## $Professional \, Standards \, Bureau$ $Paragraph \, \#252 - Detailed \, Summaries \, of \, Completed \, Internal \, Affairs \, Investigations$ 06/01/2018 - 06/30/2018

IA No	Opened Incident type	Summary	Allegation(s)/Force Type(s)	Outcome	Discipline	Investigative Summary	Closed
IA2017-0220	3/23/2017 Internal Complaint	It was alleged Unknown Deputies caused damage to MCSO vehicle 321318 and		Not-Sustained	Employee Suspended	There was insufficient evidence to prove or disprove any of the	6/1/201
		did not report it.	CP2 - Code of Conduct - Individual Responsibility	Not-Sustained		involved Deputies caused damage to the patrol vehicle. The	
			EA2 - Patrol Vehicles GE4 - Use/Operation of Vehicles	Sustained Not-Sustained	Coaching	Deputies were found in violation of MCSO policy when they each	1
			CP2 - Code of Conduct - Individual Responsibility	Not-Sustained Not-Sustained	Coacning	failed to complete an inspection of the vehicle after their shifts were completed.	
			EA2 - Patrol Vehicles	Sustained		were completed.	
			GE4 - Use/Operation of Vehicles	Not-Sustained	Coaching		
			CP2 - Code of Conduct - Individual Responsibility	Not-Sustained	•		
			EA2 - Patrol Vehicles	Sustained			
A2017-0467	7/9/2017 External Complaint	The complainant alleged a Deputy, while working off-duty, did not take enforcement action against a suspected intoxicated driver.	CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards	Not-Sustained	N/A	There was insufficient evidence to prove or disprove the Deputy failed to take law enforcement action against an intoxicated driver.	6/1/201
A2017-0806	11/1/2017 External Complaint	The complainant alleged a Deputy discouraged her from making a	CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards	Sustained	Employee Suspended	It was found the Deputy did not investigate the incident properly	/ 6/1/201
H2017-0000	11/1/2017 External complaint	report/pressing charges and the incident was not investigated to the complainants satisfaction.	GF5 - Incident Report Guidelines	Sustained	Employee Suspended	and did not take a report when requested and warranted to do so.	0,1,201
A2017-0920	12/21/2017 Internal Complaint	During review of IA2017-0908, it was alleged a Deputy Sergeant wrote	CP5 - Truthfulness	Not-Sustained	Written Reprimand	There was insufficient evidence to prove or disprove the Deputy	6/1/20
	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	information in a search warrant that was not true.	CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards	Sustained		Sergeant tried to intentionally mislead the court in a DUI	.,,
						warrant. The Sergeant was found in violation of Office policy when she failed to review the Deputy's work prior to swearing to a Judge it was true, accurate, and correct.	)
A2017-0921	12/21/2017 Internal Complaint	It was alleged a Call Taker failed to enter a complaint of employee misconduct	. CP2 - Code of Conduct - Request for Assistance	Sustained	Written Reprimand	It was found the Call Taker failed to enter the alleged misconduct	t 6/4/20:
	, ,					as a complaint.	
CIA2018-0005	2/21/2018 External Complaint Criminal	The complainant alleged a detention officer inappropriately touched him	[No allegations]	Unfounded	N/A	The allegation and disclosure by the victim did not meet the	6/5/20
		during a strip search.				statutory requirements of an assault or sexual assault based upon all evidence reviewed and obtained. It was found the victim was not touched in a sexual nature.	
A2018-0266	4/24/2018 Internal Complaint	IA PULLED IN ERROR. Allegations previoulsy investigated.	N/A	N/A	N/A	IA PULLED IN ERROR. Allegations previously investigated.	6/12/20
IA2017-0037	11/14/2017 External Complaint Criminal	The complainant, a former communications division employee, alleged that	[No allegations]	Inactive	N/A	The investigation showed the complainant and the alleged	6/13/20
		she had an affair with a Deputy four years ago and felt controlled by him. The complainant said that it may have occurred while he was on duty. Additionally, it was alleged during a consensual relationship with a Deputy, he assaulted her by slapping her on the buttock and squeezing her neck during				Deputy had an on-going sexual relationship. There was no evidence to substantiate the allegations of the Deputy threatening her in anyway or assaulting her by spanking her during one of the sexual encounters.	
		sexual intercourse.					
CIA2018-0010	5/17/2018 External Complaint Criminal	The anonymous complainant alleged a Detention Officer sexually assaulted an inmate. It was alleged an unknown MCSO employee assaulted the inmate by instructing a surgeon to penetrate his rectum to retrieve contraband without his permission or search warrant.	[No allegations]	Unfounded	N/A	The investigation showed no MCSO employee was involved with the removal or decision process for removal of the contraband.	6/13/201
12017 0022	12/28/2017 Internal Complaint		CP2 - Code of Conduct - Employee Relationships with other Employees	Cataland	Continu	The Deputy was found to have been disrespectful or non-	6/25/201
A2017-0933	12/28/2017 Internal Complaint	It was alleged a Deputy was unprofessional with his co-workers.	CP2 - Code of Conduct - Employee Relationships with other Employees	Sustained	Coaching	cooperative with fellow employees during a homicide call out.	6/25/201
A2017-0225	3/24/2017 Internal Complaint	The complainant alleged the Posse Commander acted unprofessionally by	CP2 - Code of Conduct - Unbecoming Conduct and Public Demeanor	Unfounded	N/A	The allegation of unprofessionalism against the Posse	6/26/201
		using profanity and making disparaging comments and gestures during a Possi		Exonerated		Commander was found to be false and not based on fact. The	
		meeting. It was also alleged the Commander forced the complainant to	CP2 - Code of Conduct - Unbecoming Conduct and Public Demeanor	Unfounded	N/A	Posse Commander was found to be within MCSO policy and	
		transfer from the Jeep Posse to another Posse Branch. The complainant	CP2 - Code of Conduct - Unbecoming Conduct and Public Demeanor	Exonerated		procedure when he transferred the complainant out of the Jeep	
		alleged an involved Deputy did not properly notify him his personally owned				Posse. The Deputy was found to be within MCSO policy and	
		vehicle was not approved for County use. Additionally, it was alleged the Deputy's conduct was unprofessional.				procedure when notifying the complainant about his vehicle not being approved. The Deputy was found to be professional and within MCSO policy.	
IA2018-0004	1/2/2018 External Complaint	The complainant alleged a Deputy committed tax fraud reference a vehicle	CP2 - Code of Conduct - Conformance to Established Laws	Sustained	Employee Resigned	The Deputy was found to have committed tax fraud reference	6/26/201
		registration when he used his personal computer to forge a PDF document by altering the purchase amount on a sales contract.		Sustained	., ,	vehicle registration.	
	1/3/2018 Internal Complaint	It was alleged a Deputy did not read Miranda prior to questioning a subject in	FA11 - Arrest procedures	Sustained	Written Reprimand	It was found the Deputy failed to advise a detained subject of	6/26/201

1 of 2 7/30/2018

## $Professional \, Standards \, Bureau$ $Paragraph \, \#252 - Detailed \, Summaries \, of \, Completed \, Internal \, Affairs \, Investigations$ 06/01/2018 - 06/30/2018

IA No	Opened Incident type	Summary	Allegation(s)/Force Type(s)	Outcome	Discipline	Investigative Summary Closed
IA2018-0394	6/19/2018 Internal Complaint	IA PULLED IN ERROR. This case was closed due to a probationary release of the recruit.	N/A	N/A	N/A	IA PULLED IN ERROR. This case was closed due to a probationary 6/26/2018 release of the recruit.
IA2017-0107	1/27/2017 External Complaint	The complainant alleged a Deputy, also her live in boyfriend, keeps threatening to have her arrested. She alleged the Deputy used his MCSO vehicle for a personal trip to Las Vegas and Tucson; and utilized his MCSO issued cell phone for personal business. It was also alleged the Deputy failed to properly secure criminal case evidence and failed to properly store MCSO equipment, to include less lethal weapons and ammo, and was storing these items at his personal residence. Additionally, it was alleged the Deputy disclosed sensitive law enforcement information to an unauthorized person.	CP2 - Code of Conduct - Unbecoming Conduct and Public Demeanor CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards GE4 - Use/Operation of Vehicles GE4 - Use/Operation of Vehicles GC8 - Compensation and the ADP System GD-21 - Business Travel and Travel Expenses CP5 - Truthfulness CP2 - Code of Conduct - Care and Use of Office or County Equipment CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Abuse of Process, Withholding Evidence, and Misappropriation of Property CP2 - Code of Conduct - Confidential Information CP2 - Code of Conduct - Confidential Information CP3 - Orange Conduct - Confidential Information CP4 - Code of Conduct - Abuse of Process, Withholding Evidence, and Misappropriation of Property GE3 - Property Management GE3 - Property Management	Not-Sustained Not-Sustained Not-Sustained Not-Sustained Sustained Not-Sustained Not-Sustained Not-Sustained Not-Sustained Not-Sustained Not-Sustained Sustained Sustained Sustained	Written Reprimand	There was insufficient evidence to prove or disprove the following: the Deputy was threatening or abusing his power by contacting Deputies about the actions of his girlfriend (separated at the time); the Deputy did not have permission to take his MCSO leased vehicle to Las Vegas; the Deputy did not have permission to have his girlfriend, a member of a desert operations group that works with MCSO, ride in his MCSO vehicle; the Deputy intentionally falsified his timesheet to collect compensation; the Deputy railed to properly store MCSO equipment at his personal residence; and the Deputy's disclosure of law enforcement sensitive information was inappropriate. The Deputy was found in violation of MCSO policy and procedures when he when he made a mistake on his timesheet, leading him to be compensated for time he did not work; and when he failed to properly secure and log evidence.  The Deputy's use of his MCSO issued cell phone was found to be within MCSO policy and procedure.
IA2018-0091	2/6/2018 External Complaint	The complainant alleged a Deputy was rude while directing traffic.	CP2 - Code of Conduct - Unbecoming Conduct and Public Demeanor GC18 - Off-Duty Employment	Not-Sustained Sustained	Written Reprimand	There was insufficient evidence to prove or disprove the Deputy 6/28/2018 was rude or unprofessional. During the investigation, it was found the Deputy failed to sign on with radio during the off-duty traffic job.

2 of 2 7/30/2018