in the investigation, there was insufficient evidence to prove or disprove the investigative actions and reasons for his actions were not appropriate given the
				CP8 - Preventing Racial and Other Biased Based profiling CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards	Unfounded Unfounded	IV/A	circumstances.
				CP2 - Code of Conduct - Unbecoming Conduct and Public Demeanor	Unfounded		
		External Complaint	The complainant alleged a Deputy was rude to her mom during an accident investigation.	CP2 - Code of Conduct - Unbecoming Conduct and Public Demeanor	Unfounded	N/A	After review of body worn camera footage and witness's interviews, the allegation the 4/2 Deputy was rude to the complainant's mother during an accident investigation was false or
92	10/28/2020						not supported by evidence.
592	10/28/2020						After a review of the body worn camera, it was found the Deputy handled the call for 4/2
	10/28/2020 7/15/2021	External Complaint	The complainant alleged a Deputy failed to contact the Department of Child Safety (DCS) during a call for service involving a juvenile.	CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards	Exonerated	N/A	service appropriately as there was nothing that would rise to the level of the Deputy
59	7/15/2021		call for service involving a juvenile.				service appropriately as there was nothing that would rise to the level of the Deputy contacting DCS.
69		External Complaint Internal Complaint		CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards GE4 - Use/Operation of Vehicles	Exonerated Exonerated	N/A	service appropriately as there was nothing that would rise to the level of the Deputy contacting DCS.
69 04	7/15/2021 9/17/2021		call for service involving a juvenile.				service appropriately as there was nothing that would rise to the level of the Deputy contacting DCS. After review of the Department of Public Safety's vehicle accident investigation and witness's interviews, it was determined the Deputy was inculpable for the on-duty vehicle accident with injuries and therefore, not in violation of MCSO policy.
369 504	7/15/2021 9/17/2021	Internal Complaint	call for service involving a juvenile. It was alleged a Deputy was involved in an injury collision while on duty.	GE4 - Use/Operation of Vehicles	Exonerated	N/A	service appropriately as there was nothing that would rise to the level of the Deputy contacting DCS. After review of the Department of Public Safety's vehicle accident investigation and witness's interviews, it was determined the Deputy was inculpable for the on-duty vehicle
0592	7/15/2021 9/17/2021	Internal Complaint	It was alleged a Deputy was involved in an injury collision while on duty. The complainant alleged a Deputy was rude during a vehicle collision call for service. It was also	GE4 - Use/Operation of Vehicles CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards	Exonerated Unfounded	N/A	service appropriately as there was nothing that would rise to the level of the Deputy contacting DCS. After review of the Department of Public Safety's vehicle accident investigation and witness's interviews, it was determined the Deputy was inculpable for the on-duty vehicle accident with injuries and therefore, not in violation of MCSO policy. After review of the body-worn camera footage, the allegation the Deputy was rude during a vehicle collision call for service was false or not supported by evidence. The additional allegation the Deputy wrote an inaccurate incident report was also false or not supported

3 of 3

7/5/202