## Professional Standards Bureau Paragraph #252 - Detailed Summaries of Completed Internal Affairs Investigations 03/01/2021 - 03/31/2021

2018-0320	5/16/2018	Incident Type External Complaint	The complainant alleged a Detention Chief sent an email referring to inmates in a derogatory	Allegation(s)/Force Type(s) GM1 - Electronic Communications and Voice mail	Outcome Sustained	Discipline Written Reprimand	Investigative Summary  It was found the statements made by the Detention Chief were not appropriate for an official	3/8/202
M2U10-U32U	0, -0,	External complaint	manner. He also alleged the content of the email showed the Chief did not handle his grievances		Unfounded	Wittenstephniana	email. Due to grievances going through a specific hearing unit for resolution and not the Chief,	3,0,202
				CP8 - Preventing Racial and Other Biased Based profiling	Unfounded		the allegation of the Chief not handling grievances properly was found to be false or not	
			Additionally, it was alleged, in the email, the Chief dismissed incidents that may have been menta health issues.	I			supported by fact. It was found the statement made by the Chief did not show the Chief was dismissive of mental health issues; the allegation was found to be false or not supported by	
			nearth issues.				dismissive of mental nearth issues; the allegation was found to be false or not supported by fact.	
-0005	1/7/2019	External Complaint	The complainant alleged a Deputy disclosed two ACJIS violations during his background	GF1 - Criminal Justice Data Systems	Not-Sustained	Employee Terminated	It was found the Deputy conducted a license plate inquiry for curiosity purposes. There was	3/8/202
			investigation with another Law Enforcement agency. It was alleged he conducted a license plate		Sustained		insufficient evidence to prove or disprove the Deputy conducted an additional license plate	
			inquiry for curiosity purposes and conducted a license plate inquiry for personal reasons.  Additionally, it was alleged the Deputy provided inaccurate information and withheld information	CP5 - Truthfulness	Sustained Sustained		inquiry for personal reasons. It was also found the Deputy provided inaccurate information and withheld information to purposely mislead PSB investigators.	
			to mislead PSB investigators.	CP2 - Code of Conduct - Conformance to Established Laws	Not-Sustained		withment information to purposely mislead 1-36 investigators.	
19-0291	6/11/2019	Internal Complaint	It was alleged a Deputy had an unintentional discharge of a firearm while attempting to clean it in	GJ23 - Firearms	Sustained	Written Reprimand	It was found the Deputy did not clear his weapon properly prior to cleaning it, leading to an	3/8/20
			a classroom at the shooting range.				unintentional discharge.	
020-0529	10/2/2020	Internal Complaint	It was alleged during the application process to become a Deputy, a Detention Officer disclosed he engaged in inappropriate sexual behavior while on duty.	CP2 - Code of Conduct - Unbecoming Conduct and Public Demeanor	Sustained	Employee Terminated	The Detention Officer admitted he engaged in inappropriate sexual behavior while on duty.	3/8/202
17-0726	10/5/2017	External Complaint	The complainant alleged two Detention Officers used their hands to slam his hand and arm in the		Exonerated	NA		3/10/2
			trapdoor of his cell. Additionally, the complainant alleged the first Officer also used his knee to slam his arm in the trap and alleged the second Officer grabbed and twisted his fingers. It was	CP1-Use of Force CP2 - Code of Conduct - Keeping Supervisors Informed	Not-Sustained Exonerated		attempting to close the trapdoor was considered "slamming" by the inmate and caused his reported injuries. A review of the facility surveillance video found the allegation of the first	
			alleged bother Officers did not use proper tactics to remove the inmate's hand and failed to	DB2 - Operations Journal & Logbooks	Exonerated		Officer using his knee to close the trapdoor was false or not supported by fact. There was	
			document or inform their supervisory staff. It was also alleged the Officers withheld the inmate's	DG2 - Inmate Meal Distribution and Accountability	Not-Sustained		insufficient evidence to prove or disprove the second Officer "grabbed and twisted" the	
			medically ordered meal and attempted to give him a regular meal.	CP1-Use of Force	Not-Sustained		inmate's fingers. The actions taken to by the two Detention Officers to close the trapdoor were found to be reasonable and within MCSO's tactical procedures. It was also found the Detention	
				CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP1-Use of Force	Exonerated Not-Sustained	NA	Officers not documenting or notifying their supervisors of the incident was reasonable given the circumstances. Due to the contents of the meal not being visible in the video, there was	
				CP1-Use of Force CP2 - Code of Conduct - Keeping Supervisors Informed	Not-Sustained Exonerated		the circumstances. Due to the contents of the meal not being visible in the video, there was insufficient evidence to prove or disprove the Officers provided the wrong meal and withheld	
				DB2 - Operations Journal & Logbooks	Exonerated		the correct one.	
				DG2 - Inmate Meal Distribution and Accountability	Not-Sustained			
				CP1-Use of Force	Unfounded			
18-0145	3/7/2018	External Complaint	The complainant alleged his wife, while in custody, was discriminated against because she was a		Unfounded	NA	There was no supporting evidence provided by the complainant or the inmate to substantiate	3/10/2
			heterosexual African American. He also alleged Unknown Detention Officers were not picking up grievance forms from inmates.	CP8 - Preventing Racial and Other Biased Based profiling	Unfounded		the allegation of discriminatory behavior by Detention Staff; the allegation was found to be false or not supported by fact. A review of the inmate's submitted grievances and the total	
			grievance forms from minates.				number of grievances received, the allegation of Detention Staff not picking up grievance forms	
							was found to be false or not supported by fact.	
2019-0421	8/19/2019	Internal Complaint	It was alleged a Sheriff's Records Specialist made an offensive comment in reference to the	CP2 - Code of Conduct - Unbecoming Conduct and Public Demeanor	Sustained	Employee Suspended	It was found the Record's Specialist made an inappropriate comment.	3/10/20
	8/19/2019	Internal Complaint	It was alleged a Sheriff's Records Specialist made an offensive comment in reference to the race/culture of a customer.	CP2 - Code of Conduct - Unbecoming Conduct and Public Demeanor	Sustained	Employee Suspended	It was found the Record's Specialist made an inappropriate comment.	3/10/20
	8/19/2019 4/17/2019	Internal Complaint Internal Complaint	race/culture of a customer.  It was alleged a Detention Sergeant failed all four tasks on her Performance Action Plan.	CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards	Sustained	Employee Suspended Employee Suspended	It was found the Detention Sergeant did not successfully complete the routine tasks assigned to	., .,
			race/culture of a customer.					., .,
019-0175			race/culture of a customer.  It was alleged a Detention Sergeant failed all four tasks on her Performance Action Plan.  Additionally, the Captain alleged the Sergeant failed to send her an email of her daily duties, as part of her Performance Action Plan.  It was reported a Social Worker employee was arrested for DUI and failing to stay at the scene of	CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards  CP2 - Code of Conduct - Conformance to Established Laws	Sustained Sustained Sustained		It was found the Detention Sergeant did not successfully complete the routine tasks assigned to her as part of her Performance Action Plan. It was also found the Sergeant failed to send	3/11/20
019-0175	4/17/2019	Internal Complaint	race/culture of a customer.  It was alleged a Detention Sergeant failed all four tasks on her Performance Action Plan.  Additionally, the Captain alleged the Sergeant failed to send her an email of her daily duties, as part of her Performance Action Plan.	CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards	Sustained Sustained	Employee Suspended	It was found the Detention Sergeant did not successfully complete the routine tasks assigned to her as part of her Performance Action Plan. It was also found the Sergeant failed to send emails outlining what she had accomplished, as requested by her Captain.	3/11/2
2019-0175 2020-0385	4/17/2019	Internal Complaint	race/culture of a customer.  It was alleged a Detention Sergeant failed all four tasks on her Performance Action Plan. Additionally, the Captain alleged the Sergeant failed to send her an email of her daily duties, as part of her Performance Action Plan.  It was reported a Social Worker employee was arrested for DUI and failing to stay at the scene of a traffic accident by Phoenix Police.  It was alleged that a Deputy and other unknown Deputies may have allowed a subject, under	CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards  CP2 - Code of Conduct - Conformance to Established Laws	Sustained Sustained Sustained	Employee Suspended	It was found the Detention Sergeant did not successfully complete the routine tasks assigned to her as part of her Performance Action Plan. It was also found the Sergeant failed to send emails outlining what she had accomplished, as requested by her Captain.  It was found the Social Worker failed to conform to established laws when she was arrested for DUI.  The Deputy violated policy when he alllowed the subject under arrest to utilize his cellular	3/11/2
019-0175	4/17/2019 7/28/2020	Internal Complaint  Internal Complaint	race/culture of a customer.  It was alleged a Detention Sergeant failed all four tasks on her Performance Action Plan.  Additionally, the Captain alleged the Sergeant failed to send her an email of her daily duties, as part of her Performance Action Plan.  It was reported a Social Worker employee was arrested for DUI and failing to stay at the scene of a traffic accident by Phoenix Police.	CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards  CP2 - Code of Conduct - Conformance to Established Laws CP2 - Code of Conduct - Conformance to Established Laws CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards	Sustained Sustained Sustained Sustained	Employee Suspended  Employee Terminated	It was found the Detention Sergeant did not successfully complete the routine tasks assigned to her as part of her Performance Action Plan. It was also found the Sergeant failed to send emails outlining what she had accomplished, as requested by her Captain. It was found the Social Worker failed to conform to established laws when she was arrested for DUI.	3/11/2
019-0175 020-0385	4/17/2019 7/28/2020	Internal Complaint  Internal Complaint	race/culture of a customer.  It was alleged a Detention Sergeant failed all four tasks on her Performance Action Plan. Additionally, the Captain alleged the Sergeant failed to send her an email of her daily duties, as part of her Performance Action Plan.  It was reported a Social Worker employee was arrested for DUI and failing to stay at the scene of a traffic accident by Phoenix Police.  It was alleged that a Deputy and other unknown Deputies may have allowed a subject, under arrest for violating a protective order, to connect his cellular telephone to an MCSO MDC during	CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards  CP2 - Code of Conduct - Conformance to Established Laws CP2 - Code of Conduct - Conformance to Established Laws CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards	Sustained Sustained Sustained Sustained	Employee Suspended  Employee Terminated	It was found the Detention Sergeant did not successfully complete the routine tasks assigned to her as part of her Performance Action Plan. It was also found the Sergeant failed to send emails outlining what she had accomplished, as requested by her Captain.  It was found the Social Worker failed to conform to established laws when she was arrested for DUI.  The Deputy violated policy when he alllowed the subject under arrest to utilize his cellular	3/11/2
019-0175	4/17/2019 7/28/2020 6/8/2017	Internal Complaint  Internal Complaint  Internal Complaint	race/culture of a customer.  It was alleged a Detention Sergeant failed all four tasks on her Performance Action Plan. Additionally, the Captain alleged the Sergeant failed to send her an email of her daily duties, as part of her Performance Action Plan.  It was reported a Social Worker employee was arrested for DUI and failing to stay at the scene of a traffic accident by Phoenix Police.  It was alleged that a Deputy and other unknown Deputies may have allowed a subject, under arrest for violating a protective order, to connect his cellular telephone to an MCSO MDC during the booking paperwork process. Because of this, the subject was able to continue making threats via text message, to the victim while in MCSO custody.	CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards  CP2 - Code of Conduct - Conformance to Established Laws CP2 - Code of Conduct - Conformance to Established Laws CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards	Sustained Sustained Sustained Sustained Sustained	Employee Suspended  Employee Terminated  Previously Resigned/Retired	It was found the Detention Sergeant did not successfully complete the routine tasks assigned to her as part of her Performance Action Plan. It was also found the Sergeant failed to send emails outlining what she had accomplished, as requested by her Captain.  It was found the Social Worker failed to conform to established laws when she was arrested for DUI.  The Deputy violated policy when he alllowed the subject under arrest to utilize his cellular phone during the booking process.	3/11/2
019-0175 020-0385 017-0392	4/17/2019 7/28/2020	Internal Complaint  Internal Complaint  Internal Complaint	race/culture of a customer.  It was alleged a Detention Sergeant failed all four tasks on her Performance Action Plan.  Additionally, the Captain alleged the Sergeant failed to send her an email of her daily duties, as part of her Performance Action Plan.  It was reported a Social Worker employee was arrested for DUI and failing to stay at the scene of a traffic accident by Phoenix Police.  It was alleged that a Deputy and other unknown Deputies may have allowed a subject, under arrest for violating a protective order, to connect his cellular telephone to an MCSO MDC during the booking paperwork process. Because of this, the subject was able to continue making threats	CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards  CP2 - Code of Conduct - Conformance to Established Laws CP2 - Code of Conduct - Conformance to Established Laws CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards	Sustained Sustained Sustained Sustained	Employee Suspended  Employee Terminated	It was found the Detention Sergeant did not successfully complete the routine tasks assigned to her as part of her Performance Action Plan. It was also found the Sergeant failed to send emails outlining what she had accomplished, as requested by her Captain.  It was found the Social Worker failed to conform to established laws when she was arrested for DUI.  The Deputy violated policy when he alllowed the subject under arrest to utilize his cellular	3/11/2
2019-0175	4/17/2019 7/28/2020 6/8/2017	Internal Complaint  Internal Complaint  Internal Complaint	race/culture of a customer.  It was alleged a Detention Sergeant failed all four tasks on her Performance Action Plan. Additionally, the Captain alleged the Sergeant failed to send her an email of her daily duties, as part of her Performance Action Plan.  It was reported a Social Worker employee was arrested for DUI and failing to stay at the scene of a traffic accident by Phoenix Police.  It was alleged that a Deputy and other unknown Deputies may have allowed a subject, under arrest for voiding a protective order, to connect his cellular telephone to an MCSO MDC during the booking paperwork process. Because of this, the subject was able to continue making threats via text message, to the victim while in MCSO custody.  The complainant alleged a Deputy refused to call for medical assistance during a possible medical emergency.  The complainant alleged the Deputy provided inaccurate information in an incident report,	CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards  CP2 - Code of Conduct - Conformance to Established Laws CP2 - Code of Conduct - Conformance to Established Laws CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards  CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards  CP3 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards  CP5 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards	Sustained Sustained Sustained Sustained Sustained Sustained Sustained	Employee Suspended  Employee Terminated  Previously Resigned/Retired	It was found the Detention Sergeant did not successfully complete the routine tasks assigned to her as part of her Performance Action Plan. It was also found the Sergeant failed to send emals outlining what she had accomplished, as requested by her Captain.  It was found the Social Worker failed to conform to established laws when she was arrested for DUI.  The Deputy violated policy when he alllowed the subject under arrest to utilize his cellular phone during the booking process.  It was found the Deputy refused to assist Judicial Branch Security by making a call for medical assistance.  It was found the Deputy's report was inaccurate. It was also found the Deputy failed to take a	3/11/2 3/11/2 3/17/2
019-0175	4/17/2019  7/28/2020  6/8/2017	Internal Complaint  Internal Complaint  Internal Complaint  External Complaint	race/culture of a customer.  It was alleged a Detention Sergeant failed all four tasks on her Performance Action Plan. Additionally, the Captain alleged the Sergeant failed to send her an email of her daily duties, as part of her Performance Action Plan.  It was reported a Social Worker employee was arrested for DUI and failing to stay at the scene of a traffic accident by Phoenix Police.  It was alleged that a Deputy and other unknown Deputies may have allowed a subject, under arrest for violating a protective order, to connect his cellular telephone to an MCSO MDC during the booking papervork process. Because of this, the subject was able to continue making threats via text message, to the victim while in MCSO custody.  The complainant alleged a Deputy refused to call for medical assistance during a possible medical emergency.	CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards  CP2 - Code of Conduct - Conformance to Established Laws CP2 - Code of Conduct - Conformance to Established Laws CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards  CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards  CP3 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards  CP5 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards	Sustained Sustained Sustained Sustained Sustained Sustained	Employee Suspended  Employee Terminated  Previously Resigned/Retired  Written Reprimand	It was found the Detention Sergeant did not successfully complete the routine tasks assigned to her as part of her Performance Action Plan. It was also found the Sergeant failed to send emails outlining what she had accomplished, as requested by her Captain.  It was found the Social Worker failed to conform to established laws when she was arrested for DUI.  The Deputy violated policy when he alllowed the subject under arrest to utilize his cellular phone during the booking process.  It was found the Deputy refused to assist Judicial Branch Security by making a call for medical assistance.	3/11/2 3/11/2 3/17/2
019-0175 020-0385 017-0392 019-0103	4/17/2019  7/28/2020  6/8/2017	Internal Complaint  Internal Complaint  Internal Complaint  External Complaint  External Complaint	It was alleged a Detention Sergeant failed all four tasks on her Performance Action Plan.  Additionally, the Captain alleged the Sergeant failed to send her an email of her daily duties, as part of her Performance Action Plan.  It was reported a Social Worker employee was arrested for DUI and failing to stay at the scene of a traffic accident by Phoenix Police.  It was alleged that a Deputy and other unknown Deputies may have allowed a subject, under arrest for violating a protective order, to connect his cellular telephone to an MCSO MDC during the booking paperwork process. Because of this, the subject was able to continue making threats via text message, to the victim while in MCSO custody.  The complainant alleged a Deputy provided inaccurate information in an incident report, directly impacting an order of protection. It was also alleged the Deputy failed to take a criminal report when a crime was reported to him.  The complainant alleged a Deputy was rude to him, swore at him, and belittled him when he was	CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards  CP2 - Code of Conduct - Conformance to Established Laws CP2 - Code of Conduct - Conformance to Established Laws CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards  CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards  EC2 - Office Duties and Responsibilities for Superior Court  GF5 - Incident Report Guidelines GF5 - Incident Report Guidelines GF5 - Incident Report Guidelines CP2 - Code of Conduct - Unbecoming Conduct and Public Demeanor	Sustained	Employee Suspended  Employee Terminated  Previously Resigned/Retired  Written Reprimand	It was found the Detention Sergeant did not successfully complete the routine tasks assigned to her as part of her Performance Action Plan. It was also found the Sergeant failed to send emals outlining what she had accomplished, as requested by her Captain.  It was found the Social Worker failed to conform to established laws when she was arrested for DUI.  The Deputy violated policy when he alllowed the subject under arrest to utilize his cellular phone during the booking process.  It was found the Deputy refused to assist Judicial Branch Security by making a call for medical assistance.  It was found the Deputy's report was inaccurate. It was also found the Deputy failed to take a criminal report when a crime was reported to him.  A review of the body worn camera footage showed the allegations of excessive force by the	3/11/2 3/11/2 3/17/2
019-0175 020-0385 017-0392 019-0103	4/17/2019  7/28/2020  6/8/2017  3/12/2019  5/6/2019	Internal Complaint  Internal Complaint  Internal Complaint  External Complaint  External Complaint	It was alleged a Detention Sergeant failed all four tasks on her Performance Action Plan.  Additionally, the Captain alleged the Sergeant failed to send her an email of her daily duties, as part of her Performance Action Plan.  It was reported a Social Worker employee was arrested for DUI and failing to stay at the scene of a traffic accident by Phoenix Police.  It was alleged that a Deputy and other unknown Deputies may have allowed a subject, under arrest for violating a protective order, to connect his cellular telephone to an MCSO MDC during the booking paperwork process. Because of this, the subject was able to continue making threats via text message, to the victim while in MCSO custody.  The complainant alleged a Deputy refused to call for medical assistance during a possible medical emergency.  The complainant alleged the Deputy provided inaccurate information in an incident report, directly impacting an order of protection. It was also alleged the Deputy failed to take a criminal report when a crime was reported to him.  The complainant alleged a Deputy was rude to him, swore at him, and belittled him when he was being arrested. Additionally, he alleged the Deputy paths in five to the that plans in juried.  He is no ladged another Deputy put his kinds fron to thight and pursoles pulled on his injured.	CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards  CP2 - Code of Conduct - Conformance to Established Laws CP2 - Code of Conduct - Conformance to Established Laws CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards  CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards  EC2 - Office Duties and Responsibilities for Superior Court  GF5 - Incident Report Guidelines GF5 - Incident Report Guidelines CP2 - Code of Conduct - Unbecoming Conduct and Public Demeanor CP1 - Use of Force	Sustained Sustained Sustained Sustained Sustained Sustained Sustained Sustained	Employee Suspended  Employee Terminated  Previously Resigned/Retired  Written Reprimand  Written Reprimand	It was found the Detention Sergeant did not successfully complete the routine tasks assigned to her as part of her Performance Action Plan. It was also found the Sergeant failed to send emails outlining what she had accomplished, as requested by her Captain.  It was found the Social Worker failed to conform to established laws when she was arrested for DUI.  The Deputy violated policy when he alllowed the subject under arrest to utilize his cellular phone during the booking process.  It was found the Deputy refused to assist Judicial Branch Security by making a call for medical assistance.  It was found the Deputy's report was inaccurate. It was also found the Deputy failed to take a criminal report when a crime was reported to him.	3/11/2 3/11/2 3/17/2 3/17/2
2019-0175  2020-0385  2017-0392  2019-0103  2019-0214	4/17/2019  7/28/2020  6/8/2017  3/12/2019  5/6/2019	Internal Complaint  Internal Complaint  Internal Complaint  External Complaint  External Complaint  External Complaint	It was alleged a Detention Sergeant failed all four tasks on her Performance Action Plan.  Additionally, the Captain alleged the Sergeant failed to send her an email of her daily duties, as part of her Performance Action Plan.  It was reported a Social Worker employee was arrested for DUI and failing to stay at the scene of a traffic accident by Phoenix Police.  It was alleged that a Deputy and other unknown Deputies may have allowed a subject, under arrest for violating a protective order, to connect his cellular telephone to an MCSO MDC during the booking paperwork process. Because of his, the subject was able to continue making threats via text message, to the victim while in MCSO custody.  The complainant alleged a Deputy refused to call for medical assistance during a possible medical emergency.  The complainant alleged the Deputy provided inaccurate information in an incident report, directly impacting an order of protection. It was also alleged the Deputy failed to take a criminal report when a crime was reported to him.  The complainant alleged a Deputy was rude to him, swore at him, and belittled him when he was belong arrested. Additionally, he alleged the Deputy stomped on his foot while he was in custody. He also alleged another Deputy put his cuffs on too tight and purposely pulled on his injured shoulder.	CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards  CP2 - Code of Conduct - Conformance to Established Laws CP2 - Code of Conduct - Conformance to Established Laws  CP2 - Code of Conduct - Conformance to Established Laws  CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards  CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards  CP3 - Incident Report Guidelines GF5 - Incident Report Guidelines GF5 - Incident Report Guidelines CP2 - Code of Conduct - Unbecoming Conduct and Public Demeanor CP3 - Use of Force  CP1 - Use of Force	Sustained Sustained Sustained Sustained Sustained Sustained Sustained Sustained Sustained Unfounded Unfounded	Employee Suspended  Employee Terminated  Previously Resigned/Retired  Written Reprimand  Written Reprimand	It was found the Detention Sergeant did not successfully complete the routine tasks assigned to her as part of her Performance Action Plan. It was also found the Sergeant failed to send emails outlining what she had accomplished, as requested by her Captain.  It was found the Social Worker failed to conform to established laws when she was arrested for DUI.  The Deputy violated policy when he alllowed the subject under arrest to utilize his cellular phone during the booking process.  It was found the Deputy refused to assist Judicial Branch Security by making a call for medical assistance.  It was found the Deputy's report was inaccurate. It was also found the Deputy failed to take a criminal report when a crime was reported to him.  A review of the body worn camera footage showed the allegations of excessive force by the two Deputies was found to be false or not supported by fact. The footage also showed a Deputy violated MCSO policy when he was rude and unprofessional to the complainant.	3/11/2 3/11/2 3/17/2 3/17/2 3/22/2
2019-0421 2019-0175 2020-0385 2020-0385 2017-0392 2019-0103 2019-0214 2018-0256	4/17/2019  7/28/2020  6/8/2017  3/12/2019  5/6/2019	Internal Complaint  Internal Complaint  Internal Complaint  External Complaint  External Complaint  External Complaint	It was alleged a Detention Sergeant failed all four tasks on her Performance Action Plan.  Additionally, the Captain alleged the Sergeant failed to send her an email of her daily duties, as part of her Performance Action Plan.  It was reported a Social Worker employee was arrested for DUI and failing to stay at the scene of a traffic accident by Phoenix Police.  It was alleged that a Deputy and other unknown Deputies may have allowed a subject, under arrest for violating a protective order, to connect his cellular telephone to an MCSO MDC during the booking paperwork process. Because of this, the subject was able to continue making threats via text message, to the victim while in MCSO custody.  The complainant alleged a Deputy refused to call for medical assistance during a possible medical emergency.  The complainant alleged the Deputy provided inaccurate information in an incident report, directly impacting an order of protection. It was also alleged the Deputy failed to take a criminal report when a crime was reported to him.  The complainant alleged a Deputy was rude to him, swore at him, and belittled him when he was being arrested. Additionally, he alleged the Deputy paths in five to the that plans in juried.  He is no ladged another Deputy put his kinds fron to thight and pursoles pulled on his injured.	CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards  CP2 - Code of Conduct - Conformance to Established Laws CP2 - Code of Conduct - Conformance to Established Laws  CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards  CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards  CP3 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards  CP3 - Code of Conduct - Gondon - Conformance to Established Laws  CP4 - Code of Conduct - University of Country  CP5 - Incident Report Guidelines  CP3 - Code of Conduct - University of Conduct and Public Demeanor CP1-Use of Force  CP3 - Workplace Professionalism	Sustained Unfounded	Employee Suspended  Employee Terminated  Previously Resigned/Retired  Written Reprimand  Written Reprimand	It was found the Detention Sergeant did not successfully complete the routine tasks assigned to her as part of her Performance Action Plan. It was also found the Sergeant failed to send emails outlining what she had accomplished, as requested by her Captain.  It was found the Social Worker failed to conform to established laws when she was arrested for DUI.  The Deputy violated policy when he alllowed the subject under arrest to utilize his cellular phone during the booking process.  It was found the Deputy refused to assist Judicial Branch Security by making a call for medical assistance.  It was found the Deputy's report was inaccurate. It was also found the Deputy failed to take a criminal report when a crime was reported to him.  A review of the body worn camera footage showed the allegations of excessive force by the two Deputies was found to be false or not supported by fact. The footage also showed a Deputy violated MCSO policy when he was rude and unprofessional to the complainant.	3/11/2 3/11/2 3/17/2 3/17/2 3/22/2 3/23/2
2019-0175 2020-0385 2020-0385 2017-0392 2019-0103 2019-0214	4/17/2019  7/28/2020  6/8/2017  3/12/2019  5/6/2019	Internal Complaint  Internal Complaint  Internal Complaint  External Complaint  External Complaint  External Complaint	It was alleged a Detention Sergeant failed all four tasks on her Performance Action Plan.  Additionally, the Captain alleged the Sergeant failed to send her an email of her daily duties, as part of her Performance Action Plan.  It was reported a Social Worker employee was arrested for DUI and failing to stay at the scene of a traffic accident by Phoenix Police.  It was alleged that a Deputy and other unknown Deputies may have allowed a subject, under arrest for violating a protective order, to connect his cellular telephone to an MCSO MDC during the booking paperwork process. Because of this, the subject was able to continue making threats via text message, to the victim while in MCSO custody.  The complainant alleged a Deputy refused to call for medical assistance during a possible medical emergency.  The complainant alleged the Deputy provided inaccurate information in an incident report, directly impacting an order of protection. It was also alleged the Deputy failed to take a criminal report when a crime was reported to him.  The complainant alleged a Deputy was rude to him, swore at him, and belittled him when he was being arrested. Additionally, he alleged the Deputy stomped on his foot while he was in custody. He also alleged another Deputy put his cuffs on too tight and purposely pulled on his injured shouldler.  The complainant alleged that a fellow Detention Officer made her uncomfortable by making	CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards  CP2 - Code of Conduct - Conformance to Established Laws CP2 - Code of Conduct - Conformance to Established Laws  CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards  CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards  CP3 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards  CP3 - Code of Conduct - Gondon - Conformance to Established Laws  CP4 - Code of Conduct - University of Country  CP5 - Incident Report Guidelines  CP3 - Code of Conduct - University of Conduct and Public Demeanor CP1-Use of Force  CP3 - Workplace Professionalism	Sustained Sustained Sustained Sustained Sustained Sustained Sustained Sustained Unfounded Unfounded Not-Sustained	Employee Suspended  Employee Terminated  Previously Resigned/Retired  Written Reprimand  Written Reprimand	It was found the Detention Sergeant did not successfully complete the routine tasks assigned to her as part of her Performance Action Plan. It was also found the Sergeant failed to send emalls outlining what she had accomplished, as requested by her Captain.  It was found the Social Worker failed to conform to established laws when she was arrested for DUI.  The Deputy violated policy when he alllowed the subject under arrest to utilize his cellular phone during the booking process.  It was found the Deputy refused to assist Judicial Branch Security by making a call for medical assistance.  It was found the Deputy's report was inaccurate. It was also found the Deputy failed to take a criminal report when a crime was reported to him.  A review of the body worn camera footage showed the allegations of excessive force by the two Deputies was found to be false or not supported by fact. The footage also showed a Deputy violated MCSO policy when he was rude and unprofessional to the complainant.	3/11/2 3/11/2 3/17/2 3/17/2 3/22/2 3/23/2

1 of 3 5/5/2021

## Professional Standards Bureau Paragraph #252 - Detailed Summaries of Completed Internal Affairs Investigations 03/01/2021 - 03/31/2021

A2019-0210		Incident Type	summary	Allegation(s)/Force Type(s)	Outcome	Discipilile	Investigative Summary	Closed
IA2019-0210	5/6/2019	External Complaint	The complainant alleged Deputies were rude, aggressive, and treated her poorly during a call for service. She also alleged Deputies did not handle or address her calls for service properly. It was also alleged one Deputy did not turn on his body worn camer afor one of the calls for service.		Exonerated Unfounded	NA	It was found one Deputy on scene failed to document the complainant's report of an assault and did not document or advise other Deputies on scene. Other Deputies were found to have acted within MCSO oblicy and procedure with the information they were provided. All the control of the cont	3/24/2021
			and aneged one beparty and not current in body worn current for one of the current	CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards	Exonerated	NA	allegations of rudeness and poor treatment were false or not supported by fact. Additionally,	
				CP2 - Code of Conduct - Unbecoming Conduct and Public Demeanor	Unfounded		there was insufficient evidence the prove or disprove the Deputy intentionally failed to activate	
				GJ35 - Body-Worn Cameras	Not-Sustained		his body worn camera.	
				CP2 - Code of Conduct - Unbecoming Conduct and Public Demeanor	Unfounded	NA		
				CP2 - Code of Conduct - Unbecoming Conduct and Public Demeanor CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards	Unfounded Exonerated	NA		
				CP2 - Code of Conduct - Unbecoming Conduct and Public Demeanor CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards	Unfounded Sustained	Written Reprimand		
				CP2 - Code of Conduct - Unbecoming Conduct and Public Demeanor	Unfounded	NA		
019-0587	11/25/2019	External Complaint	The complainant alleged two MCSO vehicles were traveling at a high rate of speed, without light:	GE4 - Use/Operation of Vehicles	Unfounded	NA	After a review of the GPS data, it was found both Deputies were traveling within the posted	3/24/2021
			or sirens. He also alleged one of the vehicles went through a red light.	GE4 - Use/Operation of Vehicles	Not-Sustained		speed limit; the allegation of speeding was false or not supported by fact. There was insufficient evidence to prove either Deputy ran a red light.	
				GE4 - Use/Operation of Vehicles	Unfounded	NA		
				GE4 - Use/Operation of Vehicles	Not-Sustained			
020-0227	5/11/2020	External Complaint	The complainant alleged a Sworn Sergeant and a Deputy failed to enforce an order of protection.		Unfounded	NA	Due to the order of protection missing the complainant address, there was confusion as to	3/24/2021
			Additionally, she alleged the Sergeant was rude and unprofessional.	GB2 - Command Responsibility	Exonerated		whether or not the complainant's ex-husband was allowed at the address; it was found the Deputy and Sergeant acted within MCSO policy and procedure. A review of the body worn	
				EA8 - Domestic Violence	Exonerated	NA	camera video footage showed the Sergeant was professional toward to the complainant; the allegation of rudeness was false or not supported by fact.	
020-0271	6/5/2020	External Complaint	The complainant alleged a Deputy did not follow up on the additional information provided by the		Exonerated	Coaching	The investigation found the Deputy properly charged the suspect with violating an injunction,	3/24/2021
			victim to charge a suspect appropriately.	CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards	Sustained		but he failed to submit all of the victim's provided documentation.	
020-0609	11/4/2020	External Complaint	The complainant alleged an unknown Deputy was unprofessional and intimidating when he yelle in his face and told him to pack up his "crap and get the hell out of here and never come back."	d CP2 - Code of Conduct - Unbecoming Conduct and Public Demeanor	Not-Sustained	NA	A Principal could not be identified therefore there was insufficient evidence to prove or disprove an MCSO employee was unprofessional and intimidating.	3/24/2021
			in his lace and told him to pack up his crap and get the hen out of here and never come back.				disprove an wico employee was unprofessional and minimulating.	
2020-0023	11/2/2020	External Complaint Criminal	It was alleged after a physical altercation with an inmate had ended, a detention officer walked		Exceptionally	N/A	Due to the victim not willing to aid in prosecution, the case was exceptionally cleared.	3/29/2021
			passed the inmate and kicked him, striking him in the legs. The complainant alleged the detentio officer was unprofessional when he spoke to him by stating "I am tired of stupid ass kids asking	n	Cleared			
			stupid ass questions, I don't need my badge to beat your ass;" and calling him "a bitch."					
020-0610						NA		- / /
	11/5/2020	External Complaint		CP2 - Code of Conduct - Unbecoming Conduct and Public Demeanor				
	11/5/2020	External Complaint	The complainant alleged a Deputy pointed and yelled at him in a manner that was aggressive and threatening when directing traffic for construction.	CP2 - Code of Conduct - Unbecoming Conduct and Public Demeanor	Not-Sustained		Due to conflicting witness statements, there is insufficient evidence to prove or disprove the Deputy was aggressive and threatening.	3/30/2021
	11/5/2020 2/6/2019	External Complaint  External Complaint Criminal		CP2 - Code of Conduct - Unbecoming Conduct and Public Demeanor  [No allegations]	Not-Sustained Unfounded	N/A		3/30/2021
2019-0004			threatening when directing traffic for construction.	[No allegations]			Deputy was aggressive and threatening.  The allegation of employees misusing public funds was cleared unfounded.	
2019-0004	2/6/2019	External Complaint Criminal	threatening when directing traffic for construction.  The complainant alleged three employees misused public funds.	[No allegations]	Unfounded	N/A	Deputy was aggressive and threatening.  The allegation of employees misusing public funds was cleared unfounded.	3/31/2021
2019-0004	2/6/2019	External Complaint Criminal	threatening when directing traffic for construction.  The complainant alleged three employees misused public funds.  A Deputy self-reported an unintentional discharge of his firearm while clearing a residence during a call for service.  The complainant alleged a Detention Sergeant made a comment reference conducting uniform	[No allegations]  g GJ23 - Firearms  CP2 - Code of Conduct - Employee Relationships with other Employees	Unfounded  Sustained  Exonerated	N/A	Deputy was aggressive and threatening.  The allegation of employees misusing public funds was cleared unfounded.  It was found the Deputy unintentionally discharged his weapon during a call for service.  It was found the Sergeant was within policy and procedure when she told an employee she was	3/31/2021
1019-0004	2/6/2019 9/29/2017	External Complaint Criminal Internal Complaint	threatening when directing traffic for construction.  The complainant alleged three employees misused public funds.  A Deputy self-reported an unintentional discharge of his firearm while clearing a residence during a call for service.  The complainant alleged a Detention Sergeant made a comment reference conducting uniform inspections and made an inappropriate comment in the workplace. Additionally, it was alleged	[No allegations] g Gi23 - Firearms  CP2 - Code of Conduct - Employee Relationships with other Employees CP2 - Code of Conduct - Employee Relationships with other Employees	Unfounded  Sustained  Exonerated Sustained	N/A Written Reprimand	Deputy was aggressive and threatening.  The allegation of employees misusing public funds was cleared unfounded.  It was found the Deputy unintentionally discharged his weapon during a call for service.  It was found the Sergeant was within policy and procedure when she told an employee she was responsible for uniform inspections. It was found the Sergeant did make a comment that was	3/31/2021 3/31/2021 3/31/2021
1019-0004	2/6/2019 9/29/2017	External Complaint Criminal Internal Complaint	threatening when directing traffic for construction.  The complainant alleged three employees misused public funds.  A Deputy self-reported an unintentional discharge of his firearm while clearing a residence during a call for service.  The complainant alleged a Detention Sergeant made a comment reference conducting uniform	[No allegations]  g GJ23 - Firearms  CP2 - Code of Conduct - Employee Relationships with other Employees	Unfounded  Sustained  Exonerated	N/A Written Reprimand	Deputy was aggressive and threatening.  The allegation of employees misusing public funds was cleared unfounded.  It was found the Deputy unintentionally discharged his weapon during a call for service.  It was found the Sergeant was within policy and procedure when she told an employee she was	3/31/2021 3/31/2021 3/31/2021
017-0766	2/6/2019 9/29/2017 10/19/2017	External Complaint Criminal Internal Complaint Internal Complaint	threatening when directing traffic for construction.  The complainant alleged three employees misused public funds.  A Deputy self-reported an unintentional discharge of his firearm while clearing a residence during a call for service.  The complainant alleged a Detention Sergeant made a comment reference conducting uniform inspections and made an inappropriate comment in the workplace. Additionally, it was alleged the Sergeant assigned another Officer preferred duty posts.	[No allegations]  GJ23 - Firearms  CP2 - Code of Conduct - Employee Relationships with other Employees CP2 - Code of Conduct - Employee Relationships with other Employees CP2 - Code of Conduct - Abuse of Position or Authority	Unfounded  Sustained  Exonerated Sustained Exonerated	N/A Written Reprimand Coaching	Deputy was aggressive and threatening.  The allegation of employees misusing public funds was cleared unfounded.  It was found the Deputy unintentionally discharged his weapon during a call for service.  It was found the Sergeant was within policy and procedure when she told an employee she was responsible for uniform inspections. It was found the Sergeant did make a comment that was unprofessional. The Sergeant was within policy when assigning a Detention Officer to a specific duty post based on his merit.	3/31/2021 3/31/2021 3/31/2021
2019-0004	2/6/2019 9/29/2017	External Complaint Criminal Internal Complaint	threatening when directing traffic for construction.  The complainant alleged three employees misused public funds.  A Deputy self-reported an unintentional discharge of his firearm while clearing a residence during a call for service.  The complainant alleged a Detention Sergeant made a comment reference conducting uniform inspections and made an inappropriate comment in the workplace. Additionally, it was alleged	[No allegations] g Gi23 - Firearms  CP2 - Code of Conduct - Employee Relationships with other Employees CP2 - Code of Conduct - Employee Relationships with other Employees	Unfounded  Sustained  Exonerated Sustained	N/A Written Reprimand	Deputy was aggressive and threatening.  The allegation of employees misusing public funds was cleared unfounded.  It was found the Deputy unintentionally discharged his weapon during a call for service.  It was found the Sergeant was within policy and procedure when she told an employee she was responsible for uniform inspections. It was found the Sergeant did make a comment that was unprofessional. The Sergeant was within policy when assigning a Deterition Officer to a specific	3/31/2021 3/31/2021 3/31/2021
2019-0004	2/6/2019 9/29/2017 10/19/2017 11/6/2017	External Complaint Criminal  Internal Complaint  Internal Complaint  External Complaint	threatening when directing traffic for construction.  The complainant alleged three employees misused public funds.  A Deputy self-reported an unintentional discharge of his firearm while clearing a residence during a rall for service.  The complainant alleged a Detention Sergeant made a comment reference conducting uniform inspections and made an inappropriate comment in the workplace. Additionally, it was alleged the Sergeant assigned another Officer preferred duty posts.  The complainant alleged a Deputy was mean when he placed her in the back of his patrol car during her arrest. It was also alleged the Sergeant violated the complainant's Miranda rights.	[No allegations]  g GJ23 - Firearms  CP2 - Code of Conduct - Employee Relationships with other Employees CP2 - Code of Conduct - Employee Relationships with other Employees CP2 - Code of Conduct - Abuse of Position or Authority  EA11 - Arrest procedures GJ9 - Restraint and Transportation of Prisoners and Inmates	Unfounded  Sustained  Exonerated Sustained Exonerated Unfounded	N/A Written Reprimand Couching	Deputy was aggressive and threatening.  The allegation of employees misusing public funds was cleared unfounded.  It was found the Deputy unintentionally discharged his weapon during a call for service.  It was found the Sergeant was within policy and procedure when she told an employee she was responsible for uniform inspections. It was found the Sergeant did make a comment that was unprofessional. The Sergeant was within policy when assigning a Detention Officer to a specific duty post based on his merit.  The allegation a Deputy violated Miranda riights was found to be false or not supported by fact. The Deputy was within MCSO policy when he placed the complainant in the back of his car.	3/31/2021 3/31/2021 3/31/2021
2019-0004	2/6/2019 9/29/2017 10/19/2017	External Complaint Criminal Internal Complaint Internal Complaint	threatening when directing traffic for construction.  The complainant alleged three employees misused public funds.  A Deputy self-reported an unintentional discharge of his firearm while clearing a residence during a call for service.  The complainant alleged a Detention Sergeant made a comment reference conducting uniform inspections and made an inappropriate comment in the workplace. Additionally, it was alleged the Sergeant assigned another Officer preferred dury posts.  The complainant alleged a Deputy was mean when he placed her in the back of his patrol car	[No allegations] g G123 - Firearms  CP2 - Code of Conduct - Employee Relationships with other Employees CP2 - Code of Conduct - Employee Relationships with other Employees CP2 - Code of Conduct - Abuse of Position or Authority  EA11 - Arrest procedures	Unfounded  Sustained  Exonerated Sustained Exonerated Unfounded Exonerated	N/A Written Reprimand Coaching	Deputy was aggressive and threatening.  The allegation of employees misusing public funds was cleared unfounded.  It was found the Deputy unintentionally discharged his weapon during a call for service.  It was found the Sergeant was within policy and procedure when she told an employee she was responsible for uniform inspections. It was found the Sergeant and make a comment that was unprofessional. The Sergeant was within policy when assigning a Detention Officer to a specific duty post based on his merit.  The allegation a Deputy violated Miranda rights was found to be false or not supported by fact.	3/31/2021 3/31/2021 3/31/2021
2019-0004 2017-0701 2017-0766 2017-0820	2/6/2019 9/29/2017 10/19/2017 11/6/2017	External Complaint Criminal  Internal Complaint  Internal Complaint  External Complaint	threatening when directing traffic for construction.  The complainant alleged three employees misused public funds.  A Deputy self-reported an unintentional discharge of his firearm while clearing a residence during a call for service.  The complainant alleged a Detention Sergeant made a comment reference conducting uniform inspections and made an inappropriate comment in the workplace. Additionally, it was alleged the Sergeant assigned another Officer preferred duty posts.  The complainant alleged a Deputy was mean when he placed her in the back of his patrol car during her arrest. It was also alleged the Sergeant violated the complainant's Miranda rights.  Two anonymous complainants alleged a Property and Evidence Supervisor and Custodian engaged in an inappropriate romantic and sexual relationship on duty and failed to report the relationship to their Commander. It was also alleged the two employees were not trutful with	[No allegations]  GJ23 - Firearms  CP2 - Code of Conduct - Employee Relationships with other Employees CP2 - Code of Conduct - Employee Relationships with other Employees CP2 - Code of Conduct - Abuse of Position or Authority  EA11 - Arrest procedures GJ9 - Restraint and Transportation of Prisoners and Inmates  CP3 - Workplace Professionalism CP3 - Workplace Professionalism CP3 - Truthfulness	Unfounded  Sustained  Exonerated Sustained Exonerated Unfounded Exonerated  Sustained Sustained Sustained Sustained	N/A Written Reprimand Couching	Deputy was aggressive and threatening.  The allegation of employees misusing public funds was cleared unfounded.  It was found the Deputy unintentionally discharged his weapon during a call for service.  It was found the Sergeant was within policy and procedure when she told an employee she was responsible for uniform inspections. It was found the Sergeant did make a comment that was unprofessional. The Sergeant was within policy when assigning a Detention Officer to a specific duty post based on his merit.  The allegation a Deputy violated Miranda rights was found to be false or not supported by fact. The Deputy was within MCSO policy when he placed the complainant in the back of his car.  The Property and Evidence Supervisor and Custodian admitted to engaging in a romantic and sexual relationship while on duty. It was found the relationship started they were convortes and continued as a subordinate and supervisor; It was also found the relationships an every	3/31/2021 3/31/2021 3/31/2021
2019-0004 017-0701 017-0766	2/6/2019 9/29/2017 10/19/2017 11/6/2017	External Complaint Criminal  Internal Complaint  Internal Complaint  External Complaint	threatening when directing traffic for construction.  The complainant alleged three employees misused public funds.  A Deputy self-reported an unintentional discharge of his firearm while clearing a residence during a call for service.  The complainant alleged a Detention Sergeant made a comment reference conducting uniform inspections and made an inappropriate comment in the workplace. Additionally, it was alleged the Sergeant assigned another Officer preferred dury posts.  The complainant alleged a Deputy was mean when he placed her in the back of his patrol car during her arrest. It was also alleged the Sergeant violated the complainant's Miranda rights.  Two anonymous complainants alleged a Property and Evidence Supervisor and Custodian engaged in an inappropriate romantic and sexual relationship on duty and failed to report the	[No allegations]  GJ23 - Firearms  CP2 - Code of Conduct - Employee Relationships with other Employees CP2 - Code of Conduct - Employee Relationships with other Employees CP2 - Code of Conduct - Abuse of Position or Authority  EA11 - Arrest procedures GJ3 - Restraint and Transportation of Prisoners and Inmates  CP3 - Workplace Professionalism CP3 - Workplace Professionalism CP3 - Truthfulness CP3 - Code of Conduct - Unbecoming Conduct and Public Demeanor	Unfounded  Sustained  Exonerated Sustained Exonerated  Unfounded Exonerated  Sustained Sustained Sustained Sustained Sustained	N/A Written Reprimand Coaching NA Employee Resigned	Deputy was aggressive and threatening.  The allegation of employees misusing public funds was cleared unfounded.  It was found the Deputy unintentionally discharged his weapon during a call for service.  It was found the Sergeant was within policy and procedure when she told an employee she was responsible for uniform inspections. It was found the Sergeant did make a comment that was unprofessional. The Sergeant was within policy when assigning a Detention Officer to a specific duty post based on his merit.  The allegation a Deputy violated Miranda rights was found to be false or not supported by fact. The Deputy was within MCSO policy when he placed the complainant in the back of his car.  The Property and Evidence Supervisor and Custodian admitted to engaging in a romantic and sexual relationship while on duty. It was found to the relationship started they were convolvers and continued as a subordinate and supervisor; It was also found the relationship was never reported to their Commander * The Supervisor was found to have been untruthful to her Commander when questioned about the nature of the relationship. There was insufficient	3/31/2021 3/31/2021 3/31/2021
2019-0004 017-0701 017-0766	2/6/2019 9/29/2017 10/19/2017 11/6/2017	External Complaint Criminal  Internal Complaint  Internal Complaint  External Complaint	threatening when directing traffic for construction.  The complainant alleged three employees misused public funds.  A Deputy self-reported an unintentional discharge of his firearm while clearing a residence during a call for service.  The complainant alleged a Detention Sergeant made a comment reference conducting uniform inspections and made an inappropriate comment in the workplace. Additionally, it was alleged the Sergeant assigned another Officer preferred duty posts.  The complainant alleged a Deputy was mean when he placed her in the back of his patrol car during her arrest. It was also alleged the Sergeant violated the complainant's Miranda rights.  Two anonymous complainants alleged a Property and Evidence Supervisor and Custodian engaged in an inappropriate romantic and sexual relationship on duty and failed to report the relationship to their Commander. It was also alleged the two employees were not trutful with	[No allegations]  g G123 - Firearms  CP2 - Code of Conduct - Employee Relationships with other Employees CP2 - Code of Conduct - Employee Relationships with other Employees CP2 - Code of Conduct - Abuse of Position or Authority  EA11 - Arrest procedures Gi9 - Restraint and Transportation of Prisoners and Inmates  CP3 - Workplace Professionalism CP5 - Truthfulness CP5 - Truthfulness CP5 - Code of Conduct - Unbecoming Conduct and Public Demeanor CP5 - Workplace Professionalism	Unfounded  Sustained  Exonerated Sustained Exonerated Unfounded Exonerated  Sustained Sustained Sustained Sustained Sustained Sustained Sustained Sustained Sustained	N/A Written Reprimand Couching	Deputy was aggressive and threatening.  The allegation of employees misusing public funds was cleared unfounded.  It was found the Deputy unintentionally discharged his weapon during a call for service.  It was found the Deputy unintentionally discharged his weapon during a call for service.  It was found the Sergeant was within policy and procedure when she told an employee she was responsible for uniform inspections. It was found the Sergeant did make a comment that was unprofessional. The Sergeant was within policy when assigning a Detention Officer to a specific duty post based on his merit.  The allegation a Deputy violated Miranda rights was found to be false or not supported by fact. The Deputy was within MCSO policy when he placed the complainant in the back of his car.  The Property and Evidence Supervisor and Custodian admitted to engaging in a romantic and sexual relationship while on duty. It was found the relationship tratted they were coworkers and continued as a subordinate and supervisor; it was also found the relationship was never reported to their Commander. The Supervisor was found to have been untruthful to her Commander thrust was sho found the Supervisor.	3/31/2021 3/31/2021 3/31/2021
2019-0004	2/6/2019 9/29/2017 10/19/2017 11/6/2017	External Complaint Criminal  Internal Complaint  Internal Complaint  External Complaint	threatening when directing traffic for construction.  The complainant alleged three employees misused public funds.  A Deputy self-reported an unintentional discharge of his firearm while clearing a residence during a call for service.  The complainant alleged a Detention Sergeant made a comment reference conducting uniform inspections and made an inappropriate comment in the workplace. Additionally, it was alleged the Sergeant assigned another Officer preferred duty posts.  The complainant alleged a Deputy was mean when he placed her in the back of his patrol car during her arrest. It was also alleged the Sergeant violated the complainant's Miranda rights.  Two anonymous complainants alleged a Property and Evidence Supervisor and Custodian engaged in an inappropriate romantic and sexual relationship on duty and failed to report the relationship to their Commander. It was also alleged the two employees were not trutful with	[No allegations]  GJ23 - Firearms  CP2 - Code of Conduct - Employee Relationships with other Employees CP2 - Code of Conduct - Employee Relationships with other Employees CP2 - Code of Conduct - Abuse of Position or Authority  EA11 - Arrest procedures GJ3 - Restraint and Transportation of Prisoners and Inmates  CP3 - Workplace Professionalism CP3 - Workplace Professionalism CP3 - Truthfulness CP3 - Code of Conduct - Unbecoming Conduct and Public Demeanor	Unfounded  Sustained  Exonerated Sustained Exonerated  Unfounded Exonerated  Sustained Sustained Sustained Sustained Sustained	N/A Written Reprimand Coaching NA Employee Resigned	Deputy was aggressive and threatening.  The allegation of employees misusing public funds was cleared unfounded.  It was found the Deputy unintentionally discharged his weapon during a call for service.  It was found the Sergeant was within policy and procedure when she told an employee she was responsible for uniform inspections. It was found the Sergeant did make a comment that was unprofessional. The Sergeant was within policy when assigning a Detention Officer to a specific duty post based on his merit.  The allegation a Deputy violated Miranda rights was found to be false or not supported by fact. The Deputy was within MCSO policy when he placed the complainant in the back of his car.  The Property and Evidence Supervisor and Custodian admitted to engaging in a romantic and sexual relationship while on duty. It was found to the relationship started they were convolvers and continued as a subordinate and supervisor; It was also found the relationship was never reported to their Commander * The Supervisor was found to have been untruthful to her Commander when questioned about the nature of the relationship. There was insufficient	3/31/2021 3/31/2021 3/31/2021
2019-0004 017-0701 017-0766	2/6/2019 9/29/2017 10/19/2017 11/6/2017 5/9/2019	External Complaint Criminal  Internal Complaint  Internal Complaint  External Complaint	threatening when directing traffic for construction.  The complainant alleged three employees misused public funds.  A Deputy self-reported an unintentional discharge of his firearm while clearing a residence during a call for service.  The complainant alleged a Detention Sergeant made a comment reference conducting uniform inspections and made an inappropriate comment in the workplace. Additionally, it was alleged the Sergeant assigned another Officer preferred duty posts.  The complainant alleged a Deputy was mean when he placed her in the back of his patrol car during her arrest. It was also alleged the Sergeant violated the complainant's Miranda rights.  Two anonymous complainants alleged a Property and Evidence Supervisor and Custodian engaged in an inappropriate romantic and sexual relationship on duty and failed to report the relationship to their Commander. It was also alleged the two employees were not trutful with	[No allegations]  GJ23 - Firearms  CP2 - Code of Conduct - Employee Relationships with other Employees CP2 - Code of Conduct - Employee Relationships with other Employees CP2 - Code of Conduct - Employee Relationships with other Employees CP2 - Code of Conduct - Abuse of Position or Authority  EA11 - Arrest procedures GJ9 - Restraint and Transportation of Prisoners and Inmates  CP3 - Workplace Professionalism CP3 - Workplace Professionalism CP3 - Code of Conduct - Unbecoming Conduct and Public Demeanor CP3 - Workplace Professionalism CP5 - Truthfulness	Unfounded  Sustained  Exonerated Sustained Exonerated  Unfounded Exonerated  Sustained Sustained Sustained Sustained Sustained Not-Sustained Not-Sustained Not-Sustained	N/A Written Reprimand Coaching NA Employee Resigned	Deputy was aggressive and threatening.  The allegation of employees misusing public funds was cleared unfounded.  It was found the Deputy unintentionally discharged his weapon during a call for service.  It was found the Deputy unintentionally discharged his weapon during a call for service.  It was found the Sergeant was within policy and procedure when she told an employee she was responsible for uniform inspections. It was found the Sergeant did make a comment that was unprofessional. The Sergeant was within policy when assigning a Detention Officer to a specific duty post based on his merit.  The allegation a Deputy violated Miranda rights was found to be false or not supported by fact. The Deputy was within MCSO policy when he placed the complainant in the back of his car.  The Property and Evidence Supervisor and Custodian admitted to engaging in a romantic and sexual relationship while on duty. It was found the relationship tratted they were coworkers and continued as a subordinate and supervisor; it was also found the relationship was never reported to their Commander. The Supervisor was found to have been untruthful to her Commander thrust was sho found the Supervisor.	3/31/202: 3/31/202: 3/31/202: 3/31/202:
2019-0004 017-0701 017-0766 017-0820 019-0225	2/6/2019 9/29/2017 10/19/2017 11/6/2017 5/9/2019	External Complaint Criminal  Internal Complaint  Internal Complaint  External Complaint  External Complaint	threatening when directing traffic for construction.  The complainant alleged three employees misused public funds.  A Deputy self-reported an unintentional discharge of his firearm while clearing a residence during a call for service.  The complainant alleged a Detention Sergeant made a comment reference conducting uniform inspections and made an insppropriate comment in the workplace. Additionally, it was alleged the Sergeant assigned another Officer preferred dury posts.  The complainant alleged a Deputy was mean when he placed her in the back of his patrol car during her arrest. It was also alleged the Sergeant violated the complainant's Miranda rights.  Two anonymous complainant alleged a Property and Evidence Supervisor and Custodian engaged in an inappropriate roundict and sexual residionship on dury and falled to report the relationship to their Commander. It was also alleged the two employees were not truthful with their previous Commander when questioned about the relationship.	[No allegations]  G123 - Firearms  CP2 - Code of Conduct - Employee Relationships with other Employees CP2 - Code of Conduct - Employee Relationships with other Employees CP2 - Code of Conduct - Abuse of Position or Authority  EA11 - Arrest procedures G19 - Restraint and Transportation of Prisoners and Inmates  CP3 - Workplace Professionalism CP5 - Truthfulness	Unfounded  Exonerated Sustained Exonerated Unfounded Exonerated Sustained	N/A  Written Reprimand  Coaching  NA  Employee Resigned  Previously Resigned/Retired	Deputy was aggressive and threatening.  The allegation of employees misusing public funds was cleared unfounded.  It was found the Deputy unintentionally discharged his weapon during a call for service.  It was found the Sergeant was within policy and procedure when she told an employee she was responsible for uniform inspections. It was found the Sergeant did make a comment that was unprofessional. The Sergeant was within policy when assigning a Detention Officer to a specific duty post based on his merit.  The allegation a Deputy violated Miranda rights was found to be false or not supported by fact. The Deputy was within MCSO policy when he placed the complainant in the back of his cart. The Property and Evidence Supervisor and Custodian admitted to engaging in a romantic and sexual relationship while on duty. It was found the relationship state they were convoirers and continued as a subordinate and supervisor; it was also found the relationship was never reported to their Commander. The Supervisor was found to have been untruthful to her Commander the Custodian as a furthful with his Commander. It was also found the Supervisor violated policy by engaging in relationship with her subordinate employee.	3/31/2021 3/31/2021 3/31/2021 3/31/2021
2019-0004 2017-0701 2017-0766 2017-0820 2019-0225	2/6/2019 9/29/2017 10/19/2017 11/6/2017 5/9/2019	External Complaint Criminal  Internal Complaint  Internal Complaint  External Complaint  External Complaint	threatening when directing traffic for construction.  The complainant alleged three employees misused public funds.  A Deputy self-reported an unintentional discharge of his firearm while clearing a residence during a call for service.  The complainant alleged a Detention Sergeant made a comment reference conducting uniform inspections and made an insppropriate comment in the workplace. Additionally, it was alleged the Sergeant assigned another Officer preferred dury posts.  The complainant alleged a Deputy was mean when he placed her in the back of his patrol car during her arrest. It was also alleged the Sergeant violated the complainant's Miranda rights.  Two anonymous complainant alleged a Property and Evidence Supervisor and Custodian engaged in an inappropriate roundict and sexual residionship on dury and falled to report the relationship to their Commander. It was also alleged the two employees were not truthful with their previous Commander when questioned about the relationship.	[No allegations]  G123 - Firearms  CP2 - Code of Conduct - Employee Relationships with other Employees CP2 - Code of Conduct - Employee Relationships with other Employees CP2 - Code of Conduct - Abuse of Position or Authority  EA11 - Arrest procedures G19 - Restraint and Transportation of Prisoners and Inmates  CP3 - Workplace Professionalism CP5 - Truthfulness	Unfounded  Exonerated Sustained Exonerated Unfounded Exonerated Sustained	N/A  Written Reprimand  Coaching  NA  Employee Resigned  Previously Resigned/Retired	Deputy was aggressive and threatening.  The allegation of employees misusing public funds was cleared unfounded.  It was found the Deputy unintentionally discharged his weapon during a call for service.  It was found the Sergeant was within policy and procedure when she told an employee she was responsible for uniform inspections. It was found the Sergeant did make a comment that was unprofessional. The Sergeant was within policy when assigning a Detention Officer to a specific duty post based on his merit.  The allegation a Deputy violated Miranda rights was found to be false or not supported by fact. The Deputy was within MCSO policy when he placed the complainant in the back of his cart. The Property and Evidence Supervisor and Custodian admitted to engaging in a romantic and sexual relationship while on duty. It was found the relationship state they were convoirers and continued as a subordinate and supervisor; it was also found the relationship was never reported to their Commander. The Supervisor was found to have been untruthful to her Commander the Custodian as a furthful with his Commander. It was also found the Supervisor violated policy by engaging in relationship with her subordinate employee.	3/31/2021 3/31/2021 3/31/2021 3/31/2021 3/31/2021

5/5/2021

## Professional Standards Bureau Paragraph #252 - Detailed Summaries of Completed Internal Affairs Investigations 03/01/2021 - 03/31/2021

IA No	Opened	Incident Type	Summary	Allegation(s)/Force Type(s)	Outcome	Discipline	Investigative Summary	Closed
IA2020-0390	7/29/2020	External Complaint	The complainant alleged an off-duty Deputy threatened to take him to jail for failing to stop at a stop sign. The complainant also alleged the Deputy failed to provide his name or badge number when asked.		Not-Sustained Not-Sustained	NA	A Principal could not be identified therefore there was insufficient evidence to prove or disprove the MCSO employee threatened the complainant and failed to provide his name and badge number when asked.	3/31/2021
IA2020-0461	8/31/2020	External Complaint	A Goodyear PD Detective alleged a Deputy Service Aide was under investigation for committing child abuse. It was alleged the Deputy Service Aide was untruthful about the investigation with Goodyear Criminal and MCSO PSB investigators.	CP2 - Code of Conduct - Conformance to Established Laws CP5 - Truthfulness	Sustained Sustained	Employee Terminated	It was found the Deputy Service Aide committed and plead guilty to child abuse. It was also found the Deputy Service Aide was untruthful throughout the criminal and administrative investigations.	3/31/2021
A2020-0543	10/6/2020	Internal Complaint	A Detention Officer reported she was arrested for DUI by Goodyear Police.	CP2 - Code of Conduct - Conformance to Established Laws	Sustained	Employee Terminated	It was found the Detention Officer failed to conform to established laws when she was arreste for DUI.	d 3/31/2021
A2021-0112	3/1/2021	External Complaint	The complainant alleged a Sworn Sergeant sent him an email that was "hostile" and accusatory; and lectured him for taking the time of the busy deputies within the patrol division.	GM1 - Electronic Communications and Voice mail	Unfounded	NA	After conducting a review of the email, the email was found to be appropriate, professional, and provided the proper guidance for the complainant moving forward. The allegation was false or not supported by fact.	3/31/2021

3 of 3