Professional Standards Bureau Paragraph #252 - Detailed Summaries of Completed Internal Affairs Investigations 10/01/2018 - 10/31/2018

A No	Opened Incident type	Summary	Allegation(s)/Force Type(s)	Outcome	Discipline	Investigative Summary	Closed
2018-0010	1/8/2018 Internal Complaint	It was alleged a Deputy left a subject's wallet, including ID card and currency, in a patrol car after his shift.	GE3 - Property Management GE3 - Property Management	Sustained Sustained	Employee Suspended Written Reprimand	The two Deputies were found to have failed to properly secure a subject's property.	10/2/2
2014-0112	2/14/2014 External Complaint	The complainant alleged Deputies took too long to respond to a call for service and did not contact her regarding the incident.	d CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards	Not-Sustained	N/A	Due to a lack of specificity and the complainant's unwillingness to assist in the investigation, there was insufficient evidence to prove or disprove the allegations.	10/3/20
\$2018-0111	2/21/2018 Internal Complaint	It was alleged a Deputy did not advise a suspect of his Miranda Rights when appropriate and the report did not accurately reflect the incident.	GF5 - Incident Report Guidelines EA11 - Arrest procedures EA11 - Arrest procedures	Sustained Exonerated Exonerated	Written Reprimand N/A N/A	Due to Deputy safety, the Sergeant and one of the Deputies involved were found to be within MCSO policy and procedure when they questioned a subject prior to the reading of his Miranda Rights. The other Deputy involved was found to be in violation of MCSO policy and procedure when he failed to ensure the timeline in his report matched that of what actually ocurred and was reflected in the body worn camera footage.	10/3/20
A2017-0713	10/3/2017 External Complaint	The complainant alleged the Deputy pulled her over and wrote her a warning for a violation she did not commit. Additionally, she reported the Deputy admitted to her he might have been wrong about the violation, but still wrote her a warning.		Exonerated Exonerated	N/A	The Deputy was found to be within MCSO policy and procedure when he conducted a traffic stop and issued a written warning to the complainant.	10/9/20
A2017-0865	12/4/2017 External Complaint	The complainant alleged a deputy did not pull someone over who blatantly ran a red light and almost caused an accident in front of the deputy.	EB1 - Traffic Enforcement	Not-Sustained	N/A	This investigation was unable to identify an involved employee. Additionally, the investigation was unable to prove or disprove an employee failed to enforce Arizona traffic law.	10/9/20
λ2017-0357	5/25/2017 External Complaint	The complainant alleged that a Deputy used his MCSO identification, badge, and weapon to enter the Surprise Court on a personal matter but stated he was there on "professional business." Additionally, the complainant alleged that his K9 heat alarm was alerting for at least 15 minutes while he was in the court.	GC5 - Court Appearances	Sustained	Employee Resigned	The Deputy was found to have violated policy when he wore his MCSO uniform at the Surprise City Court to address a personal matter.	10/10/20
A2017-0576	8/16/2017 Internal Complaint	It was alleged a Deputy told a woman she had to wait 24 hours before reporting her husband as missing.	EA20 - Missing Persons CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards	Not-Sustained Not-Sustained	N/A	Due to a body camera malfunction, there was insufficient evidence to prove or disprove the allegations.	10/10/20
2018-0047	1/22/2018 External Complaint	The complainant alleged a Deputy was driving erratically and crossed into another lane of traffic almost hitting another vehicle.	GE4 - Use/Operation of Vehicles GJ35 - Body-Worn Cameras	Sustained Not-Sustained	Coaching	The Deputy was found in violation of policy when he failed to drive in a careful and prudent manner. During the investigation, it was alleged the Deputy did not activate his body worn camera during his emergency pursuit, however, it was found there was insufficient evidence to prove or disprove the Deputy turned his overhead emergency lights on.	10/10/20
A2017-0574	8/15/2017 External Complaint	The complainant alleged the Deputy was "road raging" her during a traffic stop.	CP2 - Code of Conduct - Unbecoming conduct and Public Demeanor	Not-Sustained	N/A	There was insufficient evidence to prove or disprove the allegation of road rage.	10/11/20
A2017-0593	8/23/2017 External Complaint	The complainant alleged she waited seven hours for a Deputy to respond to her call for service. Additionally, she alleged no one took a report on the burglary she reported and a Deputy told her that her house was too far away for him to respond.	CP2 - Code of Conduct - Performance or Dereliction of Duty GF5 - Incident Report Guidelines CP2 - Code of Conduct - Unbecoming conduct and Public Demeanor CP2 - Code of Conduct - Performance or Dereliction of Duty GF5 - Incident Report Guidelines CP2 - Code of Conduct - Unbecoming conduct and Public Demeanor	Unfounded Not-Sustained Unfounded Unfounded Not-Sustained Unfounded	N/A N/A	The allegation of the Deputies taking seven hours to respond to her house was found to be false and not supported by fact. The allegation of the complainant being told there was no time to drive to her house was found to be not supported by fact. Additionally, due to the Deputy and Sergeant not having enough information to determine a crime was committed, there was insufficient evidence to prove or disprove the employees failed to take a report.	10/11/20
A2017-0268	4/13/2017 External Complaint	The complainant alleged that a Deputy was rude and condescending when interacting with him on a call for service.	CP2 - Code of Conduct - Unbecoming conduct and Public Demeanor GJ35 - Body-Worn Cameras	Not-Sustained Sustained	Employee Suspended	There was insufficient evidence to prove or disprove the employee was rude to the complainant. There was sufficient evidence to show the employee failed to reactivate his body worn camera	10/12/20
2017-0523	8/4/2017 Internal Complaint	It was alleged Detention Staff erroneously released inmate property to the wrong inmate. Additionally, it was alleged the Detention Release Sergeant failed to intervene and ensure the correct property was released to the correct inmate.	DO2 - Release Process CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards	Not-Sustained Sustained	N/A Employee Resigned	during the incident. The Detention Officer was found in violation of policy when she released personal property to the wrong inmate due to her not paying attention. There was insufficient evidence to prove or disprove the Detention Release Sergeant was aware personal property was released to the wrong inmate.	10/12/20
A2017-0537	8/9/2017 External Complaint	The complainant alleged that a Deputy was rude and did not treat her fairly during a vehicle collision investigation. She alleged the Deputy did not listen to her story, failed to check the damage of her vehicle, and told her daughter to "shut up".		Unfounded Unfounded Unfounded	N/A	After review of the body camera footage, the allegations were found to be false and not supported by fact.	10/12/20
A2016-0620	10/17/2016 External Complaint	The complainant alleged a Deputy was unprofessional in verbal statements as well as in emails she exchanged with him.	CP2 - Code of Conduct - Unethical Conduct	Not-Sustained	N/A	The investigation was unable to prove or disprove the employee was unprofessional in her contact with a member of the public.	10/15/20

1 of 2 12/13/2018

Professional Standards Bureau Paragraph #252 - Detailed Summaries of Completed Internal Affairs Investigations 10/01/2018 - 10/31/2018

IA No (Opened Incident type	Summary	Allegation(s)/Force Type(s)	Outcome	Discipline	Investigative Summary	Closed
A2017-0349	5/22/2017 External Complaint	The complainant alleged a Deputy did not take a report during a call for service.	GF5 - Incident Report Guidelines	Exonerated	N/A	The actions of the three Deputies were found to be within MCSO	10/15/201
		Later, another Deputy took a report but the complainant alleged he did not	GF5 - Incident Report Guidelines	Exonerated	N/A	policy and procedure.	
		adequately investigate the reported crime.	GF5 - Incident Report Guidelines	Exonerated	N/A		
A2017-0538	8/9/2017 External Complaint	The complainant alleged a Deputy, while working an off-duty job, was not doing his job. Additionally, the complainant alleged that the Deputy later called the complainant back and intimidated him.	CP2 - Code of Conduct - Performance or Dereliction of Duty CP2 - Code of Conduct - Unbecoming conduct and Public Demeanor	Not-Sustained Unfounded	N/A	There was insufficient evidence to prove or disprove the Deputy failed to do his job. The allegation reference the Deputy being threatening and intimidating was found to be false and not supported by fact.	10/15/201
IA2017-0466	7/7/2017 External Complaint	The complainant alleged that Deputies did not handle an unwanted guest call for service properly.	CP2 - Code of Conduct - Performance or Dereliction of Duty CP2 - Code of Conduct - Performance or Dereliction of Duty	Exonerated Exonerated	N/A N/A	This investigation showed the conduct of the employees was lawful or within policy when they did not disclose the details of their involvement with two young women to employees of a tow company.	10/16/201
A2017-0573	8/15/2017 External Complaint	The complainant alleged the Deputy did not cite the other driver for the accident and the report indicated there were no injuries when the complainant was injured		Sustained Exonerated	Employee Resigned	The Deputy was found to be within MCSO policy and procedure when he did not issue a citation for the traffic accident. The Deputy was found in violation of policy when he failed to conduct a more thorough investigation of the accident scene.	10/16/201
A2017-0617	8/31/2017 External Complaint	The complainant alleged he called the Deputy for follow-up and never received a	CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards	Not-Sustained	N/A	Due to technical problems of the complainant's phone and his	10/16/201
		response. The complainant also alleged he provided an address for the suspect, but the Deputy never attempted contact.	CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards	Not-Sustained	N/A	request to be contacted via telephone only, there was insufficient evidence to prove or disprove the Deputies failed to respond to the complainant.	
IA2017-0821	11/7/2017 Internal Complaint	It was alleged a Detention Officer fell asleep on duty.	CP2 - Code of Conduct - Sleeping On-Duty	Sustained	Employee Suspended	It was found the Detention Officer fell asleep on duty.	10/16/201
IA2017-0361	5/29/2017 External Complaint	The complainant alleged a Deputy, during a call for service, made unprofessional comments that escalated the situation.	CP2 - Code of Conduct - Unbecoming conduct and Public Demeanor	Exonerated	N/A	The Deputy was found to be within MCSO policy and procedure.	10/18/201
IA2017-0536	8/9/2017 External Complaint	The complainant alleged that a Detention Lieutenant took video home of an excessive force investigation and showed it to neighbors.	CP2 - Code of Conduct - Confidential Information	Not-Sustained	N/A	There was insufficient evidence to prove or disprove the Detention Lieutenant showed jail surveillance video to his neighbors.	10/18/201
A2017-0652	9/14/2017 External Complaint	The complainant alleged there is someone dealing heroin in the jail and someone, possibly a Detention Officer. Additionally, the complainant alleged MCSO Detention Officers were forcing inmates to fight other inmates.	CP2 - Code of Conduct - Conformance to Established Laws CP2 - Code of Conduct - Unbecoming conduct and Public Demeanor	Unfounded Unfounded	N/A	The allegation was found to be false and not supported by fact.	10/18/201
IA2017-0900	12/13/2017 Internal Complaint	It is alleged that a deputy was performing his duties as a district detective below acceptable standards.	CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards GJ7 - Criminal Investigations: Operations CP2 - Code of Conduct - Insubordination GE3 - Property Management CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards	Not-Sustained Sustained Not-Sustained Not-Sustained Sustained	Written Reprimand	This investigation showed by a preponderance of the evidence the employee questioned a suspect without reading his Miranda Rights and had failed to meet standards by not completing supplements. The investigation was unable to prove or disprove the employee failed to properly impound evidence, was insubordinate and failed to complete tasks in a timely manner.	10/18/201
IA2017-0520	8/2/2017 External Complaint	The complainant alleged that a Detention Officer had an inappropriate sexual relationship with his subordinate employee, who was also the complainants estranged wife.	CP3 - Workplace Professionalism CP3 - Workplace Professionalism	Unfounded Unfounded	N/A N/A	Due to the lack of evidence provided by the complainant and no evidence that would support the misconduct, the allegation against the two Detention Officers was found to be false and not supported by fact.	10/23/201
IA2017-0805	10/31/2017 External Complaint	It is alleged that a Detective made an unprofessional comment to a MCAO employee. She was also uncomfortable that he walked so close to her that his leg brushed up against her.	CP2 - Code of Conduct - Unbecoming conduct and Public Demeanor	Not-Sustained	N/A	This investigation was unable to prove or disprove whether an employee made an unprofessional comment to a member of the public.	10/23/201
IA2017-0394	6/8/2017 Internal Complaint	It was alleged a Detention Officer used excessive force on an inmate.	CP1-Use of Force CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards	Exonerated Not-Sustained	N/A N/A	The Detention Officer was found to be within MCSO policy and procedure given the circumstances. There was insufficient evidence to prove or disprove the Detention Sergeant did not follow policy when reviewing the use of force incident.	10/25/201
IA2017-0418	6/19/2017 External Complaint	It was alleged a Detention Officer used religion-based derogatory words towards inmates. Additionally, it was alleged the Detention Officer mistreated the inmates.	· ·	Not-Sustained Unfounded	N/A	There was insufficient evidence to prove or disprove the Detention Officer used derogatory religious-based comments toward innates. There was insufficient evidence to prove or disprove the Officer withheld canteen orders from inmates.	10/25/201
CIA2018-0017	6/7/2018 External Complaint Criminal	The complainant reported her and a Deputy would have consensual sex while he was on duty. Additionally, she alleged the Deputy forced her to have other sexual relations with her.	[No allegations]	Inactive	N/A	The dates and times provided by the complainant did not correlate with the Deputy's time on duty entered into the ADP system. There was no evidence to substantiate the complainants report of non-consensual sex during an otherwise consensual sexual encounter.	10/29/201
CIA2018-0022	8/15/2018 Internal Complaint Criminal	It was alleged a Detention Officer pushed an inmate twice with no apparent justification.	[No allegations]	Exceptionally Cleared	N/A	The inmate victim was not willing to aid in prosecution.	10/29/201

2 of 2 12/13/2018